

Compensation for Personal Injury in English, German and Italian Law

Cross-border claims for personal injuries are becoming more common. Furthermore, European nationals increasingly join class actions in the USA. These tendencies have created a need to know more about the law of damages in Europe and America.

Despite the growing importance of this subject, there is a dearth of material available to practitioners to assist them in advising their clients as to the heads of damage recoverable in other countries. This book aims to fill that gap by looking at the law in England, Germany and Italy. It sets out the raw data in the wider context of tort law, then provides a closer synthesis, largely concerned with methodological issues, and draws some comparative conclusions.

BASIL MARKESINIS QC, FBA is Professor of Common and Civil Law at University College London and Jamail Regents Chair in Law at the University of Texas at Austin. He is the author or co-author of twenty-five books and over a hundred articles published in major European and US legal journals. He has received high decorations from the Presidents of France, Germany, Greece and Italy for his work on European law and European integration and is Corresponding Member of the Academies of Athens, Belgium, France and the Netherlands.

MICHAEL COESTER has been an Ordinarius Professor of Law at the University of Göttingen (1983–1994) and Munich since 1994. He was Dean of the faculty in Göttingen and has served on the Senate of the University of Munich. He has been Visiting Professor at the University of Michigan, University College London, and University of Nanjing. He has authored four books and over 130 articles published in journals of several countries, and is the co-author of two leading German commentaries on private and private international law.

GUIDO ALPA FBA is Professor of Civil Law at the University of Rome 'La Sapienza' and Professor of Anglo-American Law at the University of Genoa. He has been Vice President of the Italian Bar Council since 2001 and President of the Italian Bar Council since 2004. Professor Alpa has published books on civil law, financial markets contracts and regulation, consumer protection, tort liability and comparative law.



Π

AUGUSTUS ULLSTEIN LL B. Q.C. is a barrister practising in London. He specialises in Personal Injuries and Product Liability cases arising from accidents occurring in England, Europe and the USA. He has given expert evidence in the USA on the English Law of damages in Personal Injury cases.

With a Foreword by the Rt Hon. the Lord Steyn.



0521846137 - Compensation for Personal Injury in English, German and Italian Law: A Comparative Outline

Basil Markesinis, Michael Coester, Guido Alpa and Augustus Ullstein

Frontmatter

More information

CAMBRIDGE STUDIES IN INTERNATIONAL AND COMPARATIVE LAW

Established in 1946, this series produces high quality scholarship in the fields of public and private international law and comparative law. Although these are distinct legal subdisciplines, developments since 1946 confirm their interrelation.

Comparative law is increasingly used as a tool in the making of law at national, regional, and international levels. Private international law is now often affected by international conventions, and the issues faced by classical conflicts rules are frequently dealt with by substantive harmonisation of law under international auspices. Mixed international arbitrations, especially those involving state economic activity, raise mixed questions of public and private international law, while in many fields (such as the protection of human rights and democratic standards, investment guarantees and international criminal law) international and national systems interact. National constitutional arrangements relating to "foreign affairs," and to the implementation of international norms, are a focus of attention.

The Board welcomes works of a theoretical or interdisciplinary character, and those focusing on the new approaches to international or comparative law or conflicts of law. Studies of particular institutions or problems are equally welcome, as are translations of the best work published in other languages.

General Editors James Crawford SC FBA

Whewell Professor of International Law, Faculty of Law, and Director, Lauterpacht Research Centre for International Law,

University of Cambridge John S. Bell FBA

Professor of Law, Faculty of Law, University of Cambridge

Editorial Board Professor Hilary Charlesworth University of Adelaide

Professor Lori Damrosch Columbia University Law School

Professor John Dugard Universiteit Leiden

Professor Mary-Ann Glendon Harvard Law School

Professor Christopher Greenwood London School of Economics

Professor David Johnston University of Edinburgh Professor Hein Kötz Max-Planck-Institut, Hamburg Professor Donald McRae University of Ottawa Professor Onuma Yasuaki University of Tokyo

Professor Reinhard Zimmermann Universität Regensburg

Advisory Committee Professor D.W. Bowett QC

Judge Rosalyn Higgins QC Professor J.A. Jolowicz QC

Professor Sir Elihu Lauterpacht CBE QC

Professor Kurt Lipstein Judge Stephen Schwebel

(list continues at the end of the book)



Compensation for Personal Injury in English, German and Italian Law

A Comparative Outline

Basil Markesinis, Michael Coester, Guido Alpa and Augustus Ullstein





PUBLISHED BY THE PRESS SYNDICATE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge, United Kingdom

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK
40 West 20th Street, New York, NY 10011-4211, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
Ruiz de Alarcón 13, 28014 Madrid, Spain
Dock House, The Waterfront, Cape Town 8001, South Africa

© Basil Markesinis, Michael Coester, Guido Alpa and Augustus Ullstein 2005

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2005

http://www.cambridge.org

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

Typeface Swift 10/13 pt System $\text{MT}_{E}X \ 2_{\varepsilon}$ [TB]

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

ISBN 0 521 84613 7 hardback



Contents

	Foreword	page x111
	Preface	XV
	Table of cases	xviii
	List of Abbreviations	xxxiii
1.	Introduction	1
	Preliminary observations	1
	The problem of terms, concepts and language	2
	English law	2
	German law	3
	Italian law	5
	The impact of history: juries, non-juries, academic	
	writers	8
	English law	8
	German law	10
	Italian law	11
	Levels of award: a first glance	16
	English law	16
	German law	17
	Italian law	18
	Basic principles of tort law, especially to the extent	
	that they affect compensation practice	20
	English law	20
	German law	22
	Italian law	23
	Size of judiciary, volume of litigation, delays and cost	26
	English law	26

VII



0521846137 - Compensation for Personal Injury in English, German and Italian Law: A Comparative Outline

Basil Markesinis, Michael Coester, Guido Alpa and Augustus Ullstein

Frontmatter

More information

VIII CONTENTS

	German law	27
	Italian law	28
	Who pays legal costs? Is legal aid available and, if so, to	
	whom and on what basis? Does legal aid act as a brake	
	on litigation? Are conditional fee agreements or	
	contingency fees permitted?	29
	English law	29
	German law	30
	Italian law	32
	Social security, other sources of revenue and tort law	33
	English law	33
	German law	35
	Italian law	36
	Method of payment	36
	English law	36
	German law	42
	Italian law	44
2.	General damages: non-pecuniary losses	45
	English law	45
	Introduction	45
	Concept of general damages	46
	The 'assessment' concept of general damages	50
	Psychiatric injury	51
	Loss of marriage prospects	58
	Loss of congenial employment	58
	German law	59
	Introduction	59
	Principles of fair compensation and satisfaction	62
	Assessment of non-pecuniary damages resulting from	
	personal injury in general	65
	Particular factors for the assessment of the	
	compensation	68
	Italian law	82
	Introduction	82
	Principle of full compensation	83
	Danno biologico	84
	Statutory rules about danno biologico	86
	Methods for the liquidation of danno biologico	87
	Life and death	89



o521846137 - Compensation for Personal Injury in English, German and Italian Law: A Comparative Outline

