

---

13TH ANNUAL EU COMPETITION LAW  
AND POLICY WORKSHOP:  
ANTITRUST SETTLEMENTS  
UNDER EC COMPETITION LAW

Chairs

**John Fingleton**, Office of Fair Trading, London  
**Massimo Motta**, EUI, Florence and University of Bologna  
**Heike Schweitzer**, EUI, Florence

List of Participants

<b>Rafael Allendesalazar</b>	Howrey, Madrid
<b>Albrecht Bach</b>	Oppenländer Rechtsanwälte, Stuttgart and Mannheim University
<b>Stefano Bartolini</b>	Robert Schuman Centre, EUI, Florence
<b>Simon Bishop</b>	RBB Economics, London and Brussels
<b>Joachim Bornkamm</b>	Judge of the German Federal Court of Justice, Karlsruhe
<b>Onno Brouwer</b>	Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer, Amsterdam
<b>Jochen Burchter</b>	Hengeler Müller, Düsseldorf
<b>John Cooke</b>	Judge of the European Court of First Instance, Luxembourg
<b>Lorenzo Coppi</b>	CRA International, London
<b>Kris Dekeyser</b>	DG Competition, European Commission, Brussels
<b>Claus-Dieter Ehlermann</b>	WilmerHale, Brussels
<b>Ian Forrester</b>	White and Case, Brussels
<b>Shepard Goldfein</b>	Skadden Arps, New York
<b>Pieter Kalbfleisch</b>	Netherlands Competition Authority, The Hague
<b>William Kovacic</b>	U.S. Federal Trade Commission, Washington, D.C.
<b>Bruno Lasserre</b>	French Competition Authority, Paris
<b>Mel Marquis</b>	Robert Schuman Centre, EUI, Florence and University of Verona
<b>Lynda Martin Alegi</b>	Baker McKenzie, London and Rome
<b>Santiago Martínez Lage</b>	Howrey, Madrid

x *List of Participants*

<b>Kirtikumar Mehta</b>	DG Competition, European Commission, Brussels
<b>Eric Morgan de Rivery</b>	Jones Day, Paris and Brussels
<b>Grant Murray</b>	Baker McKenzie, London
<b>Ali Nikpay</b>	Office of Fair Trading, London
<b>Ann O'Brien</b>	Antitrust Division, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C.
<b>Jorge Padilla</b>	LECG, London, Brussels and Madrid
<b>Emil Paulis</b>	DG Competition, European Commission, Brussels
<b>John Ratliff</b>	WilmerHale, Brussels
<b>Andreas Reindl</b>	Fordham University School of Law, New York
<b>Daniel Rubinfeld</b>	University of California at Berkeley, School of Law
<b>Mario Siragusa</b>	Cleary Gottlieb Steen Hamilton, Rome and College of Europe, Bruges
<b>Vincent Smith</b>	Hausfeld LLP, London
<b>John Taladay</b>	Howry, Washington, D.C.
<b>Maria Luísa Tierno Centella</b>	DG Competition, European Commission, Brussels
<b>James Venit</b>	Skadden, Arps, Brussels
<b>Wouter Wils</b>	Legal Service, European Commission, Brussels and King's College London
<b>Stephen Wilks</b>	University of Exeter, Devon
<b>Diane Wood</b>	Judge of the US Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit, Chicago and University of Chicago School of Law
<b>Fabien Zivy</b>	French Competition Authority, Paris

## CONTENTS

<i>List of Sponsors</i>	v
<i>List of Participants</i>	ix
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xv
INTRODUCTION – CARTEL SETTLEMENTS AND COMMITMENT DECISIONS	xxix
<b>Mel Marquis</b>	
SESSION ONE: PRINCIPLES AND OBJECTIVES OF ANTITRUST SETTLEMENTS	1
PANEL I	1
BROAD OVERVIEW: LEGAL, ECONOMIC AND POLITICAL SCIENCE PERSPECTIVES	
Discussion	1
Written contributions	
I <b>Wouter Wils</b> , <i>The Use of Settlements in Public Antitrust Enforcement: Objectives and Principles</i>	27
II <b>Andreas Reindl</b> , <i>The Legal Framework Governing Negotiated Settlements/Plea Agreements in Cartel Cases in the United States</i>	47
III <b>Aurora Ascione and Massimo Motta</b> , <i>Settlements in Cartel Cases</i>	67
IV <b>Daniel Rubinfeld</b> , <i>Settlements in Antitrust Enforcement: A U.S. Economic Perspective</i>	85
V <b>Stephen Wilks</b> , <i>A Political Science Approach: Restorative Justice and the “Fairness Critique”</i>	93
PANEL II	111
A CLOSER LOOK FROM THE PERSPECTIVE OF ENFORCERS, DEFENDANTS, POTENTIAL PLAINTIFFS, THE COURTS AND THE LEGAL PROFESSION	
Discussion	113
Written contributions	
I <b>Bruno Lasserre and Fabien Zivy</b> , <i>A Principled Approach to Settlements: A Few Open Issues</i>	143
II <b>Ann O’Brien</b> , <i>Cartel Settlements in the U.S. and EU: Similarities, Differences and Remaining Questions</i>	171
III <b>Mario Siragusa and Erika Guerri</b> , <i>Antitrust Settlements under EC Competition Law: The Point of View of the Defendants</i>	185
IV <b>Shepard Goldfein and Thomas Pak</b> , <i>Negotiated Antitrust Settlements: Some Perspectives from U.S. Defendants</i>	205

