

Intellectual Property at the Edge

Intellectual Property at the Edge addresses both newly formed intellectual property rights and those which have lurked on the fringes, unadmitted to the established IP canon. It provides a basis for studying and discussing the history of these emerging rights as well as their relationship to new technological opportunities and to the changing importance of innovation and creative production in the global economy. In addition to addressing the scope of new rights, it also focuses on new limitations to patent, copyright and trademark rights that spring from similar changes. All of these developments are examined comparatively: for each new development, scholars in two jurisdictions analyze the evolving legal norm. In several instances, the first of the paired authors writes from the perspective of the legal system in which the doctrine emerged, and the second addresses its reception in his or her jurisdiction.

ROCHELLE COOPER DREYFUSS is the Pauline Newman Professor of Law and Co-director of the Engelberg Center on Innovation Law and Policy at New York University School of Law. Her research interests include international and domestic intellectual property law as well as civil procedure.

JANE C. GINSBURG is the Morton L. Janklow Professor of Literary and Artistic Property Law at Columbia University School of Law, where she is also Faculty Director of the Kernochan Center for Law, Media and the Arts. She teaches legal methods, copyright law and trademarks law.



Cambridge Intellectual Property and Information Law

As its economic potential has rapidly expanded, intellectual property has become a subject of front-rank legal importance. Cambridge Intellectual Property and Information Law is a series of monograph studies of major current issues in intellectual property. Each volume contains a mix of international, European, comparative and national law, making this a highly significant series for practitioners, judges and academic researchers in many countries.

Series editors Lionel Bently Herchel Smith Professor of Intellectual Property Law, University of Cambridge

William R. Cornish

Emeritus Herchel Smith Professor of Intellectual Property Law,
University of Cambridge

Advisory editors
François Dessemontet, Professor of Law, University of Lausanne
Paul Goldstein, Professor of Law, Stanford University
The Rt Hon. Sir Robin Jacob, Hugh Laddie Professor of Intellectual
Property, University College, London

A list of books in the series can be found at the end of this volume.



Intellectual Property at the Edge

The Contested Contours of IP

Edited by

Rochelle Cooper Dreyfuss and Jane C. Ginsburg





CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org
Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107034006

© Cambridge University Press 2014

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2014

Printed in the United Kingdom by Clays, St Ives plc

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data

Intellectual property at the edge : the contested contours of IP / [edited by] Rochelle Cooper Dreyfuss, Jane C. Ginsburg.

pages cm. – (Cambridge intellectual property and information law ; 22) ISBN 978-1-107-03400-6 (Hardback)

1. Intellectual property–European Union countries. I. Dreyfuss, Rochelle Cooper, 1947– editor of compilation. II. Ginsburg, Jane C., editor of compilation.

KJE2636.I5725 2014

346.404'8-dc23 2013040416

ISBN 978-1-107-03400-6 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.



Contents

	List of Figures List of Tables List of Contributors Editors' Preface Table of Cases	page viii ix x xvii xix
	Introduction: A real property lawyer cautiously inspects the edges of intellectual property CAROL M. ROSE	1
Par	rt I Right of publicity	15
1	Haelan Laboratories v. Topps Chewing Gum: Publicity as a legal right STACEY L. DOGAN	17
2	Do the French have their own "Haelan" case? The droit à l'image as an emerging intellectual property right DAVID LEFRANC	39
Par	rt II Dilution	57
3	The suppressed misappropriation origins of trademark and law: The Landgericht Elberfeld's <i>Odol</i> Opinion and Frank Schechter's "The Rational Basis of Trademark Protection BARTON BEEBE	
4	Dilution as unfair competition: European echoes GRAEME B. DINWOODIE	81

v



V1	Contents	
Par	rt III Geographical indications	103
5	Spanish Champagne: An unfair competition approach to GI protection DEV S. GANGJEE	105
6	A Cognac after Spanish Champagne? Geographical indications as certification marks DANIEL GERVAIS	130
Par	rt IV Design protection	157
7	The Fashion Originators' Guild of America: Self-help at the edge of IP and antitrust C. SCOTT HEMPHILL AND JEANNIE SUK	159
8	Protection for fashion: The European experience ANNETTE KUR	180
Par	rt V Traditional knowledge	191
9	"Ka Mate Ka Mate" and the protection of traditional knowledge SUSY FRANKEL	193
10	Comments on Susy Frankel: "'Ka Mate Ka Mate' and the protection of traditional knowledge" – an international perspective SILKE VON LEWINSKI	215
	rt VI "Paracopyright": Technological protection asures	225
11	Paracopyright – A peculiar right to control access JOSEPH P. LIU	227
12	The protection of technological measures: Much ado about nothing or silent remodeling of copyright? SÉVERINE DUSOLLIER	253
Par	rt VII Trade secrets	269
13	A legal tangle of secrets and disclosures in trade: <i>Tabor v. Hoffman</i> and beyond JEANNE C. FROMER	271



	Contents	Vii
14	Patents and trade secrets in England: the case of Newbery v James (1817) LIONEL BENTLY	295
Dov	rt VIII Open innovation	319
	-	317
15	Legal but unacceptable: <i>Pallin v. Singer</i> and physician patenting norms KATHERINE J. STRANDBURG	321
16	Physicians as user innovators STEFAN BECHTOLD	343
Par	t IX Limitations: Patent subject matter and scope	359
17	Funk forward TED SICHELMAN	361
18	Patent eligibility and scope revisited in light of <i>Schütz</i> v. <i>Werit</i> , European law, and copyright jurisprudence JUSTINE PILA	382
Par	t X Limitations: Copyright and trademark defenses	403
19	Make me walk, make me talk, do whatever you please: Barbie and exceptions REBECCA TUSHNET	405
20	Parody and IP Claims: a defence? – a right to parody? RT HON. SIR ROBIN JACOB	427
	Index	441



Figures

Figure 2.1:	Alain Ducasse (© Mickael Vojinovich)	page 45
Figure 6.1:	Traditional Coopers (Guild) Marks	140
Figure 13.1:	Drawing of Tabor's Patented Pump	274
Figure 19.1:	Tom Forsythe, Land of Milk and Barbie	
	(author's collection)	418
Figure 20.1	The Laugh It Off T-shirt	428
Figure 20.2	Cleopatra film poster	429
Figure 20.3	Carry On Cleo film poster	430
Figure 20.4	Nadia Plesner "Dafurnica" (2010)	437

viii



Tables

Table 9.1: Ka Mate Ka Mate and translation page 199
Table 9.2: Article 2 of the Treaty of Waitangi 202