Basil Markesinis, Michael Coester, Guido Alpa and Augustus Ullstein

Frontmatter

More information

		CONTENTS	IX
	Psychiatric injury		90
	Danno morale (pain and suffering)		91
	Quantification of danno morale		94
3.	Special damages: past losses		97
	English law		97
	Introduction		97
	Loss of earnings		98
	Past medical care		98
	Personal expenses		102
	Travel costs		102
	Aids and equipment		103
	Accommodation		104
	Other possible headings		105
	German law		105
	Loss of earnings		105
	Past medical care		105
	Travel costs		107
	Aids and equipment		113
	Accommodation		114
	Italian law		115
4.	Future pecuniary losses		116
	English law		116
	Introduction		116
	Principle of full compensation		117
	Method of calculation		119
	Future loss of earnings		123
	Medical treatment and therapies		126
	Third parties taking care of the claimant's		
	needs		128
	Future loss: home care or an institution?		133
	Accommodation		134
	Future loss: aids and equipment		136
	Loss of pension		137
	The lost years		138
	German law		138
	Future pecuniary losses		138
	Loss of earnings		142
	Future medical care		154



o521846137 - Compensation for Personal Injury in English, German and Italian Law: A Comparative Outline

Basil Markesinis, Michael Coester, Guido Alpa and Augustus Ullstein

Frontmatter

More information

X CONTENTS

	Is the victim bound to use the money he has received for		
	adequate care, or can he do with it as he		
	likes?	158	
	Other potential heads of damage	160	
	Lost years	161	
	Italian law	162	
	Introduction	162	
	Method of calculation	163	
	Future loss of earnings (capacità lavorativa specifica,		
	specific working incapacity)	164	
	Loss of opportunities	168	
	Method for the calculation of compensation:		
	lump sum, life annuity	168	
	Medical treatment and therapies	169	
5.	Collateral sources of revenue: subrogation rights and		
	miscellaneous matters	171	
	English law	171	
	Social security payments	171	
	Pension losses	172	
	Monies provided by the employer	173	
	Benevolence of third parties	173	
	Insurance policies taken out by the injured		
	person	174	
	Duties of local authorities	174	
	Interest	175	
	Limitation periods	175	
	Persons under a disability	177	
	Miscellaneous matters	179	
	German law	181	
	Introductory observations	181	
	Social security payments	182	
	Pension losses	186	
	Services/payments from the employer, insurer,		
	family and friends	188	
	Insurance policies	189	
	Interest	190	
	Limitation periods	191	
	Persons under a disability	192	



Cambridge University Press 0521846137 - Compensation for Personal Injury in English, German and Italian Law: A Comparative Outline

Basil Markesinis, Michael Coester, Guido Alpa and Augustus Ullstein

Frontmatter More information

	CONTENTS	XI
	Italian law	194
	Introductory observations	194
	Social security payments	194
	Insurance policies	195
	Interest	196
	Limitation period	196
6.	Conclusions	197
	General observations	197
	The wider background	200
	More specific conclusions	207
	'European' and 'American' law	207
	Punitive damages	209
	Variations in awards within national European systems	211
	Easy access to justice as a prerequisite to obtaining	
	compensation	212
	Problems of comparison with non-pecuniary damages	214
	Specificity about the size of awards	217
	Nature and wealth of the defendant as a determinant of	
	the size of the award	219
	Proposals for reform?	220
Арр	pendix: Comparative tables on the evaluation of physical	
	injury (IP) for micro-permanent injuries	225
	Index	228



Foreword

In 1871, when reviewing Addison's recently published *The Law of Torts*, Oliver Wendell Holmes expressed the view that 'Torts is not a proper subject for a law book' ((1871) 5 Am.LR 340). In 1881 Holmes gave the lie to this idea in his famous book *The Common Law* which contained a magisterial chapter on the theory of the law of torts. Today, tort law has a strong claim to have generated more case law and more literature than any other branch of the law.

In an age in which comparative law has come of age the development of our tort law has benefited greatly from comparative methods. It has enabled us to test our law against feasible solutions adopted in foreign legal systems. Due perhaps in large measure to the relative inaccessibility of sources in foreign languages, the comparative exercise has unfortunately in English legal practice largely concentrated on decisions in common law jurisdictions, such as Australia, Canada, New Zealand and South Africa. That our courts need not be so inhibited has been underlined, for example, by three major works, i.e. Prof. Christian von Bar, The Common European Law of Torts, vols. 1 and 2 (2000); Prof. Walter van Gerven (van Gerven, Lever and Larouche), Cases, Materials and Text on National, Supranational and International Tort Law (2000); Prof. Basil Markesinis and Prof. Hannes Unberath, The German Law of Torts (4th edn, 2002). All three are, of course, essential reading for practitioners. The decision of the House of Lords in Fairchild v. Glenhaven Funeral Services [2003] 1 AC 32, which concerned the age old tort problem of uncertainty about which employment caused a disease, has demonstrated what can be done, if the complex foreign material is 'packaged' in an attractive manner. The opinion of Lord Bingham of Cornhill (at 58 to 63 and 66) relied strongly on the rich sources of modern civilian practice and doctrine: see also the opinion of Lord Rodger of Earlsferry

XIII



XIV FOREWORD

(at 117 to 118). Practitioners need to take account of the important lesson of *Fairchild* that Continental jurisprudence really matters.

Now there is another great step forward with the publication of this book. The subject of compensation for personal injury is of great practical importance in all civil justice systems. The book compares the solutions adopted in English, German and Italian law. The aim is essentially practical, namely to make available to judges, practitioners and academic lawyers a detailed account of the decisions of foreign courts, packaged to meet the needs of practitioners, in order to enable the comparative point of view to play a dynamic role in the development of our law.

The book has been written by distinguished lawyers who share a profound knowledge of tort law and comparative methodology. Not surprisingly, they have produced a first class book which is a notable contribution to tort law and comparative law studies. It contains much material which those in practice cannot afford to ignore. I commend it unreservedly to judges, practitioners and academic lawyers.

JOHAN STEYN House of Lords, June 2004



Preface

Biblical texts warn us that no one can serve two gods. Lawyers, no doubt, have occasionally done so; and comparative lawyers must, surely, have a dispensation to do so regularly. For the *raison d'être* of the latter is to describe and compare different systems without fear or favour, largely for the sake of the advantages and the insights that flow from any comparative exercise. We have thus tried to present in a comparative juxtaposition three major legal systems of the world and have addressed our text to two readerships which are often described as being very different – practitioners (including judges) and academics. We have done this for two reasons.

Many have written about the respective tasks of these two kinds of lawyers; and in England those who have done so have stressed how different they are. There is, of course, some truth in these assertions; but in our view these differences have also been exaggerated – at any rate whenever one is trying to make the one group work closely with the other, as we feel they must. For in such circumstances academics must try to present their theories in any way that makes them palatable to practitioners; if they do not, their dish (for which read ideas) will not be savoured.

To the extent that the book describes in *modest* detail what can be claimed in the event of personal (not fatal) injuries in the three systems compared, it tries to serve the first constituency. Two of us – Basil Markesinis and Augustus Ullstein – have encountered this need in our professional careers; and one more – Guido Alpa – also practises as an *avvocato* in Rome and Genoa and knows the needs of the profession.

If the first of our targeted groups needs 'usable' *data*, the second needs *thoughts and ideas* that can promote further reflection. Here the effort had to go into the 'packaging' of the information we assembled for this book in a way that made it look more than just a list of similar and different solutions. Here, two of us – Basil Markesinis and Michael Coester – took

XV



XVI PREFACE

more time to achieve this overall result by going over the entire text several times and minimising, whenever possible, the effects of a presentation that was too slanted towards national habits and methods. A few words need to be said about the difficulties the authors encountered in carrying out this enterprise.

