'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law

A breach of fair and equitable treatment is alleged in almost every investor-state dispute. It has therefore become a controversial norm, which touches many questions at the heart of general international law. Roland Kläger sheds light on these controversies by exploring the deeper doctrinal foundations of fair and equitable treatment and reviewing its contentious relationship with the international minimum standard. The norm is also discussed in light of the fragmentation of international law, theories of international justice and rational balancing, and the idea of constitutionalism in international law. In this vein, a shift in the way of addressing fair and equitable treatment is proposed by focusing on the process of justificatory reasoning.

ROLAND KLÄGER is currently a law clerk at the Higher Regional Court of Frankfurt and a research assistant with Clifford Chance, Frankfurt. After his legal studies at the University of Freiburg, he received a Dr. iur. from the University of Tübingen. He was also a research fellow at the Institute for Public Law, University of Freiburg, and a visiting fellow at the Lauterpacht Centre for International Law, University of Cambridge. CAMBRIDGE STUDIES IN INTERNATIONAL AND COMPARATIVE LAW

Established in 1946, this series produces high-quality scholarship in the fields of public and private international law and comparative law. Although these are distinct legal sub-disciplines, developments since 1946 confirm their interrelations.

Comparative law is increasingly used as a tool in the making of law at national, regional and international levels. Private international law is now often affected by international conventions, and the issues faced by classical conflicts rules are frequently dealt with by substantive harmonisation of law under international auspices. Mixed international arbitrations, especially those involving state economic activity, raise mixed questions of public and private international law, while in many fields (such as the protection of human rights and democratic standards, investment guarantees and international criminal law) international and national systems interact. National constitutional arrangements relating to 'foreign affairs', and to the implementation of international norms, are a focus of attention.

The Series welcomes works of a theoretical or interdisciplinary character, and those focusing on the new approaches to international or comparative law or conflicts of law. Studies of particular institutions or problems are equally welcome, as are translations of the best work published in other languages.

General Editors	James Crawford SC FBA
	Whewell Professor of International Law, Faculty of Law, University
	of Cambridge
	John S. Bell FBA
	Professor of Law, Faculty of Law, University of Cambridge

A list of books in the series can be found at the end of this volume.

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law Roland Kläger Frontmatter <u>More information</u>

'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law

Roland Kläger



CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS Cambridge, New York, Melbourne, Madrid, Cape Town, Singapore, São Paulo, Delhi, Tokyo, Mexico City

Cambridge University Press The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 8RU, UK

Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9780521197717

© Roland Kläger 2011

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2011

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data Klager, Roland, 1982– 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law / Roland Klager. p. cm. - (Cambridge Studies in International and Comparative Law) ISBN 978-0-521-19771-7 (hardback) 1. Arbitration and award, International. 2. Investments, Foreign (International law). 3. Investments, Foreign – Law and legislation. 4. Arbitration and award, International. I. Title. K2400.K53 2011 346'.092-dc22

2010044499

ISBN 978-0-521-19771-7 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate. Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law Roland Kläger Frontmatter <u>More information</u>

Meinen Eltern und der Familie

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law Roland Kläger Frontmatter <u>More information</u>

Dissertation of the Eberhard Karls Universität Tübingen

Contents

Ack Tał Tał	ole oj ole oj	led f ca f tro	eatie	ents s	e xiii xv xvi xvi xxiv xxiv
1	Int	rod	lucti	on	1
Pa	rt I			construction of fair and equitable ement	7
2	equ	iita	ble nve No Hor Lega	treatment Fair and equitable treatment in combination with other standards Fair and equitable treatment combined with a reference to general international law Fair and equitable treatment combined with a	9 9 11 13 14 15 17
			(e)	reference to customary international law Fair and equitable treatment contingent on domestic law	18 20

Cambridge University Press	
978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment La	w
Roland Kläger	
Frontmatter	
More information	

viii contents

			(f) Conclusion: increasing variety of treaty language	21
	В	In	stitutional, economic and functional basis of fair	
			nd equitable treatment	22
		1	International investment process	23
			(a) Underlying ideologies	23
			(b) The proliferation of international investment	
			agreements	24
			(c) The dynamics of investment treaty arbitration	26
		2	The effectiveness of international investment	
			agreements	28
		3	Functionality of investment arbitration	32
			(a) Methodological approaches to fair and equitable	
			treatment	32
			(b) The function of arbitrators	35
	С	Fa	ir and equitable treatment in light of the general	
		ru	lles of interpretation	38
		1	Recapitulating the general rules of interpretation	38
		2	Applying the general rules of interpretation	40
			(a) Literal meaning of fair and equitable treatment	41
			(b) Adjacent context	42
			(c) Object and purpose	43
		3	Underdetermination of the general rules of	
			interpretation	45
3	Fai	r a	nd equitable treatment and the international	
	mi	nir	num standard	48
	А	Tl	ne emergence of a controversy	48
		1	The international minimum standard	48
		2	The equating approach	55
		3	The plain meaning approach	59
	В	Di	scussion concerning Article 1105 of the NAFTA	61
		1	Jurisprudence up to the FTC note of interpretation	62
			(a) The Metalclad and S.D. Myers approach	62
			(b) The Pope & Talbot final merits award	67
		2	FTC note of interpretation on Article 1105(1) of the	
			NAFTA	70
		3	Debate after the FTC note of interpretation	72
			(a) Legitimacy of the FTC note of interpretation	72
			(b) Evolutionary character of the customary	
			minimum standard	74
	С	Ez	ternal reception of the NAFTA discussion	76
		1	The controversy in non-NAFTA jurisprudence	77

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law
Roland Kläger
Frontmatter
More information

		CONTENTS	ix
		(a) Occidental Exploration & Production Co. (OEPC) v. Ecuador	77
		(b) CMS Gas Transmission Co. v. Argentina	79
		(c) Saluka Investments BV v. Czech Republic	81
		(d) Azurix Corp. and others v. Argentina	83
		2 The disappearance of a controversy?	85
4	Th	e role of international law in the construction of	
	fai	r and equitable treatment	89
	А	Fragmentation and international investment law	89
	В	Impulses from international law for the	
		construction of fair and equitable treatment	93
		1 General clauses as gateways	93
		2 The gateway character of fair and equitable treatment	94
		3 Gateway examples	97
		(a) Case concerning Oil Platforms	97
		(b) Mox Plant dispute	98
		(c) European Court of Human Rights	99
		(d) Article XX of the GATT	100
	С	Systemic integration of international law	
		arguments	101
		1 Relevant rules of international law	102
		(a) Lex specialis rules	102
		(b) The matter of limited jurisdiction	103
		2 Applicability between the parties	107
		3 Inter-temporality	108
	D	Conclusion: the integrative construction of fair	
		and equitable treatment	110
Pa	rt I	I The concept of fair and equitable treatment	113
5	Co	nceptual challenges	115
5	A	Conceptual problems in arbitral jurisprudence	115
	11	1 Topoi in arbitral jurisprudence	115
		2 Quality of the concept	119
	В	Conceptual suggestions from legal scholarship	121
	Ъ	1 Fair and equitable treatment as a 'standard'	121
		2 Fair and equitable treatment as an embodiment	122
		of the rule of law	125
			120
6	Fai	r and equitable treatment and justice	129
	А	Fair and equitable treatment as an embodiment	
		of justice	129

Cambridge University Press	
978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment La	aw
Roland Kläger	
Frontmatter	
More information	

X CONTENTS

7

	1	Cor	nnections between fair and equitable treatment	
		and	l justice	129
	2	The	e rise of justice in international legal relations	132
	3	The	eories of international justice	135
		(a)	Cosmopolitanism	135
		• •	Communitarism	137
		(c)	Rawls' Law of Peoples	138
В	Fr	ancl	k's theory on fairness in international law	140
	1		itimacy	141
	2	Equ	uity	142
	3	Faiı	rness discourse	144
С	Fa	irne	ess discourse on fair and equitable treatment	146
	1	Sta	ges of a legal discourse	146
	2		pects of stability and change	148
	3	The	e imperative of balancing	151
Pri		-	s of fair and equitable treatment	154
Α	Sι		antive principles	154
	1	Sov	vereignty	154
		(a)	Meaning of sovereignty in the context of	
			international investment law	155
		(b)	Sovereignty in arbitral jurisprudence	159
		(c)	The relative weight of sovereignty	163
	2	-	titimate expectations	164
		(a)	The relevance of expectations in general	165
		(b)	Investors' expectations in arbitral jurisprudence	169
			(i) Stability of the overall legal framework	169
			(ii) Stability in the administrative conduct	175
			(iii) Stability in the contractual relationship	180
	_	(c)	The relative weight of investors' expectations	186
	3		n-discrimination	187
		(a)	General meaning of non-discrimination	188
		(b)	Non-discrimination in arbitral jurisprudence	189
		(c)	The relative weight of non-discrimination	195
	4		stainable development	197
		(a)	Emergence and meaning of sustainable	107
		(1-)	development	197
		(b)	Fair and equitable treatment and sustainable	202
		(c)	development Sustainable development in arbitral	203
		(c)	jurisprudence	206
		(d)	The relative weight of sustainable development	206 211
В	D	• •	lural principles	211
Ď	٢ſ	ocec	iurai principies	213

