

Contents

1 Introduction	1
1.1 Torture At Abu Ghraib.	1
1.2 Outlining the Issue.	2
1.3 The Occasion	2
1.4 Methodology and Limitations	3
1.5 Definitions	4
1.6 Structure of the Book.	6
References	7
2 The Theory of Mistake of Law in National Criminal Law Systems	9
2.1 Introduction	9
2.2 Mistake of Law in the Common Law Systems of the USA and the UK.	10
2.2.1 Introduction: Ignorantia Legis Non Excusat	10
2.2.2 The Exceptions to the Rule	10
2.2.2.1 American Law	10
2.2.2.2 English Law.	18
2.2.3 Conclusion: An Ad Hoc Approach	24
2.3 Mistake of Law in the Civil Law Systems of Germany and France	25
2.3.1 Introduction	25
2.3.2 Germany: Mistake of Law is an Excuse.	26
2.3.2.1 Das Recht	26
2.3.2.2 The Landmark Decision	27
2.3.2.3 The Codification.	33
2.3.2.4 The German Border Guard Cases	40
2.3.2.4.1 The German Border Guard Cases: The Principle of Legality	43
2.3.2.4.2 The German Border Guard Cases: Mistake of Law.	44

- 2.3.2.5 Conclusion 47
- 2.3.3 France: Mistake of Law is a Ground for Excluding Criminal Responsibility 48
 - 2.3.3.1 The Provision 50
 - 2.3.3.2 Avoidable Mistake 51
 - 2.3.3.3 Conclusion 53
- 2.4 Superior Orders 54
 - 2.4.1 USA and UK 54
 - 2.4.2 Germany and France 56
 - 2.4.3 Conclusion 58
- 2.5 Conclusion: Comparative Analysis 58
- References 59

- 3 Comparing the National Approaches: Theorising About the Issue 63**
 - 3.1 Introduction: Twofold and Threefold Structures 63
 - 3.2 Relevant Distinctions 64
 - 3.2.1 Justification and Excuse 64
 - 3.2.2 Wrongdoing and Attribution 67
 - 3.2.3 Defeasible and Comprehensive Rules 68
 - 3.2.4 Conduct Rules and Decision Rules 68
 - 3.2.5 Analysis 70
 - 3.3 Other Issues 71
 - 3.3.1 Criminal Intent 71
 - 3.3.2 Putative Justifications 72
 - 3.3.3 An Element of ‘Unlawfulness’ in the Crime Definition 73
 - 3.3.4 The Principle of Legality 75
 - 3.4 Conclusion 76
 - References 78

- 4 The Theory of Mistake of Law in International Criminal Law 81**
 - 4.1 Introduction 81
 - 4.2 Article 32(2): Mistake of Law 82
 - 4.2.1 Article 32 Mistake 82
 - 4.2.2 Negate the Mental Element 83
 - 4.3 Article 33: Superior Orders 90
 - 4.3.1 Introduction 90
 - 4.3.2 The Provision 90
 - 4.3.3 Criticism: Departure from Customary International Law 91
 - 4.3.4 Criticism: Manifest Illegality 94
 - 4.3.5 Conclusion: Superior Orders a Separate Defence? 95
 - 4.4 Concluding Analysis 96
 - References 98

5 Applying the Theory of Mistake of Law: An Analysis of (Inter)national Case Law 101

5.1 Introduction 101

5.2 The Case Law 102

5.2.1 Pre-WWII Case Law 103

5.2.2 WWII Case Law 104

5.2.2.1 United States 104

5.2.2.2 United Kingdom 108

5.2.2.3 Other Countries 111

5.2.3 Trials Related to Other Armed Conflicts After WWII 118

5.2.4 Recent Decisions 122

5.2.4.1 International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia 122

5.2.4.2 Special Court for Sierra Leone 123

5.2.4.3 International Criminal Court 126

5.3 Conclusion 127

References 129

6 Applying the Theory of Mistake of Law: An Analysis of Elements of Crimes and a Survey of Dilemmas on the Battlefield 133

6.1 Introduction 133

6.2 Subjective Requirements of Criminal Responsibility 134

6.2.1 Elements of Crimes 134

6.2.1.1 Elements of Crimes Against Humanity 137

6.2.1.1.1 Specific Elements: Deportation, Torture and Persecution 137

6.2.1.2 Elements of War Crimes 138

6.2.1.2.1 Specific Elements: Improper use of a flag, Insignia or Uniform 141

6.2.1.3 Conclusion 143

6.3 Dilemmas on the Battlefield 144

6.3.1 Mistakes About the Prohibition 145

6.3.1.1 Military Objectives 146

6.3.2 Putative Justifications 151

6.3.2.1 Mistake of Self-Defence 151

6.3.2.2 Necessity 152

6.3.3 Mistaken Defences Under Public International Law 154

6.3.3.1 Military Necessity 154

6.3.3.2 Reprisals 157

6.4 Conclusion 161

References 162

7 Concluding Remarks	167
7.1 Introduction	167
References	169
Bibliography	171
Table of Cases.	179