Contributors

- STEFAN BECHTOLD Stefan Bechtold is Professor of Intellectual Property at ETH Zurich, Switzerland. His research interests include intellectual property, law and technology, telecommunications law, and antitrust law, as well as law and economics.
- BARTON BEEBE Barton Beebe is the John M. Desmarais Professor of Intellectual Property Law at New York University School of Law and Co-Director of the Engelberg Center on Innovation Law and Policy.
- LIONEL BENTLY Lionel Bently is Herchel Smith Professor of Intellectual Property law and Director of the Centre for Intellectual Property and Information Law in the Faculty of Law at the University of Cambridge. He is a professorial fellow at Emmanuel College, Cambridge.
- GRAEME B. DINWOODIE Graeme B. Dinwoodie is the Professor of Intellectual Property and Information Technology Law at the University of Oxford, and Director of the Oxford Intellectual Property Research Centre. Prior to taking up the IP Chair at Oxford in 2009, he taught at Chicago-Kent College of Law, the University of Pennsylvania School of Law and the University of Cincinnati College of Law, and from 2005 to 2009 held a Chair in Intellectual Property Law at Queen Mary University of London. From 2011 to 2013, he was the President of the International Association for the Advancement of Teaching and Research in Intellectual Property.
- STACEY L. DOGAN Stacey Dogan teaches property and intellectual property law at Boston University School of Law. Her research focuses on trademark, copyright, and right of publicity law, with an emphasis on the challenges wrought by digital media and the Internet.
- Newman Professor of Law at New York University School of Law and Co-director of the Engelberg Center on Innovation Law and Policy. Her teaching and scholarship largely focus on national and

X



List of Contributors

хi

international patent law and civil procedure. She currently serves on the National Academies of Science's Committee on Science, Technology, and Law. With Jane Ginsburg, she edited *Intellectual Property Stories* (2005), which inspired this volume.

SÉVERINE DUSOLLIER Séverine Dusollier is Professor of Intellectual Property Law at the University of Namur (Belgium) and Head of the Research Center in Information, Law and Society (CRIDS), which is one of the oldest and biggest research centers in information technologies and law. Séverine Dusollier has carried out research on several European and national projects and drafted reports for the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO), the Council of Europe, UNESCO and the European Commission, and has published extensively in copyright, IP rights and IT law matters. Her current research includes digital rights management (DRM), copyright enforcement on the Internet, intellectual commons and open source, interoperability and IP, public domain, copyright exceptions, and competition law and IP.

SUSY FRANKEL Susy Frankel is a Professor of Law at Victoria University of Wellington and Director of the New Zealand Centre of International Economic Law. She is Chair of the Copyright Tribunal (NZ). She has published extensively on international intellectual property law and policy, and particularly intellectual property's relationship with trade law and the protection of indigenous peoples' traditional knowledge.

JEANNE C. FROMER Jeanne C. Fromer is a Professor of Law at New York University School of Law. Professor Fromer previously served as a law clerk to Justice David Souter of the US Supreme Court and to Judge Robert Sack of the US Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit. She also worked at Hale and Dorr LLP (now WilmerHale) as an intellectual property attorney. She earned her B.A. in Computer Science from Barnard College, Columbia University; S.M. in Electrical Engineering and Computer Science from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology; and J.D. from Harvard Law School.

DEV S. GANGJEE Dr. Dev Gangjee is presently a university lecturer in intellectual property at the Law Faculty of Oxford University. Prior to this, he was a senior lecturer in law at the London School of Economics. His research focuses broadly on IP, but with a special emphasis on branding and trademarks, Geographical Indications and copyright law. Additional research interests include the history and political economy of IP, collective and open innovation, and the interface between IP and theories of development.



xii List of Contributors

- DANIEL GERVAIS Daniel Gervais is the Professor of Law at Vanderbilt University Law School and Director of the Vanderbilt Intellectual Property Program, where he also serves as Faculty Director of the Master's Program. He is Editor-in-Chief of the peer-reviewed *Journal of World Intellectual Property* and editor of www.tripsagreement.net. In 2012, he became the first law professor in North America elected to the Academy of Europe. Before he joined the Academy, Professor Gervais was successively Legal Officer at the GATT (now World Trade Organization); Head of Section at the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO); and Vice President, International, of Massachusetts-based Copyright Clearance Center, Inc. (CCC). Professor Gervais studied computer science and law at McGill University and the University of Montreal, where he also obtained LL.B. and LL.M. degrees, and received several awards.
- JANE C. GINSBURG Jane C. Ginsburg is the Morton L. Janklow Professor of Literary and Artistic Property Law at Columbia University School of Law, and Faculty Director of its Kernochan Center for Law, Media and the Arts. She teaches legal methods, copyright law and trademarks law, and is the author or co-author of casebooks on all three subjects. In addition to co-editing *Intellectual Property Stories* (2005) with Rochelle Dreyfuss, she was a co-reporter with Rochelle Dreyfuss and Professor François Dessemontet for the American Law Institute project on *Intellectual Property: Principles Governing Jurisdiction, Choice of Law and Judgments in Transnational Disputes* (2008).
- C. SCOTT HEMPHILL Scott Hemphill is Professor of Law at Columbia Law School, where his research examines the balance between innovation and competition set by antitrust law, intellectual property and other forms of regulation. From 2011 to 2012, Professor Hemphill served as Chief of the Antitrust Bureau, in the Office of the New York State Attorney General. He holds a J.D. and Ph.D. in economics, both from Stanford University, and is a graduate of Harvard and the London School of Economics, where he studied as a Fulbright Scholar.
- RT HON. SIR ROBIN JACOB Sir Robin Jacob is Hugh Laddie Professor of Intellectual Property Law and Director of the Institute of Brand and Innovation Law, University College London. A member of the Intellectual Property Bar from 1967 to 1993, Robin Jacob was appointed to the Bench in 1993, when he was a designated Patent Judge. From 1997 to 2001 he was Supervising Chancery Judge for Birmingham,



List of Contributors

xiii

Bristol and Cardiff. He was appointed a Lord Justice of Appeal in October 2003. He formally retired from the Court of Appeal in May 2011 but continues to sit from time to time in that court. In addition, he sometimes acts as an arbitrator, mediator or expert witness on English or European law, and lectures in the UK and abroad. He writes extensively on all forms of intellectual property.