Since this book was written in English and primarily addresses an Anglophone readership, inevitably it had to take as its starting point the classification structure known to the common law. If, as we hope, the reader thinks that, overall, the presentation of the English, German and Italian law makes good reading, it means that we have succeeded in our 'packaging' efforts of the other two legal systems. But this was by no means an easy task, as the specialist reader of any of these systems can attest. For the truth of the matter is that the structures, divisions, concepts and notions used in this book, being of common law origin, did not always fit in easily with what exists in Germany and Italy, which is often very different to the English. Even the writing style of lawyers who come from different countries is different and here, again, we have tried to produce a work which will sit well in the library of a common lawyer. But 'different' does not mean less valid, less interesting or less attractive. This, too, is made clear in several parts of the narrative; and tribute is here paid to the two non-common lawyers who co-authored this book and so generously agreed to comply with the demands of English language and practice.

'Packaging', thus had to take place for, otherwise, the Continental systems discussed in this book, which have served as models for many countries, would continue to be a mystery to anyone but their own nationals and devotees. In our view, the increasingly transnational nature of personal injuries litigation cannot tolerate such parochialism. Thus, the contribution to the art of 'packaging' forms the first part of the intellectual contribution this book tries to make to the art of comparison; the synthesising conclusions form the other. Broadly speaking, the whole enterprise follows the approach advocated by one of us on many occasions, most recently in his monograph entitled Comparative Law in the Courtroom and the Classroom: The Story of the Last Thirty Five Years (Hart Publishing, 2003) (this will soon appear in French, German and Italian translations, an indication perhaps of the interest this method is attracting in these countries) and has tried to avoid the format of a questionnaire which jurists from different systems dutifully fill in. Such works may be useful in one sense; but from a scholarly angle they seem less appealing.

One last word is needed on 'packaging'.



PREFACE XVII

A number of contemporary comparatists have objected to such efforts at 'packaging' foreign law. They say it does not work. They also argue that it 'betrays' the essential features of the foreign system, which must be seen in its wider environment. We see no betrayal whatsoever in an effort which tries to make national wisdom and experience internationally known and appreciated. And we affected no cover-up of the essential features of a particular system, as our readers will see when reading *carefully* what one could loosely describe as the components of the book which contain the information about national law. For from them one can glean additional information about history, the sources of law, the identity of the major protagonists, the abstract or concrete mould of mind of each system compared in this book, the style of judgments, as well as find out how they compensate different headings of damage. Dare we thus say it? This book, like most books which contain personal experiences of many years and not just information, should therefore be read on two levels: the obvious and the concealed.

That despite our efforts, disagreements may still persist about the method is as possible as it is likely that the information provided on each particular issue will not always be found to be as extensive in all three systems under comparison. This, for instance, becomes obvious in chapter 3 as a result of the unwillingness of Italian law to devise different rules for calculating past and future economic losses. Here, then, no amount of 'packaging' could (or should) conceal existing difference. The reader must be left free to decide if the differences are 'apparent' rather than 'real', as well as the more difficult question whether the approach of Italian law could be improved. Once again, the accusation of 'betraying' a foreign system by making it accessible to lawyers of another is, to us, ludicrous.

For us, however, making value judgments of this kind was a matter of lesser import. For, this, essentially, is an essay in comparative methodology which all of us, in our similar and different ways of 'making a living out of the law', are trying to develop in order to practise our profession. If the attempt to innovate has carried with it problems, we were willing to confront them and even risk falling into error since we know that all human action entails the risk of error. For, as the great Goethe (in *Faust*, Part I (1790; Insel edn, 1965), p. 16) put it, *Es irrt der Mensch*, *solang er strebt*. The alternative – inaction – was not an option.

Basil Markesinis QC, FBA (London and Texas); Guido Alpa, FBA (Rome and Genoa); Michael Coester (Munich); Augustus Ullstein QC (Temple) London, Genoa, Munich, 24 December 2003



Table of cases

```
Common law cases
A v. National Blood Authority [2002] Lloyd's Law Reports Medical 487
   page 181, 199
A.B. v. South West Water Services Ltd [1993] QB 507, CA
A.B. v. Tameside and Glossop Health Authority [1997] 8 Med. L.R. 91, CA
                                                                          55
A.E.I. Rediffusion Music Ltd v. Phonographic Performance Ltd [1999] 1 WLR
   1507, CA
Alcock v. Chief Constable of South Yorkshire Police [1992] 1 AC 310,
              51-52, 54, 56
Andrews v. Reynolds Memorial Hospital, Inc., 499 S.E. 2d 846 (W.Va.
   1997)
               215
Andrews v. Secretary of State for Health [2000] 54 BMLR 111 [2000] Lloyd's
   Reports Medical 121
                              56
Annable v. South Derbyshire Health Authority [2001] QB 272, CA
                                                                    49
Appleton v. Garrett [1996] 5 PIQR P1, QBD
Atkinson v. Seghal [2003] All ER (D) 341 (Mar), CA Civil Division, Judgment
   of 21 March 2003
Auty v. National Coal Board [1985] 1 WLR 784, CA
                                                       118, 138
Barnet Group Hospital Management Committee v. Eagle Star Insurance Co. Ltd
   [1960] 1 QB 107
                         99
Barrow v. Bankside Members Agency Ltd [1996] 1 WLR 257, CA
Behrens v. Bertram Mills Circus Ltd [1957] 2 QB 1
Bell v. The Great Northern Railway Company of Ireland (1890) 26 LR Ir.
```

XVIII

Bell v. Todd [2002] Lloyd's Rep. Med. 12, QBD

Birkett v. Hayes [1982] 1 WLR 816, CA

134, 174

10



More information

Cambridge University Press
0521846137 - Compensation for Personal Injury in English, German and Italian Law: A
Comparative Outline
Basil Markesinis, Michael Coester, Guido Alpa and Augustus Ullstein
Frontmatter