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law
Roland Kläger
Frontmatter
More information

		1	Fair procedure	213
			(a) General concepts of procedural fairness	214
			(b) Procedural fairness in arbitral jurisprudence	217
			(i) Denial of justice	217
			(ii) Administrative due process	225
			(c) The relative weight of procedural fairness	227
		2	Transparency	227
			(a) General meaning of transparency	228
			(b) Transparency in arbitral jurisprudence	230
			(c) The relative weight of transparency	234
	С	Tł	ne structure, intensity and rationality of review	235
		1	Proportionality as structural element	236
			(a) General meaning and function of proportionality	236
			(b) Proportionality in arbitral jurisprudence	240
		2	Intensity of review	246
			(a) Variability of the intensity of review	246
			(b) Intensity of review in times of economic crisis	248
		3	Rationality deficits	250
			(a) Structural bias in investment treaty arbitration	251
			(b) Rationality of balancing and legal politics	253
	D	Co	onclusion: principled fairness	256
Pa	rt I	Π	The position of fair and equitable treatment	
			in the international legal system	259
8	Fai	r o	nd equitable treatment in the system of	
0			national law sources	261
				261
	Α	11	ne categorisation of fair and equitable treatment Fair and equitable treatment as a purely	201
		1	conventional norm?	262
		2	Fair and equitable treatment as a norm of	262
		2	customary law?	265
		3	Fair and equitable treatment as a general principle	205
		3	of law?	271
	В	тŀ		2/1
	D		ne principles of fair and equitable treatment ad the sources of international law	272
				273
		1	The general characteristics of principles	273
		2		
			The normative status of the principles of fair and	000
			equitable treatment	277

CONTENTS XI

international investment law

281

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Lav
Roland Kläger
Frontmatter
More information

xii contents

1	A	In	terpl	lay with other standards of investment	
		pr	otec	tion	281
		1	Nati	ional treatment	281
			(a)	Meaning of national treatment	281
			(b)	Intersections with fair and equitable treatment	285
		2	Mos	t-favoured-nation treatment	286
			(a)	Meaning of most-favoured-nation treatment	286
			(b)	Intersections with fair and equitable treatment	287
		3	Arbi	itrary or discriminatory measures	288
			(a)	Meaning of arbitrary or discriminatory measures	288
			(b)	Intersections with fair and equitable treatment	290
		4	Full	protection and security	291
			(a)	Meaning of full protection and security	291
			(b)	Intersections with fair and equitable treatment	293
		5	Exp	ropriation	295
			(a)	Meaning of expropriation	295
			(b)	Intersections with fair and equitable treatment	296
		6	Uml	brella clause	299
			(a)	8	299
			(b)	Intersections with fair and equitable treatment	302
]	В	Fa	ir an	d equitable treatment between dispensability	
		an	d ma	aster norm	303
		1		and equitable treatment as an absolute obligation?	304
		2		and equitable treatment as a gap-filling	
				gation?	306
		3		and equitable treatment as an overriding	
				gation?	308
(С	Co	onclu	ision: fair and equitable treatment and the	
		ide	ea of	f constitutionalism	310
10	С	onc	lusi	on	317
Bibli	iogi	rapi	hy		321
Inde	x	-			353

Foreword

International investment law has grown considerably in importance in recent years, as evidenced by the great increase in the number of international investment agreements, in the scholarly literature and even in the number of awards. Nevertheless, the doctrinal foundations of international investment law have remained highly contested: it is easier to draw up a list of disputed than agreed propositions. Dr Kläger's work seeks to address this problem in respect of fair and equitable treatment, a central norm of international investment law. In doing so he discusses fair and equitable treatment in relation to general theories of international law, legal method and even international justice.

In Part I he argues that exploring these doctrinal foundations gives a broader justificatory basis to the fair and equitable treatment standard and thereby conduces to greater consistency and legal certainty. This contrasts with a persistent trend of opinion that fair and equitable treatment is irreducibly vague, and that it authorises international tribunals to conduct an 'all things considered' examination of host State action or inaction. On this view, arguments derived from the general rules of interpretation are of little use in the application of fair and equitable treatment: the only important question is what the current tribunal decides happened and whether it was - at some adjectival level - unfair or inequitable to the investor. By way of reaction, other tribunals (notably in Glamis Gold) have constricted the meaning of the formula to an outdated and excessively rigid version of an international minimum standard, based on cases (especially Neer) involving a distinct factual matrix. The oversimplification of traditional approaches towards fair and equitable treatment highlights the growing disunity of the law.

The discussion of 'fragmentation', as it has come to be called (as if international law had once been unfragmented and immaculate),

xiv foreword

suggests an alternative. Dr Kläger suggests ways to integrate arguments from other sub-systems of international law into international investment law. On this view, vague provisions like fair and equitable treatment serve as gateway clauses allowing a systemic exchange between different sub-systems.

Part II looks at the actual argumentation of arbitral tribunals and the ideas of justice behind their decisions. The notion of fair and equitable treatment already implies an affiliation to underlying perceptions of justice. By reviewing international theories of justice, he argues that the application of fair and equitable treatment is the result of a process of balancing of often conflicting arguments and the competing poles of stability and discretion. It is suggested that there is already a series of argumentation patterns or *topoi* which may be considered as subelements or principles of fair and equitable treatment. These principles are further explored with respect to their comparative law background, their contours in arbitral jurisprudence as well as their role and weight in decision making. Thereby, it is argued that the structure, intensity and rationality of arbitral review may converge to achieve a convincing construction of fair and equitable treatment.

In a final Part, the book tries to assess the impact of this conceptual scheme of fair and equitable treatment in the broader context of the international legal system. The author argues that, within the system of international law sources, fair and equitable treatment has not undergone transformation of status so as to become a conventional norm: in other words, he rejects the customary law character of fair and equitable treatment. Nevertheless, the principles underlying the idea of fair and equitable treatment disclose an emerging justificatory deep-structure that resembles some elements of a process of constitutionalisation in this area of law.

This is a valuable attempt to give some rigour to a term which has sometimes seemed devoid of meaning and a jurisdiction consequently controversial and insecure. Whether one shares its conclusions, its aim is surely right – and its appearance in Cambridge Studies in International and Comparative Law consequently to be welcomed.

> James Crawford Lauterpacht Centre for International Law University of Cambridge

> > 20 April 2011

Acknowledgements

As with probably most first books, this work is the result of my personal and legal education. As such, many people have contributed to the fact that this book has been written and that the foundations for writing it have been laid. Among those, especially Prof. Dr Martin Nettesheim as my doctoral advisor at the University of Tübingen has accompanied the work on this book by his excellent supervision and great wisdom. His encouragement has continuously incited and enriched the laborious process of writing. I am equally grateful to Prof. em. Dr Dr h.c. Wolfgang Graf Vitzthum, LLM, for his complaisant and rapid correction of the text. Furthermore, I am indebted to Prof. Dr Jürgen Schwarze and his entire team for providing me with an intellectual home at the University of Freiburg for over two years. During this time, I not only learned a lot about European and international law, but also benefited hugely from the great atmosphere at his chair. I am also deeply obliged to Prof. James Crawford, SC, FBA, for admitting my book to this book series and for writing such an enlightening and kind foreword.

Moreover, I would like to thank Tillmann Rudolf Braun, MPA, and Joachim Steffens for inducting me into the secrets of bilateral investment treaty negotiations at the German Federal Ministry of Economics and Technology. Another important and inspiring experience in the course of toiling over this book has been a stay at the Lauterpacht Centre for International Law at the University of Cambridge. I was fortunate to be a Visiting Fellow at the Centre, offering a great opportunity to have a stimulating exchange with international law scholars from all over the world. Additionally, I am grateful to Isolde Zeiler for her organisational support, as well as to Lewis Enim for language advice. Finally, I would like to thank my parents, my brother, the entire family and Christine Löhr for enabling my academic expeditions and for keeping me grounded at the same time.

xv

Table of cases

Permanent Court of International Justice

The Case of the S.S. 'Lotus' (France v. *Turkey)*, Judgment of 7 September 1927, PCIJ Series A. 10 (1927), p. 133

International Court of Justice

Ambatielos (Greece v. United Kingdom), Judgment of 19 May 1953, ICJ Reports (1953), p. 287 Anglo-Iranian Oil Co. (United Kingdom v. Iran), Judgment of 22 July 1952, ICJ Reports (1952), p. 287 Barcelona Traction, Light and Power Co. Ltd (Belgium v. Spain), Judgment of 5 February 1970, ICJ Reports (1970), p. 60 Case Concerning the Territorial Dispute (Libya v. Chad), Judgment of 3 February 1994, ICJ Reports (1994), p. 40 Elettronica Sicula SpA ELSI (USA v. Italy), Judgment of 20 July 1989, ICJ Reports (1989), p. 57, 289, 292 Gabčíkovo-Nagymaros Project (Hungary v. Slovakia), 25 September 1997, ICJ Reports (1997), p. 200 Military and Paramilitary Activities in and against Nicaragua (Nicaragua v. USA), Judgment of 27 June 1986, ICJ Reports (1986), p. 97, 159, 265 North Sea Continental Shelf Cases (Federal Republic of Germany v. Denmark; Federal Republic of Germany v. Netherlands), Judgment of 20 February 1969, ICJ Reports (1969), p. 130, 266 Nuclear Tests Case (Australia v. France), Judgment of 20 December 1974, ICJ Reports (1974), p. 214 Oil Platforms (Iran v. USA), Judgment of 6 November 2003, ILM 42 (2003), p. 97, 103 Pulp Mills on the River Uruguay (Argentina v. Uruguay), Request for the Indication of Provisional Measures, Order of 13 July 2006, xvi