- ANNETTE KUR Professor Kur is a senior member of research staff and Head of Unit at the Max Planck Institute for Intellectual Property and Competition Law. She is affiliated professor at the University of Stockholm and honorary professor at the University of Munich.
- DAVID LEFRANC David Lefranc is a French lawyer specializing in intellectual property. He obtained his doctorate in law in 2003. His thesis, under the direction of Professor Henri-Jacques Lucas, addressed the legal consequences of fame in copyright and trademark law and rights of personality. Following six years of university teaching, David Lefranc became an *avocat* and founded Laropoin legal office. He continues his research through the publication of numerous articles. He is also a lecturer at EDHEC Business School and at the universities of Nantes and Douai, France.
- JOSEPH P. LIU Joseph P. Liu is a professor of law at Boston College Law School, where he writes and teaches in the areas of copyright, trademark, property and Internet law. His main area of academic research is on the impact of digital technology on copyright law and markets, with a particular focus on how digital technology is changing the way individuals interact with copyrighted works.
- JUSTINE PILA Justine Pila is Fellow and Director of Studies (Law) at St Catherine's College, Oxford University, University Lecturer in Intellectual Property Law at the Oxford University Faculty of Law, and Research Fellow at the Oxford Institute of European and Comparative Law (IECL).
- CAROL M. ROSE Carol M. Rose is Lohse Professor at the James E. Rogers College of Law, University of Arizona and Gordon Bradford Tweedy Professor (Emerita) at Yale Law School. Her publications include several books and numerous articles on traditional and modern property regimes, natural resource and environmental law, and intellectual property. Her work has been translated into several languages and published in a number of countries, and she has enjoyed many honors, including membership in the American Academy of Arts and Sciences.



xiv List of Contributors

TED SICHELMAN Ted Sichelman is a Professor of Law at the University of San Diego School of Law, where he teaches and writes in the areas of intellectual property, law and entrepreneurship, empirical legal studies, law and economics, and computational legal studies. Previously, he was an intellectual property attorney at Heller Ehrman and Irell & Manella, and a law clerk for the Honorable A. Wallace Tashima of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. Before becoming a lawyer, he founded and ran a venture-backed software company.

RATHERINE J. STRANDBURG Katherine Strandburg is Alfred B. Engelberg Professor of Law at New York University School of Law. Her research and teaching focus on patent law and information privacy law. Current projects include an institutional theory of patentable subject matter, studies of medical innovation by physicians and its relationship to patenting, and a study of an NIH initiative to promote collaborative research into rare diseases. Professor Strandburg graduated from the University of Chicago Law School with high honors in 1995 and served as a law clerk to the Honorable Richard D. Cudahy of the US Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit. Prior to her legal career, Professor Strandburg was a Ph.D. research physicist at Argonne National Laboratory.

JEANNIE SUK Jeannie Suk is Professor of Law at Harvard Law School. She has been a Guggenheim Fellow and a fellow of the MacDowell Colony, and named one of the Best Lawyers under forty by the National Asian Pacific American Bar Association. She has given Congressional testimony on law and innovation in the fashion industry.

REBECCA TUSHNET Rebecca Tushnet is a professor at the Georgetown University Law Center. She clerked for Associate Justice David H. Souter on the Supreme Court and practiced intellectual property law before beginning teaching. Her publications on copyright, trademark, advertising law, and related issues are available at https://tushnet.wordpress.com/writings/. She helped found the Organization for Transformative Works, a non-profit dedicated to supporting and promoting fanworks, and currently volunteers on its legal committee.

SILKE VON LEWINSKI Silke von Lewinski, Adj. Prof. Dr., tenured at Max Planck Institute for Intellectual Property and Competition Law (Munich), specializes in international and European copyright law and in IP and folklore. She has been copyright expert to the European Commission and to governments of Eastern and Central European and former Soviet Union countries, and member of EC and German delegations, respectively, at World Intellectual Property



List of Contributors

ΧV

Organization (WIPO) Diplomatic Conferences in 1996, 2000, 2012 and 2013. She has frequently been visiting professor at many universities worldwide, and among numerous publications are the books International Copyright Law and Policy (2008) and (as editor) Indigenous Heritage and Intellectual Property: Genetic Resources, Traditional Knowledge and Folklore (2004, 2nd edn., 2008; Chinese edn., 2011).





Editors' Preface

In 2005 we edited *Intellectual Property Stories*, a book recounting the seminal cases in traditional intellectual property law in the United States. By examining the contemporary socio-economic conditions and the human "backstory" to the leading nineteenth- and twentieth-century cases in copyright, patent and trademarks, the book attempted to uncover the factors that led courts to structure the law as we find it in the twenty-first century in the United States. *Intellectual Property at the Edge* is both more forward-looking and more geographically encompassing. It addresses intellectual property rights that are either newly forming or, if of older vintage, had nonetheless lurked on the fringes, unadmitted to the established canon. The emergence of these rights reflects new technological opportunities as well as the increasing dependence of the economies of developed and many developing countries on innovation. Their appearance calls for a fresh look at history and an analysis of their impact on creativity.

Significantly, emerging norms do not pertain only to rights holders. As intellectual property law develops, the public's interest, in the form of new limitations on longstanding rights as well as concomitant curbs on new aspirants, also claims attention. A book about the *Contested Contours of IP* necessarily confronts the contending demands of creators for new or increased coverage and of users (whether other creators, commercial competitors or their audience) for freedom to innovate or to enjoy the fruits of intellectual endeavors. Thus, in addition to addressing the scope of new rights, this book focuses its last chapters on emerging limitations on patent, copyright and trademarks.

Because the growing prominence of new forms of intellectual property rights and limitations is a global phenomenon, we chose to examine these developments comparatively. Accordingly, for each new development, we asked scholars in two jurisdictions to describe the evolving legal norm and to consider the extent to which the development responded only to internal conditions, or instead was influenced by legal evolution elsewhere. In several instances, the first of the paired authors writes from the

xvii



xviii Editors' Preface

perspective of the legal system in which the doctrine emerged, and the second addresses its reception in his or her jurisdiction.

Intellectual property rights also evolve in the broader context of property rights in general. While rights in intangibles may seem more susceptible to expansion (or contraction), the phenomenon of changed contours is not unique to intellectual property. The book therefore begins with an introduction by Carol Rose, a scholar of real property, who has written extensively about how such changes occur in property regimes more generally. Like Professor Rose, many of the other contributors to this volume enjoy long-established international reputations. However, as seems appropriate to a work about emerging IP norms, several authors are emerging IP scholars whose international standing we hope this book enhances.

We would particularly like to thank Nicole Arzt for all her excellent assistance.

ROCHELLE COOPER DREYFUSS

JANE GINSBURG

Tune 2013



Table of Cases

Australia

National Research Development Corporation (NRDC) v. Commissioner of Patents (1959) 102 C.L.R. 252 345n7 Stevens v. Kabushiki Kaisha Sony Computer Entertainment [2005] HCA 58 (6 October 2005) 261n37

Belgium

Anvers (9e ch.), 28 February 2002, *Auteurs & Media*, 2002 258n21 Civ. Namur, 7 January 2004, *R.D.T.I.*, October 2005 259n26 Corr. Charleroi, 23 October 2003, no 2626 258n21 Corr. Charleroi, 23 October 2003, no 68.L7.343/02 259–60n27 Corr. Gand, 23 April 2008, no 68.98.1806/07/FS1 259–60n27 Corr. Gand, 23 April 2008, no 2008/1322 258n21 Mons, 4 May 2007, no 135 H 04 258n21, 259–60n27

Canada

Dairy Bureau of Canada v. Annable Foods Ltd (1993) 46 CPR (3d) 289 (British Columbia SC) 309 130n1, 141n49

Institut National des Appellations d'Origine des Vins et Eaux-de-Vie v. Andre Wines Ltd (1990) 30 CPR (3d) 279 (Ontario CA) aff'g (1987) 16 CPR (3d) 385 (Ontario HCJ), leave to appeal refused [1991] 1 S.C.R. x (note) 130n1, 141n49