TABLE OF CASES XIX

Blamire v. South Cumbria Health Authority [1993] PIOR Q 1 123, 126 Bourhill (Hay) v. Young [1943] AC 92, HL (Sc) Bowling v. Pfizer, Inc. (Shiley Heart Valve Litigation) 143 F.R.D. 141 (S.D. Ohio 1992), 159 F.R.D. 492 (S.D.Ohio 1994), 502, 521 202, 205 Bradford-Smart v. West Sussex County Council [2002] 1 FCR 425; [2002] LGR 489, CA 55 Brightman v. Johnson, The Times, December 17th 1985 48 British Transport Commission v. Gourley [1956] AC 185, HL (E) 98, 123 Brittain v. Garner, The Times, February 18 1989 Brown v. Merton, Sutton and Wandsworth Area Health Authority (Teaching) [1982] 1 All ER 650, CA Browning v. War Office [1963] 1 QB 750, CA 173 Brunsden v. Humphrey (1884) 14 QBD 141, CA 36 Burns v. Edman [1970] 2 QB 541 123 Byers v. London Borough of Brent, QBD, Judgment of 24 April 1998 (Unreported) 59 Callery v. Gray (Nos. 1 and 2) [2002] UKHL 28; [2002] 1 WLR 2000 30 Cassell v. Riverside Health Authority [1992] PIQR Q168, CA 126 Chatelain v. Kelley, 322 Ark. 517, 910 S.W.2d 215 (1995) 215 Clarke v. Rotax Aircraft Equipment Ltd [1975] 1 WLR 1570, CA 10 Coenen v. Payne [1974] 1 WLR 984, CA 39 Connolly v. Tasker [2001] QB 272, CA 49 Cook v. J.L. Kier and Co. [1970] 1 WLR 774, CA Cookson v. Knowles [1979] AC 556, HL(E) 122 Cooper v. Firth Brown Ltd [1963] 1 WLR 418 98, 123 Cunningham v. Harrison [1973] QB 942, CA 98, 100, 104, 129, 133, 172 Daly v. General Steam Navigation Co. Ltd [1981] 1 WLR 120, CA 99 Despina R., The [1979] AC 685, HL(E) Dews v. National Coal Board [1988] AC 1, HL(E) 98, 137 Dimick v. Schiedt, 293 U.S. 484 (1935) 209 Dobbie v. Medway Health Authority [1994] 1 WLR 1234, CA 176 Donelly v. Joyce [1974] QB 454, CA 97, 100, 102, 129-30 Dooley v. Cammell Laird & Co. Ltd [1951] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 271 56 Dow Corning Corporation, In re, 255 B.R. 445 (Bankr. E.D. Mich. 199, 201, 202, 205, 206, 210 2000) Dulieu v. White & Sons [1901] 2 KB 669 Duller v. South East Lincs Engineers [1981] C.L.Y. 585 123 Dunn v. Rose Way, Inc., 333 N.W.2d 830 (Iowa 1983) 215



XX TABLE OF CASES

Dunnett v. Railtrack plc (in railway administration) [2002] EWCA Civ 303; [2002] 1 WLR 2434, CA 206

Evans v. Pontyprida Roofing Ltd [2001] EWCR Civ 1657 100

Fashade v. North Middlesex Hospital NHS Trust November 10th 2000, Wright J. [2001] C.L.Y. 1712 42

Firle Investments Ltd v. Datapoint International Ltd [2001] EWCA Civ 1106 29

Fish v. Wilcox and Gwent Health Authority [1994] 5 Med. L.R. 230 101 Fitzgerald v. Ford [1996] PIQR Q72 100, 116

Fletcher v. Autocar and Transporters Ltd [1968] 2 QB 322, CA 47

Fournier v. Canadian National Railway Company [1972] AC 167, PC 36

Fuhri v. Jones [1979] CA (Unreported) 50

Galt v. British Railways Board (1983) 133 NLJ 870 56

George v. Pinnock [1973] 1 WLR 118, CA 10

George v. Stagecoach [2003] EWCH 2042 126

Giardina v. Bennett, 111 N.J. 412; 545 A.2d 139 (1988) 215

Gower v. London Borough of Bromley [1999] ELR 356, CA 55

Greatorex v. Greatorex [2000] 1 WLR 1970 81

Grimshaw v. Ford Motor Company, 119 Cal. App.3d 757, 174 Cal.Rptr. 348 (1981) 209

H. v. Ministry of Defence [1991] 2 QB 103, CA 9

H. West & Son Ltd v. Shephard [1964] AC 326, HL (E) 47, 48

Hale v. London Underground Ltd [1993] PIQR Q30 59

Halloran v. Delaney [2002] EWCA Civ 1258; [2003] 1 WLR 28 30

Hardwick v. Hudson [1999] 1 WLR 1770, CA 101, 130

Harris v. Brights Asphalt Contractors Ltd [1953] 1 QB 617 127

Harris v. Empress Motors Ltd [1984] 1 WLR 212, CA 138

Harris v. Harris [1973] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 445, CA 10

Haumersen v. Ford Motor Company, 257 N.W.2d 7 (Iowa1977) 215

Heil v. Rankin [2001] QB 272, CA 16, 49, 50

Helfend v. Southern California Rapid Transit District, 2 Cal.3d 1, 465 P.2d 61

(1970) 213

Hewson v. Downs [1970] 1 QB 73 (Sheffield Assizes) 172

Hicks v. Chief Constable of South Yorkshire Police [1992] 2 All ER 65, HL(E)

4/

Hinz v. Berry [1970] 2 QB 40, CA 51



0521846137 - Compensation for Personal Injury in English, German and Italian Law: A Comparative Outline

Basil Markesinis, Michael Coester, Guido Alpa and Augustus Ullstein

Frontmatter

More information

TABLE OF CASES XXI

Hodgson v. Trapp [1989] AC 807, HL(E) 102, 173

Hoffman v. Sofaer [1982] 1 WLR 1350 36, 47

Hogg v. Doyle, CA, Judgment of 6 March 1991 (Unreported) 101 Housecroft v. Burnett [1986] 1 All ER 332, CA 48, 101, 125, 131

Hunt v. Severs [1993] QB 815, CA; [1994] 2 AC 350, HL(E) 100, 129,

130-1, 157

Hunter v. Butler [1996] RTR 396, CA 123

Hussain v. New Taplow Paper Mills Ltd [1988] AC 514, HL(E) 173

Ichard v. Frangoulis [1977] 1 WLR 556 47

Jaensch v. Coffey (1984) 155 CLR 549 53

Jefford v. Gee [1970] 2 QB 130 9, 10

Jones v. Jones [1985] QB 704, CA 105

Justus v. Atchison, 19 Cal. 3d 564, 565 P.2d 122 (1977) 215

Kars v. Kars (1996) 141 ALR 37 100

Kent v. Griffiths (No.2) [2001] QB 272, CA 49

King v. Phillips [1953] 1 QB 429, CA 54

Kralj v. McGrath [1986] 1 All ER 54 3, 45

Krishnan v. Sepulveda, 916 S.W.2d 478 (Tex.1995) 215

Kroeker v. Jansen (1995) 123 DLR (4th) 652 100

Kuddus v. Chief Constable of Leicestershire Constabulary [2001] UKHL 29; [2001]

2 WLR 1789 45

Lanford v. Hebran [2001] EWCA Civ 361; [2001] PIQR Q160 59

Leon Seng Tan v. Bunnage July 23rd 1986 (Unreported) 102

Letang v. Cooper [1965] 1 QB 232, CA 45

Lim Poh-Choo v. Camden and Islington Area Health Authority [1979] QB 196,

CA; [1980] AC 174, HL(E) 10, 37-8, 47, 48, 118, 127

London Ambulance Service NHS Trust v. Swan, CA, Judgment of 12 March

1999 (Unreported) 138

Longden v. British Coal Corporation [1998] AC 653, HL(E) 172

Masterman-Lister v. Jewell [2003] 1 WLR 1511, CA 177

McCamley v. Cammell Laird Shipbuilders Ltd [1990] 1 WLR 963, CA 132

McFarlane v. EE Caledonia Ltd [1994] 2 All ER 1, CA 56

Meah v. McCreamer (No.1) [1985] 1 All ER 367 53

Metcalfe v. London Passenger Transport Board [1938] 2 All ER 352 36

Miliangos v. George Frank (Textiles) Ltd [1976] AC 443, HL(E) 36



Cambridge University Press 0521846137 - Compensation

0521846137 - Compensation for Personal Injury in English, German and Italian Law: A Comparative Outline