xii Contents

V	<b>Michael Hausfeld, Brian Ratner and Scott Campbell,</b> <i>Principles and Objectives of Formal and Informal Settlements in EU Competition Cases: The Claimant's Perspective</i>	237
VI	<b>Albrecht Bach,</b> <i>Negotiated Antitrust Settlements: Some Perspectives from the Point of View of (Potential) Plaintiffs</i>	251
VII	<b>John Cooke,</b> <i>Negotiated Settlements under EC Competition Law: A Judicial Perspective</i>	261
VIII	<b>Diane Wood,</b> <i>Antitrust Settlements in the United States</i>	277
IX	<b>John Ratliff,</b> <i>Negotiated Settlements in EC Competition Law: The Perspective of the Legal Profession</i>	305
X	<b>John Taladay,</b> <i>Implications of International Cartel Settlements for Private Rights of Action</i>	317
	<b>SESSION TWO: SETTLEMENTS IN CARTEL CASES. PRACTICAL EXPERIENCES IN MAJOR JURISDICTIONS</b>	329
	<b>PANEL III</b>	331
	<b>THE UNITED STATES, CANADA, AND THE EUROPEAN UNION</b>	
	<b>Discussion</b>	331
	<b>Written contributions</b>	
	I <b>William Kovacic,</b> <i>Plea Bargaining and the Enforcement of Competition Law Against Cartels in the United States</i>	349
	II <b>Calvin Goldman, Rob Kwinter, Navin Joneja and Evangelia Litsa Kriaris,</b> <i>Cartel Settlements in Criminal and Civil Proceedings: A Canadian Perspective</i>	359
	III <b>Kirtikumar Mehta and María Luisa Tierno Centella,</b> <i>EU Settlement Procedure: Public Enforcement Perspective</i>	391
	<b>PANEL IV</b>	423
	<b>FRANCE, GERMANY, THE NETHERLANDS AND THE UNITED KINGDOM</b>	
	<b>Discussion</b>	425
	<b>Written contributions</b>	
	I <b>Bruno Lasserre and Fabien Zivy,</b> <i>A Principled Approach to Settlements: a few open issues<sup>1</sup></i>	143
	II <b>Eric Morgan de Rivery,</b> <i>The French "Non-Contest Procedure": A Practitioner's Point of View</i>	453
	III <b>Jochen Burrichter,</b> <i>Settlements in Cartel Cases: Practical Experience in Germany</i>	471
	IV <b>Pieter Kalbfleisch,</b> <i>The Dutch Experience with Plea Bargaining/Direct Settlements</i>	481

<sup>1</sup> Paper also relevant to Panel II, above.

V	<b>Onno Brouwer</b> , <i>Antitrust Settlements in the Netherlands: A Useful Source of Inspiration?</i>	489
VI	<b>Ali Nikpay and Deirdre Waters</b> , <i>The Emerging Settlements Regime in the UK: The Use of “Settlements” in Competition Act Cases</i>	499
VII	<b>Lynda Martin Alegi and Grant Murray</b> , <i>Settling an Appropriate Policy: Reflections on OFT Work in Progress</i>	511
SESSION THREE: COMMITMENT DECISIONS		523
PANEL V:		525
SETTLEMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 9 OF REGULATION 1/2003		
Discussion		525
Written contributions		
I	<b>Heike Schweitzer</b> , <i>Commitment Decisions under Article 9 of Regulation 1/2003: The Developing EC Practice and Case Law</i>	547
II	<b>Santiago Martínez Lage and Rafael Allendesalazar</b> , <i>Commitment Decisions ex Regulation 1/2003: Procedure and Effects</i>	581
SESSION FOUR: LESSONS TO BE DRAWN		603
PANEL VI		605
PART ONE: POLICY CONSEQUENCES FOR PUBLIC ENFORCEMENT		605
Discussion		
PART TWO: POLICY CONSEQUENCES FOR PRIVATE ENFORCEMENT		616
Discussion		
PART THREE: OVERALL CONCLUSIONS		631
Written contributions		
I	<b>Kirtikumar Mehta and María Luisa Tierno Centella</b> , <i>EU Settlement Procedure: Public Enforcement Perspective</i> <sup>2</sup>	391
II	<b>Ian Forrester</b> , <i>Creating New Rules? Or Closing Easy Cases? Policy Consequences for Public Enforcement of Settlements under Article 9 of Regulation 1/2003</i>	637
III	<b>Kirsten Edwards and Jorge Padilla</b> , <i>Antitrust Settlements in the EU: Private Incentives and Enforcement Policy</i>	661
IV	<b>Kris Dekeyser, Rainer Becker and Daniele Calisti</b> , <i>Impact of Public Enforcement on Antitrust Damages Actions: Some Likely Effects of Settlements and Commitments on Private Actions for Damages</i>	677

<sup>2</sup> Paper also relevant to Panel III, above.

xiv *Contents*

V	<b>Lorenzo Coppi and Robert Levinson, <i>The Interaction between Settlements and Private Litigation—An Economic Perspective</i></b>	687
	REFERENCES	707