Foreword

Coming from a background in German law where the measure of damages is generally the same for all civil wrongs, I am struck by the fact that the measure of damages in common law jurisdictions differs to a large extent between contract, tort and equity. The diversity seems problematic, not least because concurrent liability in two or even all three of those areas is by no means uncommon. This raises important questions: What exactly are the differences between contract, tort and equity with regard to the measure of damages? Are the differences mere accidents of legal history or can they be justified on merits? This book attempts to address those questions.

The book covers five aspects of the measure of damages: remoteness of damage, which is the question of whether, when and to what degree damage needs to be foreseeable to be recoverable; the compensability of non-pecuniary loss such as pain and suffering, distress and loss of reputation; the effect of contributory negligence, which is the victim's contribution to the occurrence of the wrong or the ensuing loss through unreasonable conduct prior to the wrong; the circumstances under which victims of wrongs can claim the gain the wrongdoer has made from the wrong; and the availability and scope of exemplary (or punitive) damages.

For each of the five topics, this book examines the present position in contract, tort and equity and establishes the differences between the three areas. It goes on to scrutinise the arguments in defence of existing differences. The conclusion on each topic is that the present differences between contract, tort and equity cannot be justified on merits and should be removed through a harmonisation of the relevant principles. The arguments in support of that conclusion relate specifically to the relevant aspect of the measure of damages. They are consistent with, but not dependent on, the idea of a fusion of common law and equity.

Parts of this book have grown out of a PhD thesis which I wrote at the University of Aberdeen. I am very grateful for many helpful comments and challenging questions from my PhD supervisors Professor Angelo Forte and James Chalmers and from my PhD examiners Professor Hector MacQueen and Professor Roger Brownsword.

I have discussed aspects of this book with my colleague Normann Witzleb and, while working at the University of Leicester, with my then colleagues Christopher Bisping and Edward Goodwin. I would like to thank all of them for their patience and insightful comments. I am grateful to the University of Leicester for granting me study leave for this project.

Foreword

Finally, I would like to thank the team at Hart Publishing for their diligence and support.

The book focuses on the law of England and Wales, although there are numerous references to material from all major common law jurisdictions and from Scotland. I have considered material available to me before 1 January 2010.

> Melbourne, April 2010 Sirko Harder

Foreword		vii	
Table of Cases			XV
Table of Statutes			xxxvii
Table of Statutory Instruments		xxxix	
1:	Intr	oduction	1
	Ι	The Law of Obligations	1
	II	The Law of Damages	3
	III	Desirability of a Harmonised Measure of Damages	7
	IV	Possibility of a Harmonised Measure of Damages	9
	V	The Methodology Adopted in this Book	12
Par	rt 1:	Remoteness of Damage	15
2:	The	Present Remoteness Test in Tort	17
	Ι	Terminology	17
	II	The Foreseeability Criterion in Negligence	17
	III	Damage Versus Risk	20
	IV	Degree of Foresight Required	25
	V	The 'Thin Skull' Rule	27
	VI	The 'Scope of the Duty' Concept	30
	VII	Torts other than Negligence	34
3:	The	Present Remoteness Test in Contract	37
	Ι	Hadley v Baxendale	37
	II	Victoria Laundry	38
	III	The Heron II	39
	IV	Parsons	40
	V	SAAMCO	41
	VI	Brown v KMR Services Ltd	42
	VII	Jackson v Royal Bank of Scotland plc	43
	VIII	The Achilleas	44
	IX	Conclusion	47
4:	A Uniform Remoteness Test throughout the Common Law		53
	Ι	Contract and Tort Compared	53
	II	Reforming both Contract and Tort	54
	III	Reforming Tort Only	56