Institut National des Appellations d'Origine des Vins et Eaux-de-Vie v. Andres Wines Ltd 14 CIPR 138, 40 DLR (4th) 239 (1987) (Ontario CA) 106n5

xix



978-1-107-03400-6 - Intellectual Property at the Edge: The Contested Contours of IP Edited by Rochelle Cooper Dreyfuss and Jane C. Ginsburg

Frontmatter

More information

xx Table of Cases

Institut National des Appellations d'Origine des Vins et Eaux-de-Vie et al. v. Andres Wines Ltd et al., (1987) 16 CPR (3d) 385 (Ont. HCJ) 124n74 Snow v. The Eaton Centre (1982) 70 CPR (2d) 105 436

European Union

Adidas-Salomon v. Fitnessworld Trading (C-408/01), [2004] E.T.M.R. 10; [2003] ECR I-12537 (ECJ) 82n5, 86n20, 92, 189n36

Anheuser-Busch v. Budvar (C-245/02), [2004] ECR I-10989 (ECJ) 92n50

Arsenal v. Reed (C-206/01), [2003] E.T.M.R. 19 (ECJ 2002) 94

Benetton v. G-Star (C-371/06), [2007] ECR I-7709 (CJEU) 188-89

Davidoff v. Gofkid (C-292/00) [2003] 1 WLR 1714, [2003] E.T.M.R. 42 86–87

Environmental Manufacturing LLP v. OHIM C-383/12P – ECR – (CJEU 2013) 89n38

Fiorucci, CJEU Grand Chamber, 05/07/2011, case n° C-263/09 41-43

Football Association Premier League (C-403/08) and *Karen Murphy* (C-429/08) 4 October 2011 (ECJ) 260n35

Gerolsteiner Brunnen GmbH & Co v. Putsch GmbH (C-100/02), [2004] E.T.M.R. 40 (ECJ) 92n50

Gillette v. LA Laboratories Oy (C-228/03), [2005] 2 CMLR 62 (ECJ) 92n50

Google France v. Louis Vuitton Malletier (C-236–238/08), [2010] E.T.M.R. 30 (CJEU 2010) 94n57, 98n79

Infopaq International (C-302/10) 17 January 2012 (ECJ) 260n35

Infopaq International (C-5/08) 16 July 2009 (ECJ) 260n35

Infopaq International A/S v. Danske Dagblades Forening (C-5/98) [2009] E.C.D.R. 16 (C.J.E.U.) 391n42

Intel Corp v. CPM (C-252/07) [2009] E.T.M.R. 13 (ECJ) 82n5, 83n8, 86n20, 87n25, 89n38

Interflora, Inc. & Anor v. Marks & Spencer plc & Anor (C-323/09), [2012] E.T.M.R. 1 (CJEU 2011) 65n30, 82n5, 87n29, 95n59, 95n60, 98–99, 99n83

Lego v. OHIM (C-48/09 P), [2010] E.T.M.R. 63 (CJEU 2010) 83n11 L'Oréal SA v. Bellure (C-487/07) [2009] E.T.M.R. 55 (ECJ 2009) 87–88, 89n38, 91–95, 95n58, 97–98

Monsanto Technology LLC v. Cefetra BV et al. [2010] E.U.E.C.J. C-428/08, [2011] F.S.R. 6 (CJEU) 392, 401

Pago Int'l v. Tirolmilch (C-301/07), [2010] E.T.M.R. 5 (ECJ) 86n19 Philips Electronics v. Remington Consumer Products Ltd (C-299/99), [2002] E.T.M.R. 81 (ECJ 2002) 83n11

Silhouette International Schmied GmbH v. Hartlauer Handlesgesellschaft (C-355/96) [1998] 3 WLR 1218 93n55



978-1-107-03400-6 - Intellectual Property at the Edge: The Contested Contours of IP Edited by Rochelle Cooper Drevfuss and Jane C. Ginsburg

Frontmatter

More information

Table of Cases xxi

Finland

Helsinki District Court, Case R 07/1004, 25 May 2007 258n19

France

- CA Paris, 26 September 2011, Nintendo c. Absolute Games & Divineo 259-60n28, 261-62n41
- CA Versailles, 12e ch., sect. 2, 22 sept. 2005 : Juris-Data n° 2005-288-693 CCE janv. 2006, n° 1, comm. n° 4, obs. C. Caron (*Hallyday*) 47–52
- Cass. com. 12 mars 1985, pourvoi n° 84-17163: Bulletin civil 1985, IV, n° 95, p. 84; JCP G 1985, II, 20040, note Georges Bonet (*Bordas*) 43–45, 51
- Cass. com. 6 mai 2003, *Ducasse*, pourvoi n° 00–18192 45–47, 51–52
- Cass. com. 24 juin 2008, *Sté André Beau c/ Beau*, pourvoi n° 07–10756 : CCE déc. 2008, comm. n° 133, obs. Christophe Caron; Juris-Data n°2008-044537 26n37
- TGI Paris, 3d December 2009, *Nintendo v. Divineo* 259–60n32, 261–62n39
- TGI Paris, 17 déc. 2012, *Ionesco c/ Ionesco*: Légipresse févr. 2013, n° 302, pp. 107–115 53–54
- Tribunal de Grande Instance de Paris 3ème chambre, 1ère section Jugement du 13 février 2002 431n21
- Tribunal de Grande Instance de Paris 3ème chambre, 3ème section Jugement du 13 février 2001 431n21

Germany

- BGH, 8.5.1968 1 ZR 67/65, NJW 1968, 2193 Rüschenhaube 183n13 BGH, 19 January 1973 – 1 ZR 39/71 – Modeneuheit, GRUR 1973, 478 183–84
- BGH 10.11.1983 1 ZR 158/81, 15 IIC 777 (1984) Hemdblusenkleid 184n16
- BGH 23.05.1991 1 ZR 286/89 Kastanienmuster 184n16
- BGH 30.01.1992 1 ZR 113/90 Pullovermuster 184n16
- BGH 22 June 1995 1 ZR 119/93, 38 IIC 140 (1997) Silberdistel 183n12
- BGH 6.11.1997 case no. 1 ZR 102/95, GRUR 1997, 477 *Trachtenjanker* 184–85, 188n31
- BGH, 17 July 2008, GRUR, 2008 258n20
- BGH, 11th February 2010 1 ZR 178/08 (OLG Hamburg) Half-Life 2 258n22
- BGH, 29th April 2010 1 ZR 39/08 (OLG Hamburg) Session-ID 258n23



978-1-107-03400-6 - Intellectual Property at the Edge: The Contested Contours of IP Edited by Rochelle Cooper Drevfuss and Jane C. Ginsburg

Frontmatter

More information

xxii Table of Cases

BGH, 13 November 2013, 1 ZR 143/2013 – *Geburtstagszug* (birthday train), Beck RS 2013, 22507 183