TABLE OF CASES XVII

available at: www.icj-cij.org/docket/files/135/11235.pdf, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 202

Sovereignty over Pulau Ligitan and Pulau Sipadan (Indonesia v. Malaysia), Judgment of 17 December 2002, ICJ Reports (2002), p. 39

International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea

The Mox Plant Case (Ireland v. United Kingdom), Order on Provisional Measures of 3 December 2001, ILM 41 (2002), p. 98

Permanent Court of Arbitration

- Dispute Concerning Access to Information under Article 9 of the OSPAR Convention (Ireland v. United Kingdom), Award of 2 July 2003, ILM 42 (2003), p. 98
- Iron Rhine Railway (Belgium v. Netherlands), Award of 24 May 2005, available at: www.pca-cpa.org/showpage.asp?pag_id=1155, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 201
- Madame Chevreau (France v. United Kingdom), Award of 9 June 1931, AJIL 27 (1933), p. 52
- *The Mox Plant Case (Ireland v. United Kingdom)*, Order on the Suspension of Proceedings on Jurisdiction and Merits of 24 June 2003, ILM 42 (2003), p. 98

WTO Dispute Settlement Body

- European Communities Measures Affecting the Approval and Marketing of Biotech Products ('EC-Biotech'), Report of the Panel of 29 September 2006, WT/DS219/R, p. 108
- United States Restrictions on Imports of Cotton and Man-made Fibre Underwear ('US-Cotton Underwear'), Report of the Appellate Body of 10 February 1997, WT/DS24/AB/R, p. 230
- United States Import Prohibition of Certain Shrimp and Shrimp Products ('US-Shrimps'), Report of the Appellate Body of 12 October 1998, WT/ DS58/AB/R, I.L.M. 38 (1999), p. 100, 107, 199 et seq., 230

African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights

The Social and Economic Rights Action Center and the Center for Economic and Social Rights v. Nigeria ('Ogoniland Case'), Decision of 13–27 October 2001, Communication No. 155/96 (2001), available at: www1.umn.edu/ humanrts/africa/comcases/155-96.html, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 201 et seq., 205 xviii table of cases

European Court of Human Rights

Al-Adsani v. United Kingdom [GC], Judgment of 21 November 2001, Application No. 35763/97, ECHR 2001-XI, p. 99
Fogarty v. United Kingdom [GC], Judgment of 21 November 2001, Application No. 37112/97, ECHR 2001-XI, p. 99
McElhinney v. Ireland [GC], Judgment of 21 November 2001, Application No. 31253/96, ECHR 2001-XI, p. 99

European Court of Justice

Commission v. *Ireland* ('Mox Plant'), ECJ, Case C-459/03, Judgment of 30 May 2006, [2006] ECR I-4635, p. 98

Hauptzollamt München-Mitte v. Technische Universität München, ECJ, Case C-269/90, Judgment of 21 November 1991, [1991] ECR 5469, p. 216

Van Gend en Loos v. Administratie der Belastingen, ECJ, Case 26/62, Judgment of 5 February 1963, [1963] ECR 3, p. 313

General Claims Commission - United States and Mexico

George W. Hopkins (USA v. Mexico), Award of 31 March 1926, Docket No. 39, UN RIAA, Vol. IV, p. 65

Laura M. B. Janes and others (USA v. Mexico), Award of 16 November 1926, UN RIAA, Vol. IV, p. 52

L.F.H. Neer and Pauline E. Neer (USA v. Mexico), Award of 25 October 1926, Docket No. 136, UN RIAA, Vol. IV, p. 51, 55, 71 et seq., 74 et seq., 88, 210

Harry Roberts (USA v. Mexico), Award of 2 November 1926, UN RIAA, Vol. IV, p. 52

Investment Arbitration Decisions

- The decisions are usually also available at one of the following websites: www.investmentclaims.com; http://ita.law.uvic.ca/; http://icsid.world bank.org/ICSID/; or www.naftaclaims.com/ (all accessed 9 November 2010)
- AES Corp. v. Argentina, Decision on Jurisdiction of 26 April 2005, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/17, p. 34

ADC Affiliate Ltd and ADC & ADMC Management Ltd v. Hungary, Award of 2 October 2006, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/16, p. 115, 118, 162 et seq., 226

ADF Group Inc. v. United States, Award of 9 January 2003, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/00/1, p. 74 et seq.

Aguas del Tunari SA v. *Bolivia*, Decision on the Respondent's Objection to Jurisdiction of 21 October 2005, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/3, p. 46

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law Roland Kläger Frontmatter <u>More information</u>

TABLE OF CASES xix

- American Manufacturing & Trading Inc. (AMT) v. Zaire, Award of 21 February 1997, ICSID Case No. ARB/93/1, ILM 36 (1997), p. 58, 292
- Asian Agricultural Products Ltd (AAPL) v. Sri Lanka, Award of 21 June 1990, ICSID Case No. ARB/87/3, ILM 30 (1990), p. 58, 292 et seq.
- ATA Construction, Industrial and Trading Co. v. Jordan, Award of 18 May 2010, ICSID Case No. ARB/08/2, p. 118, 224
- Robert Azinian and others v. Mexico, Award of 1 November 1999, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/97/2, ILM 39 (2000), p. 62, 118, 210, 217 et seq., 224
- *Azurix Corp. and others* v. *Argentina*, Award of 14 July 2006, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/12, p. 34, 83 et seq., 289 et seq., 293 et seq., 297 et seq.
- Bayindir Insaat Turizm Ticaret ve Sanayi AŞ v. Pakistan, Award of 24 August 2009, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/29, p. 13, 116, 186, 270, 288
- *BG Group Plc.* v. *Argentina*, Award of 24 December 2007 (UNCITRAL), p. 117, 173, 243 et seq.
- Biwater Gauff Ltd v. Tanzania, Award of 18 July 2008, ICSID Case No. ARB/ 05/22, p. 116
- Champion Trading Co. and Ameritrade International Inc. v. Egypt, Award of 27 October 2006, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/9, p. 118, 232 et seq., 283

Chevron Corp. and Texaco Petroleum Corp. v. Ecuador, Interim Award of 1 December 2008 (UNCITRAL), p. 222

- CME Czech Republic BV v. Czech Republic, Partial Award of 13 September 2001 (UNCITRAL), p. 180 et seq., 244, 293
- CME Czech Republic BV v. Czech Republic, Final Award of 14 March 2003 (UNCITRAL), p. 180, 244, 293

CMS Gas Transmission Co. v. *Argentina*, Award on the Merits of 12 May 2005, ICSID ARB/01/8, ILM 44 (2005), p. 34, 79 et seq., 117, 171, 290

CMS Gas Transmission Co. v. Argentina, Decision on Annulment of 25 September 2007, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/8, ILM 46 (2007), p. 80

Compañía de Aguas de Aconquija SA and Vivendi Universal SA v. Argentina, Decision on Annulment of 3 July 2002, ICSID Case No. ARB/97/3, ILM 41 (2002), p. 301

- Compañía de Aguas de Aconquija SA and Vivendi Universal SA v. Argentina, Award of 20 August 2007, ICSID Case No. ARB/97/3, p. 118, 293
- Compañía del Desarrollo de Santa Elena SA v. Costa Rica, Final Award of 17 February 2000, ICSID Case No. ARB/96/1, p. 296
- Consortium RFCC v. Morocco, Award of 22 December 2003, ICSID Case No. ARB/00/6, p. 184
- Duke Energy Electroquil Partners and Electroquil SA v. Ecuador, Award of 12 August 2008, ICSID Case No. ARB/04/19, p. 86, 184, 186

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law
Roland Kläger
Frontmatter
More information