Basil Markesinis, Michael Coester, Guido Alpa and Augustus Ullstein

Frontmatter

More information

XXII TABLE OF CASES

Milton v. Cary Medical Center, 358 A.2d 252 (Me. 1988) 215

Mitchell v. Mulholland (No.2) [1972] 1 QB 65, CA 118

Moeliker v. A. Reyrolle & Co. Ltd [1977] 1 WLR 132, CA 126

Moen v. Hanson, 85 Wn.2d 597, 537 P.2d 266 (Wash. 1975) 215

Moore v. Shah, 458 N.Y.S. 2d 33 (1982) 133

Moriarty v. McCarthy [1978] 1 WLR 155 58, 104, 133

Nash v. Eli Lilly & Co. [1993] 1 WLR 782, CA 176, 177

Nobles v. Schofield, CA, Judgment of 14 May 1998 53

North Glamorgan NHS Trust v. Walters (2002) EWCA Civ 1792; [2002] All ER

(D) 87 (Dec); [2003] Lloyd's Rep. Med. 49 53

Ornelas v. Fry, 727 P.2d 819 (Ariz. App., 1986) 133

Osman v. United Kingdom [1999] 1 FLR 193 201

Page v. Sheerness Steel Co. Plc [1996] PIQR Q26. 122, 138

see also Wells v. Wells

Page v. Smith [1996] 1 AC 155, HL(E) 53, 54

Phelps v. Hillingdon London Borough Council [2001] 2 AC 619, HL(E) 55

Pickett v. British Rail Engineering Ltd [1980] AC 136, HL(E) 10, 161

Prather v. Lockwood, 19 Ill.App.3d 146; 310 N.E. 2d 815 (1974) 215

Pratt (Keith) v. Collie Smith Mr David Froskett Q.C. (Unreported) 59

Pritchard v. J.H. Cobden Ltd [1987] 2 WLR 627, CA 105

Ramsay v. Rivers [2002] QB 272, CA 49

Rees v. Mabco (102) Ltd (in liquidation) [2001] QB 272, CA 49

Rialas v. Mitchel (1984) 128 SJ 704, CA 98

Roach v. Yates [1938] 1 KB 256, CA 128

Roberts v. Johnstone [1989] QB 878, CA 135

Robertson v. Forth Road Bridge Joint Board [1995] IRLR 251, Ct of

Session 56

Robinson v. Harman (1848) 1 Exch. 850; 154 ER 363

Roe v. Wade, 410 U.S. 113, 93 S. Ct 705 (1973) 215

Ryan v. Liverpool Health Authority [2002] Lloyd's Rep.Med. 23 134, 174

Sayers v. SmithKline Beecham plc [2002] EWHC 1280 202, 210

Schofield v. Saunders & Taylor Ltd [2001] 1 QB 272, CA 49

Schott Kem Ltd v. Bentley [1991] 1 QB 61, CA 39

Shaw v. Wirral Health Authority [1993] 4 Med. LR 275 99

Sirianni v. Anna, 285 N.Y.S. 2d 709 (1967) 133



0521846137 - Compensation for Personal Injury in English, German and Italian Law: A Comparative Outline

Basil Markesinis, Michael Coester, Guido Alpa and Augustus Ullstein

Frontmatter

More information

TABLE OF CASES XXIII

Smith v. Manchester Corporation [1974] 17 KIR 1, CA 126

Smoker v. London Fire & Civil Defence Authority (1991) 2 AC 502, HL(E) 173

Spittle v. Bunney [1988] 1 WLR 847, CA 175

State of Missouri ex rel. Hardin v. Sanders, 538 S.W.2d 336 (1976)

Stubbings v. United Kingdom (1997) 23 EHRR 213 176

Stubbings v. Webb [1993] AC 498, HL(E) 176

Summerfield v. Superior Court, 144 Ariz. 467, 698 P.2d 712 (1985) 215

Tanner v. Hartog, 696 So. 2d 705 (Fla. 1997) 215

Taylor v. O'Connor [1971] AC 115, HL(E) 118

Thomas v. Brighton Health Authority, see Wells v. Wells

Thompson v. Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis, Hsu v. Commissioner of

Police of the Metropolis [1998] QB 498, CA 9, 45

Thurston v. Todd (1966) 84 WN Pt 1 (NSW) 231 118

Urbanski v. Patel [1978] 84 DLR (3d) 650 133

Victorian Railways Commissioners v. Coultas (1888) 3 App. Cas. 222, PC 53

Volk v. Baldazo, 103 Idaho 570, 651 P. 2d 11 (1982) 215

W. v. Meah (1986) 1 All ER 935 45

Wadey v. Surrey County Council [2000] 1 WLR 820, HL(E&Sc) 175

Wagon Mound, The (No. 1), [1961] AC 388, PC 54

Ward v. James [1966] 1 QB 273, CA 9

Warren v. Northern General Hospital NHS Trust [2001] QB 272, CA 49

Warriner v. Warriner [2002] EWCA Civ 81; [2003] 3 All ER 447 121

Wells v. Wells [1997] 1 WLR 652, CA; [1999] 1 AC 345, HL(E) 38, 118,

119, 120, 122, 135, 138

White v. Chief Constable of South Yorkshire Police [1999] 2 AC 455,

HL(E) 53, 56, 57-58

Willett v. North Bedfordshire Health Authority (1992) 143 NLJ 745,

QBD 136

Williams v. BOC Gases Ltd [2000] ICR 1181, CA 174

Willson v. Ministry of Defence [1991] 1 All ER 638 40-41, 42

Wilsons & Clyde Coal Company Ltd v. English (1938) AC 57, HL(Sc) 57

Winkworth v. Hubbard [1960] 1 Lloyd's Rep. 150 98

Wise v. Kaye [1962] 1 QB 638, CA 46, 48

Witty v. American General Capital Distributors, Inc., 727 S.W.2d 503 (Tex.

1987) 215

Wood v. British Coal Corporation [1981] 2 AC 502, HL(E) 173



0521846137 - Compensation for Personal Injury in English, German and Italian Law: A Comparative Outline