BGH GRUR 2006, 79 – Jeans [English translation in 38 IIC 128 (2007)] 185n18, 187–88

BGH NJW 1955, 460 - Mantelmodell 182-83

BVerfG, 15th December 2011, 1 BvR 1248/11, Absatz-Nr. (1–38) 259n24

Case 1 ZR 159/02, 3rd February 2005 (Milka chocolate) 438

LG Berlin, 14 March 1935, GRUR 1936 204 178-79n7

LG Dresden, 16 May 1930, GRUR 1930, 1209 178-79n7

LG Elberfeld, 14 September 1924, GRUR 1924, 204 – *Odal* 59–76, 82–83, 88

LG Köln, Urteil v. 23.11.2005 – Az: 28 S 6/05 (2006) Jur-PC Web-Dok. 49/2006, Nos. 1–63 258n20

LG München, 13th March 2008, 7 O 16829/07, MMR, 2008, 839 259–60n30

OLG Dresden, 11 October 1932, MuW 1933, 207 178-79n7

RG, 12 December 1905, MuW 1906, 73 - Kodak 64-5, 69

RG, 15 February 1918, MuW 1918, 214 – Bona-Tengelmanns Bona 69n50

RG, 19 March 1932, RGZ 135, 385 182n6

RG 9 April 1937, GRUR 1938, 68 182n5

RG 12 June 1937, RGZ 155 182n7

Hong Kong

Shaw Brothers (Hong Kong) Ltd v. Golden Harvest Ltd [1972] RPC 559 106n106

India

Scotch Whisky Association v. Dyer Meakin Breweries ILR 1972 Del 124 106n5

Italy

Reference for a preliminary ruling from the Tribunale di Milano (Italy) lodged on 26 July 2012 – Nintendo Co., Ltd and Others v. PC Box Srl and 9Net Srl, Case C-355/12 262n45

Tribunale del riesame di Bolzano, 31 December 2003 (*Bolzano*); Corte di Apello di Trento, 18 May 2006; Corte Suprema di Cassazione, 3 September 2007 260n33, 262n42

Tribunale Vincenza, 27 June 2003, n. 53/03 259–60n33, 262n42



Table of Cases xxiii

Netherlands

Rb. Alkmaar 30 November 2000, Computerrecht, 2001–3 261n38

New Zealand

Comité Interprofessionel du Vin de Champagne v. Wineworths Group, Ltd, [1991] 2 N.Z.L.R. 432 (H.C.) 130n1, 141n49 Wineworths Group Ltd v. Comite Interprofessionnel du Vin de Champagne (1992) 2 N.Z.L.R. 327 106n5

South Africa

Carling, Case CCT 42–04, 27th May 2005 427–28, 429–31n14, 435–37

William Grant v. Cape Wine Distillers (1990) 3 SA 897 106n5

Spain

Audiencia Provincial de Las Palmas, 5th March 2010, Modchips y Swap Magic; Juzgado de instrucción nº 004 Salamanca, 20th November 2009, *Nintendo v. Movilquick* 259–60n31

United Kingdom

Abernethy v. Hutchinson (1824–25) 3 LJ OS Ch 2009; 1 H & Tw 28; 47 ER 1313 308n89, 309, 311n106

AG Spalding & Brothers v. AW Gamage Ltd (1915) 32 RPC 273 (HL) 118n49

Arkwright (1785) Dav Pat Cas 61; 1 Web Pat Cas 64; 1 Carp Pat Cas 53 (KB) 313–14

Arkwright v. Nightingale (1785) Dav Pat Cas 37; 1 Web Pat Cas 60; 1 Carp Pat Cas 38 (CP) 313–14n115–116

Ashdown v. Telegraph Group Ltd [2002] QB 546 (CA) 398n76, 398–99

Attorney General v. Guardian (No 2) [1990] 1 AC 109 295n4 Biogen Inc. v. Medeva plc [1996] UKHL 18, [1997] RPC 1 (HL) 386, 304

Boulton and Watt v. Bull (1795) 2 H Bl 463; 126 ER 651 313–14n115 Braham v. Beachim (1878) 7 Ch D 848 121n61

Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. v. Baker Norton Pharmaceuticals Inc., [1999] RPC 253 348-49n28, n33

Bryson v. Whitehead, 1 Sim. & S. 74, 57 Eng. Rep. 29 (1822) 271n1



978-1-107-03400-6 - Intellectual Property at the Edge: The Contested Contours of IP Edited by Rochelle Cooper Dreyfuss and Jane C. Ginsburg

Frontmatter

More information

xxiv Table of Cases

Campbell v. M.G.N. Ltd [2004] UKHRR 648, [2004] UKHL 22 399n79 Canham v. Jones (1813) 2 Ves & B 218; 35 ER 302 312

Canon Kabushiki Kaisha v. Green Cartridge Co. (Hong Kong) Ltd [1997] AC 728 (HL) 388–89

Catnic Components Ltd v. Hill & Smith Ltd [1982] RPC 183 384n8 Chocosuisse Union des Fabricants Suisses de Chocolat v. Cadbury Ltd [1999] RPC 826 (CA) 124n75

Chocosuisse Union des Fabricants Suisse de Chocolat v. Cadbury Ltd [1998] RPC 117 (Ch D) 108n11, 126n82, 128

Clark v. Associated Newspapers [1998] 1 WLR 1558; [1998] RPC 261 434

Clarke v. Price (1819) 2 Wils Ch 157, 37 ER 270 310n100, 311

Coco v. A.N. Clark (Engineers) [1969] RPC 41 295n4

Comm'rs of Inland Revenue v. Muller & Co.'s Margarine Ltd, [1901] AC 217 146n75

Consorzio del Prosciutto di Parma v. Marks & Spencer plc [1990] FSR 530 (Ch D) 124–25n76

Datacard Corp. v. Eagle Technologies Limited [2011] EWHC 244 86n21, 99n82

De Francesco Barnum (1890) 45 Ch D 430 311n102

Dent v. Turpin (1861) 2 J. & H. 139 121n62

Designers Guild Ltd v. Russell Williams (Textiles) Ltd [2000] UKHL 58, [2000] 1 WLR 2416 (HL) 390–93

Diageo v. Intercontinental Brands [2010] EWHC 17 (Ch); [2010] E.T.M.R. 17 105–6n3, 119n54, 127n88

Dietrichsen v. Cabburn (1846) 2 Ph 52; 41 ER 861 311n101

Douglas v. Hello! [2008] AC 1 310n99

Dunnachie v. Young & Sons (1883) 10 SC (4th) 874 121n61

Eastman Photographic Materials Co. v. John Griffith Corp., 15 RPC 105 (1898) 64–65n30

Erven Warnink BV v. J Townend & Sons (Hull) Ltd [1979] AC 731, FSR 397 (HL) 127–28, 130n1