XX TABLE OF CASES

EDF (Services) Ltd v. Romania, Award of 2 October 2009, ICSID Case No.
ARB/05/13, p. 118, 174, 244
El Paso Energy International Co. v. Argentina, Decision on Jurisdiction of
27 April 2006, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/15, p. 301
Enron Corp. and Ponderosa Assets LP v. Argentina, Decision on Jurisdiction of
14 January 2004, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/3, p. 298
Enron Corp. and Ponderosa Assets LP v. Argentina, Award of 22 May 2007,
ICSID Case No. ARB/01/3, p. 80 et seq., 117, 172 et seq., 301
Eureko BV v. Poland, Partial Award on Liability of 19 August 2005
(UNCITRAL), p. 117, 181 et seq., 192 et seq., 301
Marvin Roy Feldman Karpa v. Mexico, Award of 16 December 2002, ICSID Case
No. ARB(AF)/99/1, ILM 42 (2003), p. 118, 222, 231, 283, 298 et seq.
Piero Foresti, Laura de Carli and others v. South Africa, Registered on
8 January 2007, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/07/01, p. 92
GAMI Investments Inc. v. Mexico, Final Award of 15 November 2004
(UNCITRAL), ILM 44 (2002), p. 73, 170 et seq.
Alex Genin, Eastern Credit Ltd Inc. and AS Baltoil v. Estonia, Award of 25 June
2001, ICSID Case No. ARB/99/2, p. 33, 58, 83, 118, 190, 211, 225
Glamis Gold Ltd v. United States, Award of 14 May 2009 (UNCITRAL), p. 38,
40, 75, 86, 88, 92, 210, 247
Inceysa Vallisoletane SL v. El Salvador, Award of 2 August 2006, ICSID Case
No. ARB/03/26, p. 212
International Thunderbird Gaming Corp. v. Mexico, Arbitral Award of
26 January 2006 (UNCITRAL), p. 73, 75, 117 et seq., 151, 160 et seq., 178
et seq., 225 et seq.
International Thunderbird Gaming Corp. v. Mexico, Separate Opinion by Thomas
W. Wälde of 26 January 2006 (UNCITRAL), p. 116, 165, 179, 193
Impregilo SpA v. Pakistan, Decision on Jurisdiction of 22 April 2005, ICSID
Case No. ARB/03/3, p. 183 et seq.
Joy Mining Machinery Ltd v. Egypt, Award on Jurisdiction of 6 August 2004,
ICSID Case No. ARB/03/11, ILM 44 (2005), p. 184, 300
Ronald S. Lauder v. Czech Republic, Final Award of 3 September 2001
(UNCITRAL), p. 117, 180 et seq., 186, 190 et seq., 290, 294
LG&E Energy Corp. and others v. Argentina, Decision on Liability of
3 October 2006, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/1, ILM 46 (2007), p. 40, ICSID
Rev. – FILJ 21 (2006), p. 117, 172, 231, 289
Link-Trading Joint Stock Co. v. Moldova, Final Award of 18 April 2002
(UNCITRAL), p. 299
Loewen Group Inc. and Raymond L. Loewen v. United States, Award of 26 June
2003, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/98/3, ILM 42 (2003), p. 73, 118, 219 et seq.

Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law Roland Kläger Frontmatter More information

TABLE OF CASES XXI

- *Emilio Augustín Maffezini* v. *Spain*, Decision on Objections to Jurisdiction of 25 January 2000, ICSID Case No. ARB/97/7, ILM 40 (2001), p. 287
- Emilio Augustín Maffezini v. Spain, Award of 13 November 2000, ICSID Case No. ARB/97/7, ILM 40 (2001), p. 118, 206, 232
- MCI Power Group LC, New Turbin Inc. v. Ecuador, Award of 31 July 2007, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/6, p. 117, 121
- Merrill & Ring Forestry L.P. v. Canada, Award of 31 March 2010, ICSID Administered Case, p. 86 et seq., 106, 267
- *Metalclad Corp.* v. *Mexico*, Award of 30 August 2000, ICSID Case No. Arb (AF)/97/1, ILM 40 (2000), p. 62 et seq., 92, 104, 118, 169, 175, 230 et seq., 296, 306
- Metalpar SA and Buen Aire SA v. Argentina, Award on the Merits of 6 June 2008, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/5, p. 117, 173, 223
- Methanex Corp. v. United States, Final Award on Jurisdiction and Merits of 3 August 2005 (UNCITRAL), ILM 44 (2005), p. 73, 92, 117, 191 et seq., 208, 284 et seq.
- Mondev International Ltd v. United States, Award of 11 October 2002, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/99/2, ILM 42 (2003), p. 34, 73, 75, 118, 121, 181, 217 et seq., 264
- MTD Equity Sdn. Bhd. and MTD Chile SA v. Chile, Award of 25 May 2004, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/7, ILM 44 (2005), p. 33, 41 et seq., 118, 177, 192, 211, 234, 240, 288, 294
- MTD Equity Sdn. Bhd. and MTD Chile SA v. Chile, Decision on Annulment of 21 March 2007, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/7, p. 177
- S.D. Myers Inc. v. Canada, First Partial Award of 13 November 2000 (UNCITRAL), ILM 40 (2001), p. 32, 62, 64 et seq., 71, 92, 104, 117, 131, 161, 189 et seq., 207, 240, 242, 246, 272, 284, 306 et seq.
- National Grid Plc. v. Argentina, Award of 3 November 2008 (UNCITRAL), p. 41, 85, 173, 250
- *Noble Ventures Inc.* v. *Romania*, Award of 12 October 2005, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/11, p. 181, 290, 293, 301, 308 et seq.
- Jan de Nul NV and Dredging International NV v. Egypt, Award of 24 October 2008, ICSID Case No. ARB/04/13, p. 221, 224
- Nykomb Synergetics Technology Holding AB v. Latvia, Award of 16 December 2003 (Arbitration Institute of the Stockholm Chamber of Commerce), p. 117, 290
- Occidental Exploration and Production Co. v. Ecuador, Final Award in the Matter of an UNCITRAL Arbitration of 1 July 2004 (London Court of International Arbitration), Case No. UN 3467, p. 77 et seq., 80, 117, 118, 169 et seq., 284 et seq., 293, 307

xxii table of cases

- Pan American Energy LLC and BP Argentina Exploration Co. v. Argentina (joined case), Decision on Jurisdiction of 27 July 2006, ICSID Case Nos. ARB/03/ 13 and ARB/04/8, p. 298, 301
- Pantechniki SA Contractors and Engineers v. Albania, Award of 28 July 2009, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/21, p. 212, 222
- *Parkerings-Compagniet AS* v. *Lithuania*, Award of 11 September 2007, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/8, p. 42, 92, 117, 172 et seq., 184, 193, 209, 211, 233 et seq.
- Petrobart Ltd v. Kyrgyz Republic, Arbitral Award of 29 March 2005 (Arbitration Institute of the Stockholm Chamber of Commerce), SCC Case No. 126/2003, p. 224
- Victor Pey Casado and Président Allende Foundation v. Chile, Award of 22 April 2008, ICSID Case No. ARB/98/2, p. 118, 193, 223 et seq.
- *Plama Consortium Ltd* v. *Bulgaria*, Decision on Jurisdiction of 8 February 2005, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/24, ICSID Rev. FILJ 20 (2005), p. 287
- Pope & Talbot Inc. v. Canada, Interim Award of 26 June 2000 (UNCITRAL), ILM 40 (2001), p. 68
- Pope & Talbot Inc. v. Canada, Final Merits Award of 10 April 2001 (UNCITRAL), p. 67 et seq., 85, 118, 159 et seq., 241, 284 et seq., 288
- Pope & Talbot Inc. v. Canada, Award on Damages of 31 May 2002 (UNCITRAL), ILM 41 (2002), p. 72 et seq.
- PSEG Global Inc. and Konya Ilgin Elektrik Üretim ve Ticaret Ltd Širketi v. Turkey, Award of 19 January 2007, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/5, p. 117, 173, 290, 294, 297, 306, 309
- Rumeli Telekom SA and Telsim Mobil Telekomikasyon Hizmetleri AS v. Kazakhstan, Award of 29 July 2008, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/16, p. 11, 116, 269, 288
- Salini Costruttori SpA and Italstrade SpA v. Jordan, Decision on Jurisdiction of 29 November 2004, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/13, ILM 44 (2005), p. 287
- Saluka Investments BV v. Czech Republic, Partial Award of 17 March 2006 (UNCITRAL), p. 40, 80, 81 et seq., 117 et seq., 121, 131, 151, 161 et seq., 186, 193, 194 et seq., 220, 231, 242 et seq., 249, 285, 289 et seq., 307
- Sempra Energy International v. Argentina, Decision on Objections to Jurisdiction of 11 May 2005, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/16, p. 301
- Sempra Energy International v. Argentina, Award of 28 September 2007, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/16, p. 80 et seq., 129, 131, 172 et seq., 297, 301
- Sempra Energy International v. Argentina, Decision on Annulment of 29 June 2010, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/16, p. 38, 80
- SGS Société Générale de Surveillance SA v. Pakistan, Decision on Objections to Jurisdiction of 6 August 2003, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/13, ILM 42 (2003), p. 300

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law
Roland Kläger
Frontmatter
More information

