

ARBITRATION AND THE CONSTITUTION

Arbitration has become an increasingly important mechanism for dispute resolution, in both domestic and international settings. Despite its importance as a form of state-sanctioned dispute resolution, it has largely remained outside the spotlight of constitutional law. This landmark work represents one of the first attempts to synthesize the fields of arbitration law and constitutional law. Drawing on the author's extensive experience as a scholar in arbitration law who has lectured and studied around the world, the book offers unique insights into how arbitration law implicates issues such as separation of powers, federalism, and individual liberties.

Peter B. Rutledge is a Professor of Law and the Herman E. Talmadge Chair at the University of Georgia School of Law. A recognized figure in the field of international dispute resolution and an accomplished Supreme Court advocate, Professor Rutledge has published widely in both the United States and abroad. His articles have appeared in publications such as the *University of Chicago Law Review*, the *Vanderbilt Law Review*, and the *Journal of International Arbitration*.





Arbitration and the Constitution

Peter B. Rutledge

Herman E. Talmadge Chair of Law University of Georgia





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS
Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town,
Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Mexico City

Cambridge University Press 32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473, USA www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107006119

© Peter B. Rutledge 2013

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2013

Printed in the United States of America

A catalog record for this publication is available from the British Library.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Rutledge, Peter B.

Arbitration and the constitution / Peter B. Rutledge.

p. cm

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-1-107-00611-9 (hardback)

1. Arbitration and award. 2. Constitutional law. I. Title.

K2400.R88 2012

347'.09-dc23 2012025695

ISBN 978-1-107-00611-9 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet Web sites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such Web sites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



> This book is dedicated to our children Anna, Marie, Nina, and Frank





CONTENTS

Ac	eknowledgments page	1X
	Introduction	. 1
	ART I. ARBITRATION AND SEPARATION OF OWERS	
1	Arbitration and Judicial Review	15
2	Arbitration and Executive Power	55
PA	ART II. ARBITRATION AND FEDERALISM	
3	Arbitration and State Law	79
4	Arbitration and the Choice of Law	01
PA	ART III. ARBITRATION AND INDIVIDUAL RIGHTS	
5	Arbitration, State Action, and Due Process	27
6	Arbitration and the Jury Right	70
	Conclusion	03
Inc	dex 2	09

vii





ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This book represents the culmination of years of thinking, speaking, teaching, and writing about arbitration and, specifically, its relation to the Constitution. As with any project, it has benefited from countless conversations with professors, judges, lawyers, and students. Any attempt to list all the individuals who have had an impact on the project would inevitably be incomplete. Nonetheless, I would be remiss if I did not mention some of the many individuals and institutions whose support has made the finished work possible.

As far as individuals, first and most important thanks must go to my wife, Birgit Rutledge-Riel. She is – and always has been – the rock in my life, supporting me during long nights, late hours in the office, stressful work periods, and countless conversations about what must, at times, have seemed like an especially arid subject. She is the finest teacher I know and a thoughtful critic of ideas, legal and nonlegal. Quite simply, without her, this project would not have been possible.

Second, thanks must go to the people who have been deans over the course of my academic career as I wrote this book. As any professor knows, deans have an enormous impact on our early career. Their support on matters such as courseload, research funding, course selection, and research leave enable us to do the heavy lifting that results in publication. At Catholic University Law School, Dean Douglas Kmiec, and his successors, Bill Fox and Veryl Miles, helped me get started with a friendly teaching package, including an upper-level seminar on Arbitration and the Constitution in which I first was able to pull together

ix



Acknowledgments

Х

the literature on this topic in a systematic fashion. More recently, at the University of Georgia School of Law, Dean Rebecca White provided invaluable support, including sponsoring my application for a Fulbright award and granting me sufficient research leave to complete this project. Without her unwavering support, this project would never have become a reality.

In that same vein, special thanks must go to individuals at the J. William Fulbright Foundation and, especially, the Austrian Fulbright Commission. During the 2010–2011 academic year, a Fulbright award helped underwrite a year in residence at the Institut für Zivilverfahrensrecht at the University of Vienna Law School. The program granted me incomparable access to a network of scholars, jurists, and lawyers, both within Austria and throughout Europe, with whom I could exchange ideas and from whom I learned a great deal. For their support of my Fulbright project, special thanks to Antoinette van Zabner, Heinz Löber, Lonnie Johnson, and Irene Zavarsky.

Third, countless colleagues commented on and contributed to this work. Gary Born, my dear friend and coauthor, has been a constant source of advice on this and other projects throughout my career. Fellow scholars including Chris Drahozal, Susan Franck, Jack Goldsmith, David Kershaw, Douglas Kmiec, Richard Nagareda (whose passing was a great loss to the legal academy), Antonio Perez, Neil Richards, Stacie Strong, Symeon Symeonides, Kent Syverud, Steve Ware, Lloyd Weinreb, and Adrian Zuckerman all have commented on various parts of this project or invited me to workshops where I could present these ideas during their formative stages. In that same vein, I send my thanks to the several anonymous reviewers who commented on the book proposal while it was under consideration at Cambridge.

Fourth, I have profited greatly from numerous students whose research assistance has ensured the depth and accuracy of the ideas presented here. Former students at Catholic University including Nicole Angarella, Bri DiBari, Barney Ford, Gordon Jimison, Maureen Smith, and Sarah Wyss all contributed during various stages of this process. More recently, a crackerjack team of students at the University of Georgia helped bring the manuscript to completion. These include Halley Espy, Amanda Holcomb, Nicholas Howell, Christopher Smith, and Julianne Thon. Without this team, which operated like a



Acknowledgments

хi

well-oiled machine in the final months, these chapters would have remained unsculpted lumps of clay.

Finally, among the individuals deserving thanks, I extend my heartfelt gratitude to those persons who helped take the ideas presented here and make them ready for publication as a book. John Berger at Cambridge University Press shepherded me through the proposal-approval process, and Carol McGeehan at Wolters Kluwer provided a valuable early endorsement of my promise as an author. Research librarians including Steve Young of Catholic University and James Donovan and T. J. Striepe at the University of Georgia unfailingly tracked down difficult sources, especially, the voluminous legislative history surrounding NAFTA's implementing legislation. Julie Kendrick, Barbara Pitzl, and, especially Cindy Wentworth have provided administrative support of immeasurable value.

Beyond the individuals, numerous institutions have supported this project, whether through financial support, administrative support, or simply an opportunity to share the ideas with members of their law faculty. To that end, I must begin by thanking my home institution, the University of Georgia School of Law, quite simply one of the finest law schools in the country. I also must acknowledge the support of the University of Vienna Law School, my "home away from home" during the 2010–2011 academic year, where many of these chapters were first drafted. Participants in workshops at Cambridge University, the University of Oslo, McGill University, Washington University, George Washington University, the University of Georgia, the University of Alabama, the University of Nebraska, Willamette University, and Kansas University, as well as the Southeast Association of Law Schools all provided enriching input on early drafts of various chapters. To all of these institutions, I owe a debt of intellectual gratitude.

This list only scratches the surface of those who have had an impact on this project. To everyone, individuals and institutions, this book is better for your contributions and support. Errors remain, and for those I take full responsibility.

> Peter B. Rutledge Athens, GA