	IV	Aligning Contract with Tort	57
		A The Fairness Argument	57
		B The Efficiency Argument	60
		C Objections to the Efficiency Argument	63
		i Prohibitive Costs	63
		ii Monopoly Situations	63
		iii Strategic Dilemma for Reliable Carriers	64
		iv Possibility of Menu	65
		D Preventing Unreasonable Reliance upon Performance	65
		E Contractual Liability is Generally Strict	67
		F Conclusion	68
5:	Rem	noteness of Damage in Equity	70
	Ι	Misapplication of Trust Property	70
	II	Breach of an Equitable Duty of Care and Skill	73
	III	Breach of Fiduciary Duty	75
Pa	rt 2:	Non-Pecuniary Loss	81
6:	Non	-Pecuniary Loss in Tort	83
	Ι	Loss Resulting from Personal Injury	84
	II	Physical Inconvenience or Discomfort	85
	III	Loss of Reputation	85
	IV	Mental Distress	87
	V	Bereavement	89
7:	Non	Non-Pecuniary Loss in Contract	
	Ι	Overview of the Present Law	90
	II	The General Bar to Compensation	90
	III	1 7 7	93
	IV	The Exception for Physical Inconvenience	93
	V	The 'Object of the Contract' Exception	95
		Loss of Reputation	100
		Need for Reform	103
	VIII	Defensibility of the General Bar to Compensation	104
		A Avoiding Punishment	104
		B Avoiding Excessive Awards	105
		C General Remoteness of Non-Pecuniary Loss	106
		D Assumption of Risk	107
		E Difficult Assessment	107
		F Lower Cost of Contracting	109
		G Avoiding a Flood of Claims	110
	IV	H Avoiding Bogus Claims	113
	IX	Way of Reform	114

8:	Non	-Pecuniary Loss in Equity	117
	Ι	Breach of Confidence in Its Core Meaning	117
	II	Breach of Confidence in Its Extended Meaning ('Breach of	
		Privacy')	119
	III	Other Equitable Wrongs	123
Paı	rt 3:	Contributory Negligence	127
9:	Con	tributory Negligence in Tort	129
	Ι	The Position Apart From the1945 Act	129
	II	The Ambit of the 1945 Act	131
	III	Causation	135
	IV	The Claimant's Fault	137
	V	Damage	138
	VI	Apportionment	141
10:	Con	tributory Negligence in Contract	145
	Ι	The Position apart from the 1945 Act	145
	II	The Impact of the 1945 Act—Overview	146
	III	Breach of a Duty of Care Co-Extensive in Contract and	
		Tort	148
	IV	Breach of a Purely Contractual Duty of Care	151
	V	Strict Contractual Liability—The Present Law	153
	VI	Need for Apportionment in Cases of Strict Liability	155
		A Resorting to Causation Doctrine	156
		B Resorting to Remoteness Doctrine	158
		C Resorting to Mitigation Doctrine	159
	VII	Defensibility of Denying Apportionment in Cases of Strict	
		Liability	162
		A No Duty to Supervise the Defendant	162
		B Distribution of Blame is Difficult	164
		C Uncertainty	165
		D Inequalities of Bargaining Power	166
	VIII	Way of Reform	167
11:	Con	tributory Negligence in Equity	169
Pai	rt 4:	Gain-Based Relief	175
12:	The	Present Law of 'Restitution for Wrongs'	177
	Ι	Terminology	177
	II	The Inclusion of Hypothetical-Fee Awards	179
	III	Equity	182
		A Breach of Fiduciary Duty	182