TABLE OF CASES XXIII

- SGS Société Générale de Surveillance SA v. Philippines, Decision on Objections to Jurisdiction of 29 January 2004, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/6, p. 300 et seq.
- Siemens AG v. Argentina, Decision on Jurisdiction of 3 August 2004, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/8, ILM 44 (2005), p. 287
- Siemens AG v. Argentina, Award of 6 February 2007, ICSID Case No. ARB/ 02/8, p. 184, 233, 294, 301
- *Técnicas Medioambientales, TECMED SA* v. *Mexico,* Award of 29 May 2003, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/00/2, ILM 43 (2004), p. 33, 117 et seq., 131, 169, 175 et seq., 231 et seq., 242, 287, 298
- *Texaco Overseas Petroleum Co.* v. *Libya*, Award on the Merits of 19 January 1977, ILM 17 (1978), p. 168
- United Parcel Service of America Inc. v. Canada, Award on Jurisdiction of 22 November 2002 (UNCITRAL), p. 73, 285
- United Parcel Service of America Inc. v. Canada, Award and Separate Opinion of 24 May 2007 (UNCITRAL), p. 283 et seq.
- Vattenfall AB, Vattenfall Europe AG, Vattenfall Europe Generation AG & Co. KG v. Germany, Request for Arbitration of 30 March 2009, ICSID Case No. ARB/09/6, p. 27, 212
- Waguih Elie George Siag and Clorinda Vecchi v. Egypt, Award of 1 June 2009, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/15, p. 116, 224
- Walter Bau AG v. Thailand, Award of 1 July 2009 (UNCITRAL), p. 117
- Waste Management v. Mexico, Award of 30 April 2004, ICSID Case No. ARB (AF)/00/3, ILM 43 (2004), p. 34, 75, 118, 171, 182, 192, 222 et seq.
- Wena Hotels Ltd v. Egypt, Award of 8 December 2000, ICSID Case No. Arb/ 98/4, ILM 41 (2002), p. 292

Domestic courts

- Czech Republic v. CME Czech Republic BV, Svea Court of Appeals, Judgment of 15 May 2003, ILM 42 (2003), p. 180
- *Mexico* v. *Metalclad Corp.*, Supreme Court of British Columbia, Judgment of 2 May 2001, 2001 BCSC 664, p. 62, 64, 66, 70, 104, 296
- Penn Central Transportations Co. v. City of New York, US Supreme Court, Judgment of 26 June 1978, 438 US 104, p. 167

Tschechische Republik v. Saluka Investments BV, Schweizerisches Bundesgericht (Swiss Federal Tribunal), Judgment of 7 September 2006, Reference No. 4P.114/2006, available at: www.bger.ch/index/ juridiction/jurisdiction-inherit-template/jurisdiction-recht/ jurisdiction-recht-urteile 2000.htm, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 81

Table of treaties

Multilateral treaties

1945	Charter of the United Nations ('UN Charter') (signed 26
	June 1945, entered into force 24 October 1945); 1 UNTS 16,
	p. 97, 311
1945	Statute of the International Court of Justice ('ICJ Statute')
	(signed 26 June 1945, entered into force 24 October 1945);
	1 UNTS 993, p. 73, 97, 102, 128, 261, 271 et seq., 277 et seq.
1947	General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade ('GATT') (signed
	30 October 1947, entered into force 1 January 1948, amended
	1 January 1995); 55 UNTS 87; available at: www.wto.org/
	english/docs_e/legal_e/legal_e.htm, accessed 9 November
	2010, p. 10, 64, 100, 199 et seq., 230, 238, 282 et seq.
1950	European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and
	Fundamental Freedoms and its Protocols ('European
	Convention on Human Rights') (signed 4 November 1950,
	entered into force 3 September 1953); 213 UNTS 222, p. 54, 99,
	213, 216, 218, 239
1965	Convention on the Settlement of Investment Disputes
	between States and Nationals of Other States ('ICSID
	Convention') (signed 18 March 1965, entered into force 14
	October 1966); 575 UNTS 160; ILM 4 (1965), p. 524; available at:
	http://icsid.worldbank.org/ICSID/ICSID/RulesMain.jsp,
	accessed 9 November 2010, p. 26, 38, 105
1966	International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (signed 16
	December 1966, entered into force 23 March 1976); 999 UNTS
	171; ILM 6 (1967), p. 198, 216

xxiv

Cambridge University Press	
978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment La	aw
Roland Kläger	
Frontmatter	
More information	

TABLE OF TREATIES XXV

1966	International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural
	Rights (signed 16 December 1966, entered into force 3 January
	1976); 993 UNTS 3; ILM 6 (1967), p. 198
1969	Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties ('VCLT') (signed
	23 May 1969, entered into force 27 January 1980);
	1155 UNTS 331, p. 39 et seq., 70, 83, 97 et seq., 167
1969	American Convention on Human Rights (signed 22 November
	1969, entered into force 28 July 1978); 1144 UNTS 143; ILM
	9 (1970), p. 216
1972	Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural
	and Natural Heritage ('UNESCO World Heritage Convention')
	(signed 16 November 1972, entered into force 17 December
	1975); 1037 UNTS 151, p. 209, 211
1981	African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights (signed 27 June
	1981, entered into force 21 October 1986); 1520 UNTS 217; ILM
	21 (1982), p. 58; available at: www1.umn.edu/humanrts/
	instree/afrinst.htm, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 201
1985	Convention establishing the Multilateral Investment
	Guarantee Agency ('MIGA Convention') (signed 11 October
	1985, entered into force 12 April 1988); 1508 UNTS 99;
	available at: www.miga.org/quickref/index_sv.cfm?stid=1583,
	accessed 9 November 2010, p. 11 et seq.
1989	Basel Convention on the Control of Transboundary
	Movements of Hazardous Wastes and their Disposal ('Basel
	Convention') (signed 22 March 1989, entered into force 5 May
	1992); 1673 UNTS 57, p. 207, 240 et seq.
1992	Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment of
	the North-East Atlantic ('OSPAR Convention') (signed
	22 September 1992, entered into force 25 March 1998); ILM 32
	(1993), p. 98
1992	North American Free Trade Agreement ('NAFTA') (signed
	17 December 1992, entered into force 1 January 1994); ILM 32
	(1993), p. 17 et seq., 32, 59, 61 et seq., 85, 95, 104 et seq., 117,
	160, 170, 179 et seq., 191 et seq., 217 et seq., 230, 281, 286, 298
1993	Treaty establishing the Common Market for Eastern and
	Southern Africa ('COMESA') (signed 5 November 1993, entered
	into force 8 December 1994); 2314 UNTS 265; ILM 33 (1994),
	p. 16

xxvi TABLE OF TREATIES

1994	General Agreement on Trade in Services ('GATS') (signed 15 April 1994, entered into force 1 January 1995); ILM 33 (1994), p. 1167; available at: www.wto.org/english/docs_e/legal_e/legal_e.htm, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 10, 100, 282, 284, 286
1994	Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Investment Measures ('TRIMS') (signed 15 April 1994, entered into force 1 January 1995); 1868 UNTS 14; available at: www.wto.org/english/docs_e/ legal_e/legal_e.htm, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 10, 282
1994	Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights ('TRIPS') (signed 15 April 1994, entered into force 1 January 1995); ILM 33 (1994), p. 1197; available at: www.wto. org/english/docs_e/legal_e/legal_e.htm, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 282, 286
1994	WTO Understanding on Rules and Procedures governing the Settlement of Disputes ('WTO Dispute Settlement Understanding') (signed 15 April 1994, entered into force 1 January 1995); ILM 33 (1994), p. 1226; available at: www.wto. org/english/docs_e/legal_e/legal_e.htm, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 104
1994	Energy Charter Treaty (signed 17 December 1994, entered into force 16 April 1998); 2080 UNTS 95; ILM 34 (1995), p. 373; available at: www.encharter.org/index.php?id=28&L=0, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 15, 17, 21, 105, 206, 289, 315
1998	Convention on Access to Information, Public Participation in Decision-Making, and Access to Justice in Environmental Matters ('Aarhus Convention') (signed 25 June 1998, entered into force 30 October 2001); 2161 UNTS 447; ILM 38 (1999), p. 517; available at: www.unece.org/env/pp/treatytext.htm, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 98
2004	Central America-Dominican Republic-United States Free Trade Agreement ('DR-CAFTA') (signed 5 August 2004, entered into force 1 January 2009); available at: www.ustr.gov/trade- agreements/free-trade-agreements/cafta-dr-dominican- republic-central-america-fta/final-text, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 20
2007	Treaty on European Union ('TEU') (consolidated version as amended by the Treaty of Lisbon, signed 13 December 2007, entered into force 1 December 2009); OJ C 115 of 9 May 2008, 13, p. 239

TABLE OF TREATIES XXVII

2007 Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union ('TFEU') (consolidated version amended by the Treaty of Lisbon, signed 13 December 2007, entered into force 1 December 2009); OJ C 115 of 9 May 2008, 47, p. 315

Bilateral treaties

The texts of bilateral investment treaties are also available at: www. unctadxi.org/templates/DocSearch____779.aspx, accessed 9 November 2010