Erven Warnink BV v. J Townend & Sons (Hull) Ltd [1978] FSR 473 (CA) 127

Franchi v. Franchi [1967] RPC 149 295n4, n5

Generics Inc. v. Lundbeck A/S [2009] UKHL 12, [2009] RPC 13 (HL) 386–87, 389, 392, 394, 401

Gibblett v. Read (1795) 9 Mod 459, 88 ER 573 297n10

Gilham v. R [2009] EWCA Crim 2293 261n36

Higgs v. The Queen [2008] EWCA Crim 1324 257n17

HP Bulmer Ltd v. Bollinger SA [1978] RPC 79 CA 130n1

HP Bulmer Ltd and Showerings Ltd v. J. Bollinger SA & Champagne Lanson Père et Fils [1977] 2 CMLR 625 118n50, 118n51



978-1-107-03400-6 - Intellectual Property at the Edge: The Contested Contours of IP Edited by Rochelle Cooper Drevfuss and Jane C. Ginsburg

Frontmatter

More information

Table of Cases xxv

Human Genome Sciences Inc. v. Eli Lilly and Co. [2011] UKSC 51 387n22

- J. Bollinger v. Costa Brava Wine Co. Ltd [1961] 1 All ER 561;
 [1961] RPC 116 (Ch D) (Trial decision) (Spanish Champagne No. 2) 105–9, 116–23, 128–32, 135, 141–42, 154
- J. Bollinger v. Costa Brava Wine Co. Ltd [1960] Ch 262; [1960] RPC 16(Ch D) (Spanish Champagne No. 1) 105, 116n43, 117n45, 120–22, 130n1
- J. Bollinger v. Costa Brava Wine Co. Ltd [1959] RPC 289 (Ch D) 116n43
- J. Bollinger v. Costa Brava Wine Co. Ltd [1959] RPC 150 (Ch D) 116n43

Jenks v. Holford (1682) 1 Vern 61; 23 ER 311 297n9

John Walker & Sons Ltd v. Henry Ost & Co. Ltd [1970] RPC 489, Ch D 130n1, 141n50

Johnson v. Shrewsbury and Birmingham Railway Company (1853) 3 De GM & G 914; 43 ER 358 311n104

Kabushiki Kaisha Sony Computer Entertainment Inc. (t/a Sony Computer Entertainment Inc.) v. Ball & Ors [2004] EWHC 1192 (Ch) (17 May 2004) 259–60n29

Kirin-Amgen Inc. v. Hoescht Marion Roussel Ltd, [2004] UKHL 46, [2005] RPC 9 384, 385n13, 386, 394

Liardet v. Johnson (1778) 1 Web Pat Cas 53; 1 Carp Pat Cas 35 312–15

L'Oréal SA v. Bellure [2007] EWCA Civ 968 89–90n41, 91n45, 117–18n48

L'Oréal SA v. Bellure [2010] EWCA Civ. 535 87n31, 95–96, 100–1

Lumley v. Wagner (1852) 1 De GM & G 604; 42 ER 687 311n104

M'Andrew v. Bassett 46 ER 965 (1864) 119n53

Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals Inc. v. H.B. Norton &

Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals Inc. v. H.B. Norton & Co. Ltd [1995] UKHL 14, [1996] RPC 76 385n13, 385

Morison v. Moat (1851) 9 Hare 241; 68 ER 492 309n94

Morris v. Colman (1812) 18 Ves Jr 437; 34 ER 382 311n100

Motley v. Downman, 40 ER 824 (1837) 119n53

Mustad v. Allcock and Dosen (1928) [1964] 1 WLR 109 (HL) 295n5

Newbery v. James (1817) 2 Mer 446; 35 ER 1011 297-317

Newspaper Licensing Agency v. Marks & Spencer plc (N.L.A.) [2001] UKHL 38, [2002] RPC 225 (HL) 390–93

Newspaper Licensing Agency Ltd v. Meltwater Holding BV [2010] EWHC 3099; [2011] EWCA Civ 890 391n42, 398n76

Nintendo Company Ltd & ANR v. Console PC Com Ltd. [2010] EWHC 1932 (Ch) 261n36

Perry v. Truefitt (1842) 6 Beav 66 117n47

Radde v. Norman (1872) LR 14 Eq. 348 119n53



978-1-107-03400-6 - Intellectual Property at the Edge: The Contested Contours of IP Edited by Rochelle Cooper Dreyfuss and Jane C. Ginsburg

Frontmatter

More information

xxvi Table of Cases

Reckitt & Colman Products Ltd v. Borden Inc. [1990] RPC 341, 406 (HL) 117n46

Schering A.G.'s Application [1971] 1 WLR 1715 349n33

Schütz (UK) Ltd v. Werit (UK) Ltd [2013] UKSC 16 383, 400-1

Schütz (UK) Ltd v. Werit (UK) Ltd [2011] EWCA Civ 303, [2011] FSR 19 (CA) 387–91, 394, 400–1

Schütz (UK) Ltd v. Werit (UK) Ltd [2010] EWHC 660 (Pat.), [2010] FSR 22 387–89, 393

Scotch Whisky Association v. Glen Kella Distillers Ltd [1997] E.T.M.R. 470 127n87

Seixo v. Provezende (1866) LR 1 Ch App 192 120n56

Siegert v. Findlater (1878) 7 Ch D 801 119n53

Southorn v. Reynolds (1865) 12 LT 75 121n62

Spalding v. Gamage (1915) 32 RPC 273 119n52

Specsavers International Healthcare Ltd v. Asda Stores Ltd (No. 2) [2012] EWCA Civ. 24 99–100n85

Stephens v. Avery [1988] Ch 449 295n4

The Free Fishers and Dredgers of Whitstable v. Elliott (1888) 4 TLR 273 121n61

Tipping v. Tipping (1721) 11 Vin Abr 244 p. 15 297n10

Townend & Sons (Hull) Ltd (1979), [1979] AC 731, [1979] 5 FSR 397, [1980] RPC 31, [1979] 2 All E.R. 927, [1980] RPR 31 (UK HL) 141n50

Turner v. Winter (1787) 1 TR 602; 99 ER 1274 313-14n115

Twentieth Century Fox v. Anglo-Amalgamated Film Distributors (1965) 109 SJ107 429n11

United Wire Ltd v. Screen Repair Services (Scotland) Ltd, [2001] RPC 24 (CA HL) 387, 389

Vine Products v. Mackenzie [1969] RPC 1, 23 (Ch) 105n2, 124n74, 127n83, 130n1

Virgin Atlantic Airways Ltd v. Premium Aircraft Interiors UK Ltd [2009] EWCA Civ 1062, [2010] RPC 8 384–85