Basil Markesinis, Michael Coester, Guido Alpa and Augustus Ullstein

Frontmatter

More information

XXIV TABLE OF CASES

Woodrup v. Nicol [1993] PIQR Q104 98, 126, 127

Wright v. British Railways Board [1983] 2 AC 773, HL(E) 10, 175

X (Minors) v. Bedfordshire County Council [1995] 2 AC 633, HL(E) 55, 201

Z v. United Kingdom [2000] 2 LGLR 212 201

German cases

Decisions of the Federal Constitutional Court

BVerfG 23 June 1999, NJW 1999, 3186 31 BVerfG 8 March 2000, NJW 2000, 2187 4, 18

BVerfG 25 July 2003, NJW 2003, 2598 205

Decisions of the Federal Supreme Court

BGH 3 December 1951, BGHZ 4, 133 148

BGH 4 April 1952, BGHZ 5, 314 43

BGH 19 June 1952, BGHZ 7, 30 152

BGH 13 May 1953, BGHZ 10, 6 143

BGH 6 July 1955, BGHZ 18, 149 4, 62, 63, 65, 79, 80, 190

BGH 19 November 1955, BGHZ 19, 94 190

BGH 30 November 1955, BGHZ 19, 177 182

BGH 22 June 1956, BGHZ 21, 112 188

BGH 12 July 1957, VersR 1957, 574 140

BGH 29 October 1957, NJW 1958, 627 154, 160

BGH 17 November 1957, BGHZ 25, 322 190

BGH 14 February 1958, BGHZ 26, 349 61

BGH 13 March 1959, NJW 1959, 1031 68

BGH 20 December 1960, BGHZ 34, 110 139, 140, 141, 146

BGH 19 September 1961, NJW 1961, 2059 211

BGH 16 November 1961, NJW 1962, 243 75

BGH 20 March 1962, NJW 1962, 1054 147

BGH 3 July 1962, VersR 1962, 1100 144

BGH 25 September 1962, BGHZ 38, 55 150, 151

BGH 2 April 1963, VersR 1963, 682 147

BGH 9 April 1964, BGHZ 41, 292 188

BGH 10 November 1964, NJW 1965, 102 107, 161

BGH 5 January 1965, NJW 1965, 1376 190

BGH 8 January 1965, VersR 1965, 439 106



TABLE OF CASES XXV

BGH 2 December 1966, VersR 1967, 256 81
BGH 7 January 1969, VersR 1969, 350 190
BGH 23 September 1969, NJW 1969, 2281 106
BGH 13 January 1970, MDR 1970, 315 140, 154
BGH 5 May 1970, BGHZ 54, 45 148
BGH 22 September 1970, VersR 1970, 1053 184
BGH 15 December 1970, VersR 1971, 442 154, 159
BGH 11 May 1971, BGHZ 56, 163 82
BGH 13 July 1971, NJW 1971, 2069 190
BGH 23 March 1973, LM RVO § 1542 Nr 78 184
BGH 18 June 1973, BGHZ 61, 101 80
BGH 25 September 1973, NJW 1974, 41 150, 161
BGH 25 September 1973, VesrR 1974, 142 144, 150
BGH 13 November 1973, NJW 1974, 134 153
BGH 3 July 1974, MDR 1975, 490 69
BGH 3 December 1974, BGHZ 63, 295 218
BGH 17 December 1974, NJW 1975, 1467 75
BGH 7 May 1975, BGHZ 64, 260 188
BGH 11 November 1975, NJW 1976, 326 187
BGH 16 December 1975, NJW 1976, 1147 73, 161
BGH 23 March 1976, BGHZ 66, 239 158
BGH 8 June 1976, VersR 1976, 967 66, 67
BGH 8 February 1977, NJW 1977, 1283 153, 154
BGH 18 October 1977, BGHZ 69, 347 186
BGH 8 November 1977, VersR 1978, 149 114, 154, 155
BGH 15 December 1977, VersR 1979, 164 72
BGH 19 December 1978, NJW 1979, 760 190
BGH 21 December 1978, NJW 1979, 598 111, 113
BGH 23 January 1979, NJW 1979, 2142 146
BGH 10 April 1979, VersR 1979, 640 184
BGH 26 April 1979, BGHZ 74, 231 191
BGH 8 May 1979, NJW 1979, 1654 72
BGH 22 January 1980, NJW 1980, 1787 140, 144
BGH 8 January 1981, NJW 1981, 818 43, 141, 142
BGH 19 May 1981, NJW 1982, 757 107, 113, 114, 154
BGH 22 September 1981, NJW 1982, 168 76
BGH 23 March 1982 VI ZR 85/81 (not published) 148
BGH 4 May 1982, NJW 1982, 1864 158
BGH 22 June 1982, NJW 1982, 2123 73
BGH 21 September 1982, NIW 1983, 340 69



XXVI TABLE OF CASES

```
BGH 30 March 1983, NJW 1984, 1552
                                        43
BGH 12 April 1983, VersR 1983, 663
                                       186
BGH 5 July 1983 VI ZR 269/82 (not published)
                                                147
BGH 31 January 1984, NJW 1984, 1405
                                         82
BGH 19 June 1984, NJW 1984, 2520
                                      151
BGH 23 October 1984, NJW 1985, 791
                                        160
BGH 4 December 1984, NJW 1985, 735
                                         161
BGH 5 February 1985 BGHZ 93, 351
                                      82
BGH 21 May 1985, NJW 1985, 2757
                                      112
BGH 15 October 1985, VersR 1986, 264
                                          156
BGH 4 December 1985, VersR 1985, 356
                                          152
BGH 14 January 1986, NJW 1986, 1538
                                         106, 159
BGH 25 February 1986, VersR 1987, 1140
                                            70
BGH 25 November 1986, BGHZ 99, 133
                                          3
BGH 30 June 1987, BGHZ 101, 207
                                     186
BGH 29 March 1988, NJW 1988, 1783
                                        156
BGH 6 October 1988, VersR 1989, 94
                                       153
BGH 6 October 1988, VersR 1989, 1150
                                          68, 154
BGH 18 October 1988, NJW-RR 1989, 670
                                            106
BGH 22 November 1988, NJW 1989, 766
                                           112, 155, 157
BGH 4 April 1989, NJW 1989, 2317
                                      82
BGH 9 May 1989, WM 1989, 1481
                                    81
BGH 23 May 1989, BGHZ 107, 325
                                     188
BGH 26 May 1989, NVwZ 1990, 406
                                      75
BGH 10 October 1989, NJW-RR 1990, 34
                                          151, 156
BGH 12 October 1989, VersR 1990, 852
                                          68
BGH 24 October 1989, NJW 1990, 1037
                                          113
BGH 24 April 1990, NJW-RR 1990, 962
                                         156
BGH 12 July 1990, NJW 1990, 3020
                                      43
BGH 15 November 1990, VersR 1991, 678
                                            153, 154
BGH 28 November 1990, NJW-RR 1991, 514
                                              43
BGH 13 February 1991, NJW 1991, 2340
                                           108
BGH 19 February 1991, NJW 1991, 2340
                                           106, 108, 110, 111, 112
BGH 9 April 1991, NJW 1991, 2347
                                      72, 78
BGH 30 April 1991, NJW 1991, 1948
                                       162
BGH 10 December 1991, BGHZ 116, 260
                                           186
BGH 14 January 1992, MDR 1992, 349
                                        65
BGH 14 January 1992, NJW 1992, 1043
BGH 11 February 1992, NJW-RR 1992, 791
                                            160
BGH 18 February 1992, NJW-RR 1992, 792
                                            161
```