1955	Treaty of Amity, Economic Relations, and Consular Rights
	between Iran and the United States of America ('Iran–US FCN
	Treaty') (signed 15 August 1955, entered into force 16 June
	1957), 284 UNTS 93, p. 97
1959	Treaty for the Promotion and Protection of Investments
	between the Federal Republic of Germany and Pakistan
	('Germany–Pakistan BIT') (signed 25 November 1959, entered
	into force 28 April 1962), 457 UNTS 23, p. 10, 109
1971	Convention entre la Confédération Suisse et la République
	d'Ouganda concernant l'encouragement et la protection
	réciproque des investissements ('Switzerland–Uganda BIT')
	(signed 23 August 1971, entered into force 8 May 1972), p. 18
1977	Agreement between Japan and the Arab Republic of Egypt
	concerning the Encouragement and Reciprocal Protection of
	Investments ('Japan–Egypt BIT') (signed 28 January 1977),
	p. 10
1983	Treaty between the United States of America and the Republic
	of Haiti concerning the Reciprocal Encouragement and
	Protection of Investment ('US-Haiti BIT') (signed 13 December
	1983), p. 281
1984	Treaty between the United States of America and the Republic
	of Zaire concerning the Reciprocal Encouragement and
	Protection of Investments ('US-Zaire BIT') (signed 3 August
	1984, entered into force 28 July 1989), p. 58
1986	Treaty between the United States of America and the
	Arab Republic of Egypt concerning the Reciprocal
	Encouragement and Protection of Investments ('US–Egypt
	BIT') (signed 11 March 1986, entered into force 27 June 1992),
	p. 232

xxviii table of treaties

1990	Accordo fra la Repubblica Italiana e la Repubblica Argentina
	sulla Promozione e la Protezione degli Investimenti
	('Italy-Argentina BIT') (signed 22 May 1990), p. 15
1991	Agreement on Encouragement and Reciprocal Protection of
	Investments between the Kingdom of the Netherlands and the
	Czech and Slovak Federal Republic ('Netherlands-Czech
	Republic BIT') (signed 29 April 1991, entered into force 1
	October 1992); 2242 UNTS 205, p. 82, 161
1991	Treaty between the United States of America and the Czech
	and Slovak Federal Republic concerning the Reciprocal
	Encouragement and Protection of Investment ('US-Czech
	Republic BIT') (signed 22 October 1991, entered into force 19
	December 1992), p. 290
1991	Treaty between the United States of America and the
	Argentine Republic concerning the Encouragement and
	Reciprocal Protection of Investment ('US-Argentina BIT')
	(signed 14 November 1991, entered into force 20 October
	1994), p. 80, 84
1992	Agreement between the Government of the Kingdom of
	Norway and the Government of the Republic of Lithuania on
	the Promotion and Mutual Protection of Investments
	('Norway–Lithuania BIT') (signed 16 August 1992), p. 15
1993	Treaty between the United States of America and the Republic
	of Ecuador concerning the Encouragement and Reciprocal
	Protection of Investment ('US-Ecuador BIT') (signed 27 August
	1993), p. 78
1994	Treaty between the Government of the United States of
	America and the Government of the Republic of Estonia for
	the Encouragement and Reciprocal Protection of Investments
	('US-Estonia BIT') (signed 19 April 1994, entered into force
	16 February 1997); 1987 UNTS 131, p. 58, 83
1995	Agreement between the Government of the United Kingdom
	of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and the Government of
	the Republic of Kazakhstan for the Promotion and Protection
	of Investments ('UK-Kazakhstan BIT') (signed 23 November
	1995, entered into force 23 November 1995); UKTS 30 (1996),
	Cmnd 3176, p. 270
1997	Bilateral Investment Treaty between the countries of the
	Caribbean Common Market and Cuba ('CARICOM-Cuba BIT');

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law
Roland Kläger
Frontmatter
More information

TABLE OF TREATIES XXIX

	cited from: UNCTAD, Bilateral Investment Treaties 1995–2006,
	UNCTAD/ITE/IIT2006/5 (New York 2007), p. 20
1998	Agreement between the Government of Canada and the
	Government of the Republic of Costa Rica for the Promotion
	and Protection of Investments ('Canada-Costa Rica BIT')
	(signed 18 March 1998), p. 18
1998	Agreement between the Government of the Republic of France
	and the Government of the United Mexican States on the
	Reciprocal Promotion and Protection of Investments
	('France–Mexico BIT') (signed 12 November 1998), p. 18
1998	Agreement on Encouragement and Reciprocal Protection of
	Investments between the Kingdom of the Netherlands and the
	Federative Republic of Brazil ('Netherlands-Brazil BIT') (signed
	25 November 1998), p. 291
1999	Agreement Between the Government of the People's Republic
	of China and the Government of the State of Qatar Concerning
	the Encouragement and Reciprocal Protection of Investments
	('China–Qatar BIT') (signed April 1999), p. 291
1999	Accord entre la Confédération suisse et la République du Chili
	concernant la promotion et la protection réciproque des
	investissements ('Switzerland–Chile BIT') (signed 24
	September 1999, entered into force 2 May 2002), p. 15 et seq.
2000	Agreement between the Government of the Republic of
	Indonesia and the Government of the People's Democratic
	Republic of Algeria concerning the Promotion and Protection
	of Investments ('Indonesia-Algeria BIT') (signed 21 March
	2000), p. 291
2000	Treaty between the Federal Republic of Germany and the
	Republic of Botswana concerning the Encouragement and
	Reciprocal Protection of Investments ('Germany-Botswana
2001	BIT') (signed 23 May 2000), p. 16
2001	Agreement between the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia and the
	Belgo-Luxembourg Economic Union concerning the
	Reciprocal Promotion and Protection of Investments ('Saudi
2001	Arabia-Belgium/Luxembourg BIT') (signed 22 April 2001), p. 16
2001	Agreement on Reciprocal Promotion and Protection of
	Investment between the Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh and the Government of the Islamic Republic of
	•
	Iran ('Bangladesh-Iran BIT') (signed 29 April 2001), p. 16

XXX TABLE OF TREATIES

2001	Agreement between the Government of the Kingdom of
	Cambodia and the Government of the Republic of Cuba
	concerning the Promotion and Protection of Investments
	('Cambodia–Cuba BIT') (signed 28 May 2001), p. 16
2001	Agreement between the Republic of Lebanon and the Republic
	of Hungary for the Promotion and Reciprocal Protection of
	Investments ('Lebanon-Hungary BIT') (signed 22 June 2001),
	p. 17
2003	United States-Chile Free Trade Agreement ('US-Chile FTA')
	(signed 6 June 2003, entered into force 1 January 2004);
	available at: www.ustr.gov/trade-agreements/free-trade-
	agreements/chile-fta/final-text, accessed 9 November 2010,
	p. 71
2003	Agreement between Japan and the Socialist Republic of
	Vietnam for the Liberalisation, Promotion and Protection of
	Investment ('Japan-Vietnam BIT') (signed 14 November 2003),
	p. 16, 283
2006	Acuerdo para la promoción y protección reciproca de
	inversiones entre el Reino de España y los Estados Unidos
	Mexicanos ('Spain-Mexico BIT') (signed 10 October 2006), p. 15

Other documents

1929	The Law of Responsibility of States for Damage Done in their
	Territory to the Person or Property of Foreigners, by Edwin
	M. Borchard ('1929 Harvard Research Draft on the Law of State
	Responsibility'); AJIL Spec. Suppl. 23 (1929), p. 215
1948	Havana Charter for the Establishment of an International
	Trade Organization ('Havana Charter') (signed 24 March 1948);
	excerpts reprinted in: UNCTAD, International Investment
	Instruments: A Compendium, UNCTAD/DTCI/30/Vol. I (New York
	and Geneva 1996), Vol. I, p. 11
1948	Economic Agreement of Bogotá (signed 2 May 1948), available
	at: www.oas.org/juridico/english/Sigs/a-43.html, accessed 9
	November 2010, p. 14
1948	United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights
	(adopted 10 December 1948); UN General Assembly Res. 217 A
	(III); UN Doc. A/RES/217; available at: www.un.org/documents/
	resga.htm, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 54, 198

Cambridge University Press
078-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law
Roland Kläger
Frontmatter
More information

TABLE OF TREATIES XXXI

1957	'Second Report on International Responsibility', by Mr F. V.
	García Amador; UN Doc. A/CN.4/106; ILC Yearbook (1957 II),
	p. 104; available at: http://untreaty.un.org/ilc/documentation/
	english/a_cn4_106.pdf, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 53
1959	'Draft Convention on Investments Abroad', by Hermann J. Abs
	and Hartley W. Shawcross ('Abs-Shawcross Draft Convention');
	Emory Law Journal 9 (1960), p. 116 (formerly Journal of Public Law);
	reprinted in: UNCTAD, International Investment Instruments: A
	Compendium, UNCTAD/DITE/2(Vol. V) (New York and Geneva
	2000), Vol. V, p. 21
1961	Draft Convention on the International Responsibility of States
	for Injuries to Aliens, by Louis B. Sohn and Richard R. Baxter
	('1961 Harvard Draft Convention on International
	Responsibility'); AJIL 55 (1961), p. 53
1967	OECD Draft Convention on the Protection of Foreign Property
	(adopted 12 October 1967); ILM 7 (1968), p. 117; reprinted in:
	UNCTAD, International Investment Instruments: A Compendium,
	UNCTAD/DTCI/30/Vol. II (New York and Geneva 1996), Vol. II,
	p. 17, 21, 56, 58
1970	Declaration on Principles of International Law concerning
	Friendly Relations and Cooperation among States in
	accordance with the Charter of the United Nations ('Friendly
	Relations Declaration') (adopted 24 October 1970); UN General
	Assembly Res. 2625 (XXV); UN Doc. A/RES/25/2625; available at:
	www.un.org/documents/resga.htm, accessed 9 November
	2010, p. 159
1972	ICC Guidelines for International Investment (adopted 29
	November 1972); reprinted in: UNCTAD, International
	Investment Instruments: A Compendium, UNCTAD/DTCI/30/Vol. III
	(New York and Geneva 1996), Vol. III, p. 12
1974	Charter of Economic Rights and Duties of States (adopted 12
	December 1974); UN General Assembly Res. 3281 (XXIX); UN
	Doc. A/RES/29/3281; ILM 14 (1975), p. 251; available at: www.
	un.org/documents/resga.htm, accessed 9 November 2010,
	p. 50, 157
1976	UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules (adopted 28 April 1976); available
	at: www.uncitral.org/uncitral/en/uncitral_texts/arbitration/
	1976Arbitration_rules.html, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 26,
	38, 105