Wellcome Foundation Ltd v. Plantex, [1979] RPC 514 347-48n27

Whirlpool Corp. v. Kenwood, [2009] EWCA Civ. 753 97–98

Williams v. Williams (1817) 3 Mer 157; 36 ER 61 309n91, n94, 311, 312n110

Wotherspoon v. Currie (1872) LR 5 HL 518 119n53, 120

Yovatt v. Winyard (1820) 1 Jac & W 394; 37 ER 425 309

United States

Abdul-Jabbar v. General Motors Corp., 85 F.3d 407 (9th Cir. 1996) 24n33, 26n45



978-1-107-03400-6 - Intellectual Property at the Edge: The Contested Contours of IP Edited by Rochelle Cooper Dreyfuss and Jane C. Ginsburg

Frontmatter

More information

Table of Cases xxvii

- Agfa Monotype Corp. v. Adobe Systems, Inc., 404 F.Supp.2d 1030 (N.D. Ill. 2005) 240n23
- A.L.A. Schecter Poultry Corp. v. United States, 295 U.S. 495 (1935) 168n36
- Am. Permahedge, Inc. v. Barcana, Inc., 901 F. Supp 155 (S.D.N.Y. 1995), aff'd, 105 F.3d 1441 (Fed. Cir. 1997) 335n68
- American Needle, Inc. v. National Football League, 130 S. Ct. 2201 (2010) 36n90
- American Waltham Watch Co. v. United States Watch Co., (1899) 173

 Mass. 85 120n56
- Apple, Inc. v. Psystar Corp., 673 F.Supp.2d 931 (N.D. Cal. 2009) 239n20
- Association for Molecular Pathology v. Myriad Genetics, Inc., No. 12-398 (U.S. S. Ct. 2013) 341–42n90, 361–62, 369, 371, 376n46, 378–81
- Ass'n for Molecular Pathology v. U.S. Patent & Trademark Office and Myriad Genetics, Inc., 689 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2012) 361–62, 367n22
- Ass'n for Molecular Pathology v. U.S. Patent & Trademark Office, 669 F.Supp.2d 365 (S.D.N.Y. 2009) 361–62
- Atari Games Corp. v. Nintendo of America, Inc., 975 F.2d 832 (Fed. Cir. 1992) 279n61
- Aunt Jemima Mills Co. v. Rigney & Co., 247 F. 407 (2d Cir. 1917) 84n13
- Balboa Ins. Co. v. Trans Global Equities, 218 Cal. App. 3d 1327, 267 Cal. Rptr. 787, (1990) 279n61
- Bilski v. Kappos, 130 S. Ct. 3218 (2011) 362-64
- Black Hills Jewelry Mfg. Co. v. Gold Rush, Inc., 633 F.2d 746, 208 U.S.P.Q. 631 (8th Cir. 1980) 143n60
- Bleistein v. Donaldson Lithographing Co., 188 U.S. 239, 252 (U.S. 1903) 74n85, 419n44
- Booth v. Curtis Publ'g Co., 15 A.D.2d 343, 223 N.Y.S.2d 737 (N.Y. App. Div. 1962) 26n40
- Borden Ice Cream Co. v. Borden's Condensed Milk Co., 201 F. 510 (7th Cir. 1912) 84
- Boston Professional Hockey Ass'n, Inc. v. Dallas Cap & Emblem Mfg., Inc., 510 F.2d 1004 (5th Cir. 1975) 89n40
- Bowers v. Baystate Tech., Inc., 302 F.3d 1334 (Fed. Cir. 2002) 279n61
- Brinkerhoff, ex parte, 24 Dec. Comm'r 349 (1883) 324
- Bureau National Interprofessionnel du Cognac and Schieffelin & Co. v. International Better Drinks Corporation, 6 U.S.P.Q.2d 1610 (Trademark Tr. & App. Bd 1988) 135–36, 154



978-1-107-03400-6 - Intellectual Property at the Edge: The Contested Contours of IP Edited by Rochelle Cooper Dreyfuss and Jane C. Ginsburg

Frontmatter More information

xxviii Table of Cases

- California Fruit Canners' Association v. Myer (1899) 104 Fed. Rep. 82 122n63
- Calmic Engineering Co.'s App., Re [1973] R.P.C. 684 344n4
- Campbell v. Acuff-Rose Music, Inc., 510 US 569 (1994) 419n43
- Cardtoons, L.C. v. Major League Baseball Players Ass'n, 95 F.3d 959 (10th Cir. 1996) 17–18n4, 24–25n34, 25–26n39, 29–30n58, 32n66, 32–33n69, 33n71, 38n92
- Carson v. Here's Johnny Portable Toilets, Inc., 698 F.2d 831 (6th Cir. 1983) 26n43
- C.B.C. Distrib. & Mktg., Inc. v. Major League Baseball Advanced Media, L.P., 505 F.3d 818 (8th Cir. 2007) 19–20n13, 24–25n34, 33n72
- Chamberlain Group v. Skylink Technologies, 381 F.3d 1178 (Fed. Cir. 2004) 247–49
- Cheney Bros. v. Doris Silk Corporation, 35 F.2d 279, 281 (2d Cir. 1929) 162n15, 175n67, 69, 184n15
- Cher v. Forum Int'l, Inc., 692 F.2d 634 (9th Cir. 1982) 29n55
- Christianson v. Colt Industries Operating Corp., 870 F.2d 1292, 1299 (7th Cir. 1989) 291
- Christianson v. Colt Indus. Operating Corp., 609 F. Supp. 1174, 1184 (C.D. Ill. 1985) 291n122
- Coach Servs. v. Triumph Learning LLC, 668 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2012) 86n21
- Comedy III Prods., Inc. v. Gary Saderup, Inc., 25 Cal. 4th 387, 396, 21 P.3d 797 (Cal. 2001) 27n49, 28n51, 31–32n64, 33n71
- Comedy III Prod., Inc. v. New Line Cinema, 200 F.3d 593 (9th Cir. 2000) 19n9, 35n85
- Coupons, Inc. v. Stottlemire, 588 F.Supp.2d 1069 (N.D. Cal. 2008) 243–44
- CoxCom, Inc. v. Chaffee, 536 F.3d 101 (1st Cir. 2008) 238n18 Craigslist v. Naturemarket, 694 F.Supp.2d 1039 (N.D. Cal. 2010) 245–46
- Cryogenic Assocs. Div. of Beatrice Foods Co. v. Johnston, 188 U.S.P.Q. 273, 276 (S.D. Ind. 1975) 285n92
- Data Gen. Corp. v. Grumman Sys. Support Corp., 825 F. Supp. 340, 359 (D. Mass. 1993) 284n90
- Davidson & Associates v. Jung, 422 F.3d 630 (8th Cir. 2005) 249n47, 279n61
- Delaware & Hudson Canal Co. v. Clark, 13 Wall. (80 U. S.) 311 119–20n55
- Dellesanfdoedro v. Henry Holt & Co., 4 A.D.2d 470, 166 N.Y.S.2d 805 (N.Y.A.D. 1957) 31n63
- Diamond v. Chakrabarty, 447 U.S. 303 (1980) 280n67, 324–25, 361n4, 367, 371, 379n55