TABLE OF CASES XXVII

BGH 18 March 1992, NJW-RR 1992, 1091 43
BGH 31 March 1992, NJW-RR 1992, 852 149
BGH 28 April 1992, NJW-RR 1992, 1050 147
BGH 20 May 1992, VersR 1992, 975 71
BGH 22 September 1992, VersR 1993, 55 145
BGH 13 October 1992, BGHZ 120, 1 72, 78
BGH 15 October 1992, NJW 1993, 648 191
BGH 16 February 1993, NJW 1993, 1531 73, 79
BGH 6 July 1993, NJW 1993, 2673 149
BGH 13 October 1993, NJW 1993, 781 5
BGH 19 October 1993, VersR 1994, 186 186
BGH 10 February 1994, VersR 1995, 796 76
BGH 25 October 1994, NJW 1995, 1238 44
BGH 15 November 1994, NJW 1995, 389 140
BGH 15 November 1994, NJW 1995, 861 4
BGH 29 November 1994, BGHZ 128, 117 63, 79
BGH 1 December 1994, VersR 1995, 1316 68, 74
BGH 15 December 1994, VersR 1996, 243 70
BGH 17 January 1995, NJW 1995, 1023 139, 143, 145
BGH 21 January 1995, DAR 1991, 302 70
BGH 24 January 1995, VersR 1995, 469 145, 146, 147
BGH 7 February 1995, NJW 1995, 1438 79
BGH 16 February 1995, VersR 1996, 712 74
BGH 26 April 1995, NJW-RR 1996, 986 69
BGH 9 May 1995, BGHZ 129, 366 186
BGH 27 June 1995, NJW-RR 1995, 1272 139
BGH 26 September 1995, NJW 1995, 3313 139
BGH 24 November 1995, NJW 1996, 921 155
BGH 5 December 1995, NJW 1996, 984 62
BGH 12 December 1995, NJW 1996, 726 182, 186
BGH 14 December 1995, NJW 1990, 1062 43
BGH 16 January 1996, NJW 1996, 1591 63, 64
BGH 30 April 1996, BGHZ 132, 341 75
BGH 25 June 1996, NJW 1996, 2508 155
BGH 5 November 1996, NJW 1997, 455 75
BGH 10 December 1996, NJW 1997, 941 148, 149
BGH 4 March 1997, NJW 1997, 1853 155
BGH 4 March 1997, NJW 1997, 2175 184
BGH 17 February 1998, VersR 1998, 770 147
BGH 1 March 1998, BGHZ 103, 338 81



XXVIII TABLE OF CASES

BGH 3 March 1998, NJW 1998, 1634 149 BGH 12 May 1998, VersR 1998, 1034 78 BGH 7 July 1998, NJW 1998, 3276 187 BGH 10 November 1998, NJW 1999, 421 155, 156, 181 BGH 25 February 1999, NJW 1999, 2041 191 BGH 20 April 1999, VersR 2000, 233 143, 145, 146, 147 BGH 8 June 1999, NJW 1999, 2819 106, 155, 157 BGH 28 September 1999, NJW 1999, 3711 182 BGH 21 January 2000, NJW 2000, 1256 43 BGH 25 January 2000, NZV 2000, 252 186, 187 BGH 6 February 2000, NJW 2001, 1640 148 BGH 17 February 2000, NJW 2000, 1498 191 BGH 7 November 2000, NJW 2001, 1274 188 BGH 16 January 2001, NJW 2001, 1431 43 BGH 21 February 2001, NJW-RR 2001, 937 43 BGH 6 March 2001, NJW 2001, 1721

Decisions of the Courts of Appeal and Lower Courts

OLG Freiburg 30 June 1953, JZ 1953, 704 OLG München 14 May 1959, VersR 1959, 957 190 OLG Celle 22 January 1962, VersR 1962, 623 114 OLG Celle 9 November 1967, NW 1968, 1677 81 LG Tübingen 29 November 1967, NJW 1968, 1187 82 BayObLG 11 July 1968, BayObLGZ 1968, 184 140 OLG Düsseldorf 30 December 1968, VersR 1969, 671 160 LG Frankfurt 28 March 1969, NJW 1969, 2286 OLG Nürnberg 7 November 1969, VersR 1971, 260 114 OLG München 16 December 1969, VersR 1970, 643 161 KG 26 February 1973, NJW 1974, 607 161 OLG Düsseldorf 18 June 1973, NJW 1973, 2112 111 OLG Köln 9 January 1978, VersR 1979, 166 OLG Zweibrücken 24 February 1978, VersR 1978, 1029 152 OLG Hamburg 19 January 1979, MDR 1970, 670 152 OLG Frankfurt 2 November 1979, VersR 1981, 239 111 OLG München 29 October 1980, VersR 1981, 560 111 LG Hechingen 9 January 1981, VersR 1982, 253 81 OLG Köln 29 January 1981, 7 U 85/80 OLG Koblenz 23 March 1981, VersR 1981, 887 111 OLG Celle 12 November 1981, VersR 1983, 40 151 KG 15 February 1982, VersR 1982, 978 159, 161



TABLE OF CASES XXIX

OLG Köln 11 March 1982, ZfS 1984, 132 151
OLG Nürnberg 31 January 1984, NJW 1998, 2292 82
OLG Düsseldorf 28 May 1984, VersR 1985, 644 106
OLG München 30 November 1984, VersR 1985, 868 70, 218
LG Münster 15 May 1985, ZfS 1988, 69 111
LG Amberg 29 April 1986, NJW-RR 1986, 1357 70
OLG Saarbrücken 16 May 1986, NJW-RR 1987, 984 68
OLG Hamburg 19 August 1986, VersR 1988, 720 68
OLG Hamburg 7 August 1987, VersR 1988, 858 106
OLG Köln 17 September 1987, VersR 1988, 61 114
OLG Saarbrücken 23 October 1987, NZW 1989, 25 109
LG Saarbrücken 18 December 1987, NJW 1988, 2958 109, 111
LG Augsburg 11 March 1988, ZfS 1988, 239 111
OLG Köln 13 April 1988, NJW 1988, 2957 107
OLG Köln 19 May 1988, VersR 1988, 1185 140, 148
OLG München 8 July 1988, VersR 1989, 1056 80
OLG Stuttgart 21 July 1988, NJW-RR 1989, 477 82
OLG München 20 September 1988, VersR 1989, 1203 68
OLG Stuttgart 6 October 1988, VersR 1989, 1150 75
OLG Karlsruhe 25 November 1988, VersR 1989, 1101 148
OLG Köln 4 October 1989, VersR 1989, 1309 107
OLG Düsseldorf 12 October 1989, VersR 1990, 852 75
OLG Frankfurt 21 March 1990, NJW-RR 1990, 990 17
OLG Hamburg 20 April 1990, NJW 1990, 2322 162
OLG München 24 July 1990, DAR 1991, 301 77
OLG Schleswig 9 January 1991, NJW-RR 1992, 95 80
OLG Frankfurt 21 January 1991, VersR 1992, 621 71
OLG Oldenburg 21 January 1991, DAR 1991, 302 68
OLG Nürnberg 21 June 1991, DAR 1994, 158 18
OLG Köln 14 November 1991, NJW-RR 1992, 221 63
OLG Düsseldorf 10 February 1992, NJW-RR 1993, 156 17, 68, 69
OLG Karlruhe 6 March 1992, DAR 1993, 391 151, 161
OLG Köln 20 May 1992, VersR, 975 68
OLG Oldenburg 28 July 1992, VersR 1993, 1491 161
OLG Köln 16 October 1992, NJW-RR 1993, 350 71
OLG Oldenburg 10 November 1992, NJW-RR 1993, 798 149
OLG Celle 26 November 1992, VersR 1993, 976 63
OLG Köln 17 February 1993, NJW-RR 1993, 919 76
OLG Schleswig 24 February 1993, VersR 1993, 310 73
OLG Hamm 7 June 1993 NIW-RR 1994 94 63