xxxii

TABLE OF TREATIES

1978	ILC Draft Articles on Most-Favoured-Nation Clauses; ILC Report A/33/10 (1978), Chapter II, p. 16; 30 <i>ILC Yearbook</i> (1978 II), p. 7; available at: http://untreaty.un.org/ilc/texts/instruments/
	english/commentaries/1_3_1978.pdf, accessed 9 November
1005	2010, p. 286
1985	Draft UN Code of Conduct on Transnational Corporations; reprinted in: UNCTAD, International Investment Instruments: A
	Compendium, UNCTAD/DTCI/30/Vol. I (New York and Geneva
	1996), Vol. I, p. 13
1986	Switzerland Model BIT; reprinted in: Rudolf Dolzer and
	Margrete Stevens, Bilateral Investment Treaties (The Hague:
	Nijhoff, 1995), p. 16
1987	Report of the World Commission on Environment and
	Development ('Brundtland Report') (adopted 11 December
	1987); UN General Assembly Res. 42/187; UN Doc. A/RES/42/
	187; available at: www.un.org/documents/resga.htm, accessed
	9 November 2010, p. 197
1992	World Bank Guidelines on the Treatment of Foreign Direct
	Investment ('World Bank Guidelines'); ILM 31 (1992), p. 1379; available at: http://ita.law.uvic.ca/documents/WorldBank.pdf,
	accessed 9 November 2010, p. 12, 308
1992	US Model BIT; reprinted in: Rudolf Dolzer and Margrete Stevens,
1772	Bilateral Investment Treaties (The Hague: Nijhoff, 1995), p. 18
1992	Report of the UN Conference on Environment and
	Development ('Rio Declaration') (adopted 3–14 June 1992); UN
	Doc. A/CONF.151/26 (Vol. I); ILM 31 (1992), p. 874; available at:
	www.un.org/documents/ga/conf151/aconf15126-1annex1.
	htm, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 98
1993	Netherlands Model BIT; reprinted in: Rudolf Dolzer and
	Margrete Stevens, Bilateral Investment Treaties (The Hague:
	Nijhoff, 1995), p. 17, 294
1994	Protocol of Colonia for the Promotion and Reciprocal
	Protection of Investments in MERCOSUR ('MERCOSUR Colonia
	Protocol') (signed 17 January 1994); available at: www.cvm. gov.br/ingl/inter/mercosul/coloni-e.asp, accessed 9 November
	2010, p.17
1994	Austria Model BIT; reprinted in: Rudolf Dolzer and Margrete
. , , , ,	Stevens, Bilateral Investment Treaties (The Hague: Nijhoff, 1995),
	p. 16
	-

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law
Roland Kläger
Frontmatter
More information

TABLE OF TREATIES XXXIII

1994	China Model BIT; reprinted in: UNCTAD, International
	Investment Instruments: A Compendium, UNCTAD/DTCI/30/Vol. III (New York and Geneva 1996), Vol. III, p. 16
1994	Chile Model BIT; reprinted in: UNCTAD, International Investment
1994	Instruments: A Compendium, UNCTAD/DTCI/30/Vol. III (New York
1004	and Geneva 1996), Vol. III, p. 289
1994	UK Model BIT; reprinted in: UNCTAD, International Investment
	Instruments: A Compendium, UNCTAD/DTCI/30/Vol. III (New York
1005	and Geneva 1996), Vol. III, p. 17
1995	Pacific Basin Charter on International Investments (adopted
	16 November 1995); reprinted in: UNCTAD, International
	Investment Instruments: A Compendium, UNCTAD/DTCI/30/Vol. III
1000	(New York and Geneva 1996), Vol. III, p. 12 et seq.
1998	Germany Model BIT; available at: www.textbuch-deutsches-
	recht.de/data/resources/aba2b369e78.pdf, accessed 9
1000	November 2010, p. 16
1998	OECD Multilateral Agreement on Investment ('MAI') (draft
	consolidated text of 22 April 1998); DAFFE/MAI(98)7/REV1;
	available at: www1.oecd.org/daf/mai/pdf/ng/ng987r1e.pdf,
2000	accessed 5 July 2010, p. 17, 22 Partnership Agreement between the Members of the African,
2000	Caribbean and Pacific Group of States and the European
	Community and its Member States ('Cotonou Agreement')
	(signed 23 June 2000, entered into force April 2003, revised
	25 June 2005); available at: http://ec.europa.eu/development/
	icenter/repository/Cotonou_EN_2006_en.pdf, accessed
	9 November 2010, p. 13
2000	OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, Declaration
2000	on International Investment and Multinational Enterprises
	(signed 27 June 2000); available at: www.oecd.org/dataoecd/56/
	36/1922428.pdf, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 205
2000	UN Global Compact (launched 26 July 2000); the principles of
2000	the Global Compact are available at: www.unglobalcompact.
	org/AboutTheGC/TheTenPrinciples/index.html, accessed 9
	November 2010, p. 205
2001	Notes of Interpretation of Certain Chapter 11 Provisions
2001	('NAFTA FTC Notes of Interpretation') (adopted by the NAFTA
	Free Trade Commission on 31 July 2001); available at: www.
	international.gc.ca/trade-agreements-accords-commerciaux/