978-1-107-03400-6 - Intellectual Property at the Edge: The Contested Contours of IP Edited by Rochelle Cooper Drevfuss and Jane C. Ginsburg

Frontmatter

More information

Table of Cases xxix

- Diamond v. Diehr, 450 U.S. 175 (1981) 361n4, 363-64
- Doe v. TCI Cablevision, 110 S.W.3d 363 (Mo. 2003) 32n65, 32–33n70, 33n71, 33n73
- Dow Chem. Co. v. Am. Bromine Co., 210 Mich. 262, 17 N.W. 996, 1007 (1920) 291n119
- Dr. Seuss Enters., L.P. v. Penguin Books USA, Inc., 109 F.3d 1394 (9th Cir. 1997) 411–12n20, 415n33
- Duro Pump & Manufacturing Co. v. California Cedar Products Co. 11 F.2d 205 (D.C. Cir. 1926) 78
- Echostar Satellite, L.L.C. v. Viewtech, Inc., 543 F.Supp.2d 1201 (S.D.Cal. 2008) 238n17
- Edison v. Edison Polyform Mfg. Co., 67 A. 392 (N.J. Ch. 1907) 22n26, 25–26n38
- Egilman v. Keller & Heckman, 401 F.Supp.2d 105 (D.D.C. 2005) 245
- Elf Atochem N. Am., Inc. v. Libbey-Owens-Ford Co., 894 F. Supp 844 (D. Del. 1995) 335n68
- Emergency One, Inc. v. American Fire Eagle Engine Co., Inc., 332 F.3d 264, 268 (4th Cir. 2003) 152n105
- Emtel, Inc. v. Lipidlabs, Inc., 583 F. Supp. 2d 811 (S. D. Tex. 2008) 341
- E.S.S. Entertainment 2000, Inc. v. Rock Star Videos, Inc., 547 F.3d 1095 (9th Cir. 2008) 421n46
- Estate of Fuller v. Maxfield & Oberton Holdings, LLC, ___ F. Supp. 2d ___, 2012 WL 5392626, at *6 (N.D. Cal. 2012) 27n47
- ETW Corp. v. Jireh Publ'g, Inc., 332 F.3d 915 (6th Cir. 2003) 19n7, 19–20n11, 32n67, 32–33n68, 38n92
- Eva's Bridal Ltd v. Halanick Enterprises, Inc. 639 F.3d 788, 790 (7th Cir. 2011) 145n67
- Evony, LLC v. Holland, Slip Copy, 2011 WL 1230405 (W.D.Pa. 2011) 243n28
- Fairfield v. Amer. Photographic Equip. Co., 138 Cal. App. 2d 82, 291 P.2d 194 (Cal. Ct. App. 1955) 25–26n36
- Fashion Originators' Guild of America., Inc. v. FTC, 312 U.S. 457 (1941) 160n5, 163-64n23, 176, 178-79
- Fashion Originators' Guild of America., Inc. v. FTC, 114 F.2d 80 (2d Cir. 1940) 175, 176n75, 178–79n86
- Florida v. Real Juices, Inc., 330 F. Supp. 428 (M.D. Fla. 1971) 144n65
- Ford Motor Co. v. Summit Motor Products, Inc., 930 F.2d 277, 292 (3d Cir. 1991) 152n105
- Foster-Milburn Co. v. Chinn, 134 Ky. 424, 120 S.W. 364 (1909) 25–26n37
- Frosch v. Grosset & Dunlop, Inc., 75 A.D.2d 768, 427 N.Y.S.2d 828 (N.Y.A.D. 1980) 31n63



978-1-107-03400-6 - Intellectual Property at the Edge: The Contested Contours of IP Edited by Rochelle Cooper Dreyfuss and Jane C. Ginsburg

Frontmatter

More information

xxx Table of Cases

- Funk Brothers Seed Company v. Kato Inoculant Co., 333 U.S. 127 (1948) 361–69, 374–75, 377–78, 380–81, 395
- General Motors Corp. v. Gibson Chemical & Oil Corp., 786 F.2d 105 (2d Cir. 1986) 145n66
- Gigliemi v. Spelling-Goldberg Productions, 25 Cal.3d 860, 160 Cal. Rptr. 352, 603 P.2d 454 (1979) 31n63
- Gionfriddo v. Major League Baseball, 94 Cal. App. 4th 400 (2001) 32n66, 33
- Gottschalk v. Benson, 409 U.S. 63 (1972) 361, 368, 374
- Grant v. Raymond, 31 U.S. 218, 247 (1832) 290-91n117
- Groden v. Random House, Inc., 61 F.3d 1045 (2d Cir. 1995) 29n55
- Ground Zero Museum Workshop v. Wilson, F.Supp.2d –-, 2011 WL 3758582 (D.Md. 2011) 245n33
- Haelan Laboratories v. Topps Chewing Gum, Inc. 202 F.2d 866 (2d Cir. 1953) 17–39, 41–45, 47, 49–51, 54, 56
- Haelan Labs, Inc. v. Topps Chewing Gum, Co., 112 F. Supp. 904 (E.D.N.Y. 1953) 21n22
- Hart v. Electronic Arts, Inc., 808 F. Supp. 2d 757 (D.N.J. 2011) 31n62, 32n67, 34
- Hicks v. Casablanca Records, 464 F. Supp. 426 (S.D.N.Y. 1978) 29–30n58
- Hilton v. Hallmark Cards, 599 F.3 894 (9th Cir. 2010) 31n61
- Hoffman v. Capital Cities/ABC, Inc., 255 F.3d 1180 (9th Cir. 2001) 27n49
- ILG Indus., Inc. v. Scott, 49 Ill. 2d 88, 94, 273 N.E.2d 393, 396 (1971) 284n88
- I.M.S. Inquiry Mgmt. Sys. v. Berkshire Info. Sys., 307 F.Supp.2d 521(S.D.N.Y. 2004) 244–45
- In the Matter of C. & W.'s Application for a Patent, (1914) 31 R.P.C. 235 (SG) 344n4
- Industrial Rayon Corp. v. Dutchess Underwear Corp., 92 F.2d 33 (2d Cir. 1937), cert. denied, 303 U.S. 640, 82 L. Ed. 1100, 58 S. Ct. 610 (1938) 143n58
- Institut National des Appellations d'Origine v. Brown-Forman Corp. 47 U.S.P.Q.2d 1875 (Trademark Tr. & App. Bd. 1998) 136–38, 154
- International News Service v. Associated Press, 248 U.S. 215 (1918) 63, 69n48, 69-70, 74-76, 88, 96-97, 175n68, 184n15
- Jagex Ltd v. Impulse Software, 750 F.Supp.2d 228 (D. Mass. 2010) 243
- James Burrough Limited v. Beef/Eater Restaurants, Inc., 272 F.Supp. 489 (D.C.Ga. 1967) 80n116
- Jordache Enterprises, Inc. v. Hogg Wyld, Ltd, 625 F. Supp. 48 (DNM 1985) 417n39