XXX TABLE OF CASES

OLG Hamm 17 August 1993, NJW-RR 1994, 415 157
OLG Frankfurt 22 September 1993, DAR 1994, 21 81
OLG Koblenz 7 October 1993, NJW-RR 1994, 1049 161
OLG Frankfurt 11 November 1993, DAR 1994, 119 68, 71, 79
OLG Düsseldorf 19 November 1993, NJW-RR 1994, 352 107
OLG Nürnberg 7 December 1993, DAR 1994, 157 68
OLG Hamm 17 March 1994, DAR 1994, 496 161
OLG Oldenburg 19 April 1994, VersR 1994, 1071 161
OLG Frankfurt 26 October 1994, VersR 1996, 864 76
OLG Düsseldorf 1 December 1994, VersR 1995, 1316 68, 81
OLG Hamm 15 December 1994, VersR 1996, 243 71
OLG Hamm 8 March 1995, VersR 1996, 892 74
LG Bonn 12 April 1995, VersR 1996, 381 160
OLG München 30 May 1995, NJW-RR 1995, 1239 157, 158
OLG Hamm 2 June 1995, VersR 1996, 1515 111
OLG Brandenburg 24 October 1995, OLGR 1996, 76 149
OLG Frankfurt 21 February 1996, VersR 1996, 1509 17, 69
AG Radolfzell 25 April 1996, NJW 1996, 2874 18
OLG Hamburg 25 July 1996, NJW 1996, 2870 4, 18
OLG Stuttgart 30 January 1997, VersR 1998, 366 154
OLG Nürnberg 25 April 1997, VersR 1998, 731 79, 81
OLG Münster 12 June 1997, NJW 1998, 1801 110, 111
OLG Karlsruhe 11 July 1997, VersR 1998, 1256 106, 110
OLG Saarbrücken 27 November 1997, OLGR 1998, 381 148
OLG Hamm 17 December 1997, VersR 1998, 1392 80
OLG Bremen 21 April 1998, NJW-RR 1999, 1115 154, 155, 157,
161
OLG Frankfurt 7 January 1999, NJW 1999, 2447 81
OLG Köln 19 May 1999, VersR 2000, 1021 159
OLG Bremen 31 August 1999, FamRZ 2001, 1300 108, 109, 110,
111

Decisions of the Supreme Court of the German Reich

RG 5 April 1906, JW 1906, 359	148	
RG 27 September 1906, JW 1906, 7	18	148
RG 24 April 1911, RGZ 76, 174	77	
RG 15 February 1927, RGZ 116, 151	l	3
RG 23 May 1935, RGZ 148, 68	154,	159
RG 11 June 1936, RGZ 151, 298	159	, 160



0521846137 - Compensation for Personal Injury in English, German and Italian Law: A Comparative Outline

Basil Markesinis, Michael Coester, Guido Alpa and Augustus Ullstein

Frontmatter

More information

ITALIAN CASES XXXI

Italian cases

Constitutional Court

Judgment, 20 December 1996, n. 399 in Cons. Stato 1996, II, 2090 85 Judgment, 11 July 2003, n. 233 7

Court of Cassation

Palermo (4 June 1898) in Foro sic., 1898, 486 93 Turin (22 November 1913), in Giurisprudenza torinese, 1914, 33 92 1967, n. 774 (28 April 1967) in Res.civ.prev., 1967, 572 95 1971, n. 174 (26 January 1971) in Foro it. 1971, I, 342 15 1979, n. 3996 (11 July 1979) in Resp.civ.prev. 1980, 436 95 1980, n. 537 (7 October 1980) in Foro it., I, 1051 1980, n. 5484 (13 October 1980) in Resp.civ.prev., 1981, 403 95 1982, n. 4815 (4 April 1982) in Giur.it.Mass. 1982 94 1982, n. 6234 (18 November 1982) 1983, n. 2396 (6 April 1983) in Resp.civ.prev. 1983, 760 95 1985, n. 4947 (11 October 1985) in Arch.giur.circ. 1986, 100 95 1990, n. 399 (Labour section, 10 March 1990) in Crit.Pen. 1995, 50 85 1992, n. 7194 (11 June 1992) in Foro it. 1992, I, 2079 196 1993, n. 5832 (24 May 1993) 1993, n. 11271 (15 November 1993) 166 1993, n. 13013 (30 December 1993) 168 1994, n. 6228 (1 July 1994) in Riv.giur.Enel, 1996, 467 195 1995, n. 755 (23 January 1995) 1995, n. 4255 (13 April 1995) in Resp.civ.prev. 1995, 519 212 1995, n. 12299 (28 November 1995) in Foro it. 1996, I, 3120 90 1997, n. 9742 (7 October 1997) 195 1997, n. 9959 (13 October 1997) 166 1997, n. 10923 (6 November 1997) 167 1997, n. 11439 (18 November 1997) 168 1998, n. 1285 (6 February 1998) 5 1998, n. 12195 (1 December 1998) in Dir.famiglia, 2000, 68 1999, n. 491 (20 January 1999) 89, 90 1999, n. 500 (22 July 1999) 1999, n. 1135 (10 February 1999) 196 1999, n. 4231 (28 April 1999) in Resp.civ.prev. 2000, 110 164 2000, n. 2037 (Labour section, 23 February 2000) in Giust.civ. 2000, I, 1655 88, 170 2000, n. 9228 (12 July 2000) 168



0521846137 - Compensation for Personal Injury in English, German and Italian Law: A Comparative Outline

Basil Markesinis, Michael Coester, Guido Alpa and Augustus Ullstein

Frontmatter More information

XXXII TABLE OF CASES

2000, n. 15580 (11 December 2000) in Resp.civ.prev. 2001, 609
2001, n. 4783 (2 April 2001)
89
2001, n. 6023 (24 April 2001)
86
2001, n. 13409 (29 October 2001) in Giust.civ.mass. 2001, 1814
2001, n. 15034 (27 November 2001)
86

Courts of Appeal and Lower Courts

Turin, 1880 (4 June 1880) in Giurisprudenza torinese, 1880, 447
Turin, 1885 (3 November 1885) n Giur.tor. 1886, 104 93
Bologna, 1889 (4 February 1889) in Riv.giur.bol. 1889, 38 92
Bologna, 1889 (11 October 1889) in Riv.giur.bol. 1889, 309 92
Trani, 1898 (13 June 1898) in Riv.giur.Trani 1898, 747 92
Catania, 1900 (12 April 1900) in Giur.cat. 1900, 48 93
Bologna, 1902 (14 February 1902) in Monitore dei Tribunali 1902, 750 92, 93

Florence, 1905 (13 September 1905) in Monitore dei Tribunali 1906, 215 92

Parma, 1910 (14 June 1910) in Giur.tor. 1910, 1054 93
Milan, 1920 (11 May 1920) in Riv.dir.comm, 1921, II, 448 93
Rome, 1921 (23 July 1921) in Riv.dir.comm 1922, II, 178 93
Florence, 1967 (6 January 1967), 1969 Arch.resp.civ 130 84
Pisa, 1985 (16 January 1985) 166

Genoa, 1988 (13 January 1988) 166 Trieste, 1988 (14 January 1988) 170

Milan, 1988 (18 February 1988) in Resp.civ.prev. 1988, 454 85 Milan, 1993 (2 September 1993) in Nuova giur.civ.comm. 1993, I,

680 91

Milan, 1993 (19 October 1993) in As. 1994, II, 2, 126 166 Venice, 1994 (8 June 1994) in Arch.giur.circ. e sin.stradali 1995,

637 167

Milan, 1998 (21 April 1998) in Dir.lav. 1998, 957

Rome, 1998 (12 December 1998) 170

Milan, 1999 (1 April 1999) 86

Milan, 1999 (26 June 1999) in Lav.nella giur. 1999, 1075 85

Rome, 2002 (20 June 2002) in Foro it. 2002, I, 2882