B Wrong C Trespas D Intellec E Nuisan F Deceit V Contract A Hypoth	etual Property Wrongs ce and Fraud netical-Fee Award (' <i>Wrotham Park</i> Damages') nt of Profits (' <i>Blake</i> Damages') ope of 'Restitution for Wrongs'	188 188 190 191 194 197 200 203 203 203 206 209 209
B Wrong C Trespas D Intellec E Nuisan F Deceit V Contract A Hypoth	ful Interference with Goods ss to Land ctual Property Wrongs ce and Fraud netical-Fee Award (' <i>Wrotham Park</i> Damages') nt of Profits (' <i>Blake</i> Damages') ope of 'Restitution for Wrongs'	190 191 194 197 200 203 203 203 206 209
C Trespas D Intellec E Nuisan F Deceit V Contract A Hypoth	as to Land Etual Property Wrongs ce and Fraud netical-Fee Award (' <i>Wrotham Park</i> Damages') nt of Profits (' <i>Blake</i> Damages') ope of 'Restitution for Wrongs'	191 194 197 200 203 203 203 206 209
D Intellec E Nuisan F Deceit V Contract A Hypoth	etual Property Wrongs ce and Fraud netical-Fee Award (' <i>Wrotham Park</i> Damages') nt of Profits (' <i>Blake</i> Damages') ope of 'Restitution for Wrongs'	194 197 200 203 203 206 209
E Nuisan F Deceit V Contract A Hypoth	ce and Fraud netical-Fee Award (' <i>Wrotham Park</i> Damages') nt of Profits (' <i>Blake</i> Damages') ope of 'Restitution for Wrongs'	197 200 203 203 206 209
F Deceit V Contract A Hypoth	and Fraud netical-Fee Award (' <i>Wrotham Park</i> Damages') nt of Profits (' <i>Blake</i> Damages') ope of 'Restitution for Wrongs'	200 203 203 206 209
V Contract A Hypoth	netical-Fee Award (' <i>Wrotham Park</i> Damages') nt of Profits (' <i>Blake</i> Damages') ope of 'Restitution for Wrongs'	203 203 206 209
A Hypoth	nt of Profits (' <i>Blake</i> Damages') ope of 'Restitution for Wrongs'	203 206 209
• -	nt of Profits (' <i>Blake</i> Damages') ope of 'Restitution for Wrongs'	206 209
B Account	ope of 'Restitution for Wrongs'	209
	heories	209
I Existing Tl		
A Birks		210
B Edelma		210
C Friedm		211
D Jackma	n	212
E Jaffey		212
F Tettenb		213
G Weinril		213
H Worthi		214
	icance of Exclusive Entitlements	215
	Entitlements Erga Omnes	218
Ų	le and Intangible Property	219
•	Integrity	222
C Reputa		223
	ational Rights	224
	Entitlements Inter Partes	226
	ctual Right to Have Property Transferred	227
	and and Intangible Property	227
-	pecific Chattel	229
	eneric Goods	231
B Contra	ctual Right to Be Treated As the Owner of Certain	
Propert		233
	ctual Right to Someone Else's 'Labour Power'?	235
ç	o the Loyalty of One's Fiduciary	237
	in Which 'Restitution for Wrongs' is	
Inappropri	iate	239
A Deceit		239
	ed Contractual Performance	240
VI Exclusive-I	Entitlement Theory and Present Law Compared	242

Contents

Part	5:	Exemplary Damages	245
14: 7	Гhe	Present Law of Exemplary Damages	247
Ι		Terminology	247
Ι	Ι	Rookes v Barnard	248
Ι	Π	Abuse of Power by Civil Servants	250
		A Conduct Required	250
		B Status of the Defendant	252
		C Criticism	253
Ι	V	Profit-Seeking Behaviour	254
		A Fields of Application	255
		B Criticism	256
	V	Statutory Authorisation	257
		The 'Cause of Action' Test	259
		Exemplary Damages in Contract	260
		Exemplary Damages in Equity	261
Ι	Х	Need for Reform	263
15: (Obje	ective of Exemplary Damages	264
Ι		Penalising Reprehensible Behaviour	264
Ι	Ι	Fostering Efficient Deterrence	265
		A Correction for Undercompensation	265
		B Correction for Underenforcement	267
		C Correction for Court Errors	269
		D Offsetting Illicit Benefits and Exceptional Costs	271
		E Encouraging Negotiations about the Use of Rights	272
		F Conclusion	272
16: I	Defe	nsibility of Confining Exemplary Damages to Tort	273
Ι		Defensibility of Banning Exemplary Damages from	
		Contract	273
		A Theory of Efficient Breach	273
		B Objections to the Theory of Efficient Breach	274
		C Relevance of the Theory of Efficient Breach	276
		D Inducement of Breach	277
		E Cost of Contracting	277
		F Crucial Differences between Contract and Tort	278
-	-	G Conclusion	280
I	Ι	Defensibility of Banning Exemplary Damages from Equity	280
		A Is Punishment a Traditional Objective of Equity?	281
		B Should Exemplary Damages be Available in Equity?	282

17: The	e Abolition or Retention of Exemplary Damages	286
Ι	The Division between Civil Law and Criminal Law	286
	A Attack on Exemplary Damages	287
	B Defence of Exemplary Damages	288
	C Conclusion	289
II	Policy Arguments against Exemplary Damages	290
	A Uncertainty as to Availability and Amount	290
	B Ineffectiveness of Predictable Awards	291
	C Incentive for Bogus Claims	291
III	Policy Arguments in Favour of Exemplary Damages	291
	A Appeasing the Victim	291
	B Possibility of Vicarious Liability	292
IV	Need for Exemplary Damages	295
	A The Long-Standing Practice of Exemplary Awards	295
	B The Law Commission's Ten Examples	297
	C Comparative View	301
V	Conclusion	301
18: Co	nclusion	302
Bibliog	raphy	307
Index		319