XXXIV TABLE OF TREATIES

	disp-diff/nafta-interpr.aspx?lang=en, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 19, 70 et seq., 85, 95, 191, 195, 288
2001	Articles on Responsibility of States for Internationally
	Wrongful Acts (adopted 12 December 2001); UN General
	Assembly Res. 56/83; UN Doc. A/RES/56/83; available at:
	www.un.org/documents/resga.htm, accessed 9 November
	2010; also reprinted in: ILC Report A/56/10 (2001), Chapter IV,
	p. 53, 238
2002	Johannesburg Declaration on Sustainable Development
	('Johannesburg Declaration') (adopted at the World Summit on
	Sustainable Development on 4 August 2002); UN Doc. A/
	CONF.199/20; available at: www.un-documents.net/jburgdec.
	htm, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 198
2004	US Model BIT; reprinted in: Campbell McLachlan, Laurence
	Shore and Matthew Weininger, International Investment
	Arbitration (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007), p. 393; also
	available at: www.state.gov/documents/organization/29030.
	doc, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 18 et seq., 105, 213, 281, 294
	et seq., 298
2004	Canada Model BIT; available at: http://ita.law.uvic.ca/
~~~	investmenttreaties.htm, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 19
2005	Germany Model BIT; reprinted in: ArchVR 45 (2007), p. 276;
	Campbell McLachlan, Laurence Shore and Matthew
	Weininger, International Investment Arbitration (Oxford: Oxford
2006	University Press, 2007), p. 146, 269, 282, 289 Fragmentation of International Law: Difficulties Arising
2000	from the Diversification and Expansion of International
	Law: Report of the ILC Study Group of the International
	Law Commission, finalised by Martti Koskenniemi
	(13 April 2006); UN Doc. A/CN.4/L.682; available at: http://
	untreaty.un.org/ilc/guide/1_9.htm, accessed 9 November
	2010, p. 90
2006	Conclusions of the Work of the Study Group on the
	Fragmentation of International Law: Difficulties Arising from
	the Diversification and Expansion of International Law,
	International Law Commission; ILC Report A/61/10 (2006),
	Chapter XII, para. 251; available at: http://untreaty.un.org/ilc/
	reports/2006/2006report.htm, accessed 9 November 2010,
	p. 90
	<b>T</b>

TABLE OF TREATIES XXXV

## 2007 Norway Model BIT; available at: http://ita.law.uvic.ca/ investmenttreaties.htm, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 20

Hong Kong Model BIT; reprinted in: Rudolf Dolzer and Margrete Stevens, *Bilateral Investment Treaties* (The Hague: Nijhoff, 1995), p. 17 Sri Lanka Model BIT; reprinted in: Campbell McLachlan, Laurence Shore and Matthew Weininger, *International Investment Arbitration* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007), p. 105

## National documents

2003 Verwaltungsverfahrensgesetz – VwVfG ('German Code of Administrative Procedure') (revised version of 23 January 2003); BGBl. I 2003, p. 102; available at: www.gesetze-iminternet.de/vwvfg/index.html, accessed 9 November 2010, p. 168 et seq. Cambridge University Press 978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law Roland Kläger Frontmatter More information

# Abbreviations

AJIL	American Journal of International Law
AJIL Spec. Suppl.	AJIL Special Supplement
ALI	The American Law Institute
Am. U. Int'l L. Rev.	American University International Law
	Review
Arb. Int'l	International Arbitration
ArchVR	Archiv des Völkerrechts
ARSP	Archiv für Rechts- und Sozialphilosphie
ASIL	American Society of International Law
ASIL Proc.	ASIL Proceedings of the Annual Meeting
Asper Rev. Int'l Bus. &	Asper Review for International Business and
Trade L.	Trade Law
AWD	Außenwirtschaftsdienst des Betriebs-Beraters
BCSC	Supreme Court of British Columbia
BIICL	British Institute of International and
	Comparative Law
BIT	Bilateral investment treaty
Bus. L. Brief (Am. U.)	Business Law Brief (American University)
BYIL	British Yearbook of International Law
Cal. L. Rev.	California Law Review
Can. Bus. L. J.	Canadian Business Law Journal
CARICOM	Caribbean Common Market
Chicago J. Int'l L.	Chicago Journal of International Law
Chinese JIL	Chinese Journal of International Law
CMLR	Common Market Law Review
Cmnd	Command
Colum. J. Transnat'l L.	Columbia Journal of Transnational Law
Colum. L. Rev.	Columbia Law Review

xxxvi

#### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS XXXVII

COMESA	Common Market for Eastern and Southern Africa
Conn. J. Int'l L.	Connecticut Journal of International Law
Cornell Int'l L. J.	Cornell International Law Journal
DR-CAFTA	Central America-Dominican
	Republic–United States Free Trade Agreement
Duke L. J.	Duke Law Journal
EC	European Community
ECHR	European Convention on Human Rights
ECI	European Court of Justice
Ecology L. Q.	Ecology Law Quarterly
ECOlogy L. Q. ECR	European Court Reports
ECtHR	European Court of Human Rights
ECT	Energy Charter Treaty
EJIL	European Journal of International Law
Ent. L. R.	Entertainment Law Review
EIII. L. K. EU	European Union
EU EuR	Europarecht
EuX	Europäische Zeitschrift für Wirtschaftsrecht
FCN treaty	Treaty of friendship, commerce and navigation
FDI	8
FDI Finnish YIL	foreign direct investment Finnish Yearbook of International Law
Fla J. Int'l L. Fletcher F. World Aff.	Florida Journal of International Law The Fletcher Forum of World Affairs
Fordham Int'l L. J. Fordham L. Rev.	Fordham International Law Journal Fordham Law Review
FTA	Free Trade Agreement Free Trade Commission
FTC	
Ga L. Rev.	Georgia Law Review
GATS	General Agreement on Trade in Services
GATT	General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade
GC	Grand Chamber
Geo. Int'l Envtl L. Rev.	Georgetown International Environmental
Car Maran I Dara	Law Review
Geo. Mason L. Rev.	George Mason Law Review
German L. J.	German Law Journal
GYIL	German Yearbook of International Law
Harv. Int'l L. J.	Harvard International Law Journal

### XXXVIII LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Harv. L. Rev.	Harvard Law Review
Hastings WN. W.	Hastings West-Northwest Journal of
J. Envtl L. & Pol'y	Environmental Law and Policy
ICC	International Chamber of Commerce
ICCA	International Council for Commercial
	Arbitration
ICJ	International Court of Justice
ICLQ	International and Comparative Law Quarterly
ICSID	International Centre for Settlement of
ICSID	Investment Disputes
ICSID Rev. – FILJ	ICSID Review – Foreign Investment Law
icsib kev. – Filj	Journal
IIA	international investment agreement
IILJ	Institute for International Law and Justice
IILJ IISD	International Institute for Sustainable
113D	
ILA	Development International Law Association
ILA	International Law Commission
ILC ILM	
ILM	International Legal Materials
120	International Labour Organization
ILSA J. Int'l & Comp. L.	ILSA Journal of International and
IMF	Comparative Law
	International Monetary Fund
Ind. J. Global Legal Stud.	Indiana Journal of Global Legal Studies
Int. ALR	International Arbitration Law Review
Int. J. of Cultural	International Journal of Cultural Property
Property	international journal of Cultural Property
Int'l Law.	The International Lawyer
Int'l L.Q.	International Law Quarterly
Int'l Tax & Bus. Law.	International Tax & Business Lawyer
Int TLR	International Trade Law & Regulation
Iowa L. Rev.	Iowa Law Review
ISO	International Organization for
150	Standardization
ITO	International Trade Organization
J. Int'l Econ. L.	Journal of International Economic Law
J. Transnat'l L. & Pol'y	Journal of Transnational Law & Policy
JWIT	Journal of World Investment & Trade
J * * 1 I	journal of world investment & fidue

ambridge University Press
78-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law
coland Kläger
rontmatter
Iore information

#### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS XXXIX

JWT	Journal of World Trade
JZ	Juristenzeitung
L. & Bus. Rev. Am.	Law and Business Review of the Americas
Law & Contemp.	Law and Contemporary Problems
Probs.	
Law & Pol'y Int'l Bus.	Law and Policy in International Business
Leiden J. Int'l L.	Leiden Journal of International Law
Maastricht J. Europ. &	Maastricht Journal of European and
Comp. L.	Comparative Law
MAI	Multilateral Agreement on Investment
Manchester J. Int'l	Manchester Journal of International
Econ. L.	Economic Law
Max Planck UNYB	Max Planck Yearbook of United Nations Law
MERCOSUR	Mercado Comun del Cono Sur (Southern Cone
	Common Market)
Mich. J. Int'l L.	Michigan Journal of International Law
Mich. L. Rev.	Michigan Law Review
MIGA	Multilateral Investment Guarantee Agency
Minn. J. Global Trade	Minnesota Journal of Global Trade
Minn. J. Int'l L.	Minnesota Journal of International Law
Minn. L. Rev.	Minnesota Law Review
mn.	Margin number
NAFTA	North American Free Trade Agreement
NAFTA FTC	NAFTA Free Trade Commission
N.C.L.Rev.	North Carolina Law Review
NILR	Netherlands International Law Review
NPM	non-precluded measures
Nw. J. Int'l L. & Bus.	Northwestern Journal of International Law and Business
NYU Envtl L. J.	New York University Environmental Law
U	Journal
NYU J. Int'l L. & Pol.	New York University Journal of International
0	Law and Politics
OECD	Organization for Economic Cooperation and
	Development
OSPAR	Oslo/Paris Convention (for the Protection of
	the Marine Environment of the North-East
	Atlantic)
Pace Envtl L. Rev.	Pace Environmental Law Review

#### xl LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Pac. McGeorge Bus. & Dev. L. J. PCA PCB RdC RGDIP RIW SCC SchiedsVZ SLA St John's J. Legal	Pacific McGeorge Global Business & Development Law Journal Permanent Court of Arbitration polychlorinated biphenyl Recueil des Cours Revue Générale de Droit International Public Recht der internationalen Wirtschaft Stockholm Chamber of Commerce Zeitschrift für Schiedsverfahren Softwood Lumber Agreement St John's Journal of Legal Commentary
Comment.	
Suffolk Transnat'l	Suffolk Transnational Law Review
L. Rev.	
TEU	Treaty on European Union
TDM	Transnational Dispute Management
Tex. Int'l L. J.	Texas International Law Journal
TFEU	Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union
Touro Int'l L. Rev. TRIMS	Touro International Law Review Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Investment Measures
TRIPS	Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights
U. C. Davis J. Int'l L. & Pol'y	U. C. Davis Journal of International Law and Policy
UCLA J. Int'l L. & Foreign Aff.	UCLA Journal of International Law and Foreign Affairs
U. Miami Inter-Am.	University of Miami Inter-American Law
L. Rev.	Review
U. Miami Int'l & Comp.	University of Miami International and
L. Rev.	Comparative Law Review
UN	United Nations
UNESCO	UN Educational, Scientific and Cultural
UNCITRAL UNCTAD UNESCO	Organization UN Commission on International Trade Law UN Conference on Trade and Development United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization

Cambridge University Press
978-0-521-19771-7 - 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' in International Investment Law
Roland Kläger
Frontmatter
More information

#### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS xli

UN RIAA UNTS U. Pa J. Int'l Econ. L.	UN Reports of International Arbitral Awards United Nations Treaty Series University of Pennsylvania Journal of International Economic Law
Urb. Law.	The Urban Lawyer
US	United States
USD	US dollar
US PPI	US Producer Price Index
Va J. Int'l L.	Virginia Journal of International Law
VAT	value-added tax
VCLT	Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties
Vol.	Volume
Wis. L. Rev.	Wisconsin Law Review
VwVfG	Verwaltungsverfahrensgesetz (German Code of Administrative Procedure)
WTO	World Trade Organization
Yale J. Int'l L.	Yale Journal of International Law
Yale L. J.	Yale Law Journal
ZaöRV	Zeitschrift für ausländisches öffentliches Recht und Völkerrecht