Investment Protection in the European Union

Considering EU law in investment arbitrations arising from intra-EU and extra-EU bilateral investment agreements

Bearbeitet von Von Nico Basener

Auflage 2017. Buch. 548 S. Softcover
 ISBN 978 3 8487 4347 6
 Gewicht: 805 g

Recht > Handelsrecht, Wirtschaftsrecht > Allgemeines, Gesamtdarstellungen Wirtschaftsrecht

schnell und portofrei erhältlich bei



Die Online-Fachbuchhandlung beck-shop.de ist spezialisiert auf Fachbücher, insbesondere Recht, Steuern und Wirtschaft. Im Sortiment finden Sie alle Medien (Bücher, Zeitschriften, CDs, eBooks, etc.) aller Verlage. Ergänzt wird das Programm durch Services wie Neuerscheinungsdienst oder Zusammenstellungen von Büchern zu Sonderpreisen. Der Shop führt mehr als 8 Millionen Produkte.

Nico Basener

Investment Protection in the European Union

Considering EU law in investment arbitrations arising from intra-EU and extra-EU bilateral investment agreements



international investment law centre cologne



Nomos







Studien zum Internationalen Investitionsrecht herausgegeben von

Prof. Dr. Marc Bungenberg, LL.M., Universität des Saarlandes

Prof. Dr. Stephan Hobe, LL.M., Universität zu Köln

Prof. Dr. August Reinisch, LL.M., Universität Wien

Prof. Dr. Andreas R. Ziegler, LL.M., Universität Lausanne

In Kooperation mit dem
International Investment Law Centre Cologne (IILCC)

Prof. Dr. Stephan Hobe, LL.M.

Prof. Dr. Bernhard Kempen

Prof. Dr. Heinz-Peter Mansel

Prof. Dr. Burkhard Schöbener

Band 26

zugleich Band 14 der Schriftenreihe des

International Investment Law Centre Cologne (IILCC)

Nico Basener		
Investment Pi the European		in
Considering EU law in invinitra-EU and extra-EU bi		_
Nomos	facultas	DIKE

Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek verzeichnet diese Publikation in der Deutschen Nationalbibliografie; detaillierte bibliografische Daten sind im Internet über http://dnb.d-nb.de abrufbar.

Zugl.: Köln, Univ., Diss., 2017

ISBN 978-3-8487-4347-6 (Nomos Verlag, Baden-Baden, Print) ISBN 978-3-8452-8571-9 (Nomos Verlag, Baden-Baden, ePDF)

ISBN 978-3-7089-1001-7 (facultas Verlag, Wien)
ISBN 978-3-03751-965-3 (Dike Verlag, Zürich/St.Gallen)

1. Auflage 2017

© Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft, Baden-Baden 2017. Gedruckt in Deutschland. Alle Rechte, auch die des Nachdrucks von Auszügen, der fotomechanischen Wiedergabe und der Übersetzung, vorbehalten. Gedruckt auf alterungsbeständigem Papier.

Abbreviations	21
Introduction	23
Part I: Investment protection in the European Union: the rise of potentially conflicting legal frameworks in the European Union	33
Chapter 1: The necessity of investment protection Chapter 2: The ascent of investment protection through IIA / BITs A. The historical development of investment protection mechanisms I. From the Middle Ages until the Colonial Era: the use of force and other individual measures	33 37 37
II. The Post-Colonial Era: the gradual rise of public international law as protection mechanism 1. Customary international law: minimum standard of	39
treatment 2. Conventional obligations: Treaties of Friendship, Commerce and Navigation	40
III. Remaining inefficiencies of the protection mechanisms leading to more specific instruments 1. Procedural enforcement: dependence on either the home	44
state or the host state 2. Unspecific provisions and a general trend to the conclusion	44
of more specific treaties B. International Investment Agreements: investment protection through bilateral or multilateral international treaties	47
I. Conventional protection of investments through IIAsII. Higher degree of clarity as to the protection standardsIII. Direct enforcement by investors	49 50 51
IV. Enlargement of the scope of rights through the terms investment and investor C. State-contracts	54 57
Chapter 3: The reception of modern investment protection through IIAs / BITs in the EU: doubling the protection?	58
A. Rise of the EU and the internal market: Investment protection through EU law	58

B. Rise of IIAs concluded by EU member states: EU-Investment	
protection through IIAs	63
I. Extra-EU IIAs	66
II. Intra-EU IIAs	67
III. Mixed agreements including the EU as contracting party	68
Chapter 4: The separate legal frameworks of investment protection in	
the EU	70
A. The IIA framework: investment-protection through IIAs	70
I. Guiding principles of investment protection through IIAs	70
II. Substantive protection: overview and scope of protection	
standards in IIAs	71
1. Fair and equitable treatment	72
2. Protection against Expropriation	77
3. Full protection and security	80
4. Non-discrimination clauses	83
(1) National treatment	83
(2) Most favoured nation treatment	86
(3) Other non-discrimination clauses	87
5. Umbrella clauses	89
6. Free transfer of funds	90
7. Admission clauses and right to establish	92
III. Legal remedies: the dispute settlement mechanism	
in IIAs	93
1. Investor-state arbitration governed by the ICSID-	
Convention	95
(1) Jurisdiction of the Centre	95
(2) Procedural rules and applicable law	97
(3) Recourse and enforcement	99
2. Investor-state arbitration in private forums:	
ICC / SCC, etc.	101
(1) Jurisdiction of the arbitral tribunal	101
(2) Applicable law and procedural rules	102
(3) Recourse and enforcement of arbitral awards issued in	
private forums	104
3. Other remedies such as inter-state and local remedies	107
B. The EU-Law framework: "investment"-protection in EU law	108
I. Guiding principles of EU law	109
II. Substantive protection and its limits under EU law	110
1. Investment protection through the fundamental freedoms	110
(1) Freedom of establishment	111
(2) Free movement of capital	118
(3) Free transfer of funds	122

(4) Free movement of goods and freedom to provide	
services	122
2. Charter of Fundamental Rights of the EU	123
(1) Scope of application of the Charter	
(Art. 51 of the Charter)	124
(2) Freedom to conduct business (Art. 16 of the	
Charter)	127
(3) Right to property (Art. 17 of the Charter)	129
3. Other principles, including the general principles of	
EU law and principles of access to justice and due	
process / relief	136
III. Dual provisions offering protection but also enabling	
interventionist measures	139
1. Competition law: Art. 101, 102 TFEU	140
2. State aid provisions: Art. 107 ff TFEU	140
3. Secondary legislation	141
IV. Legal remedies: judicial review as conceived under	
EU law	142
1. Proceedings before national courts and preliminary ruling	
procedure (Art. 267 TFEU)	142
2. Immediate proceedings before the CJEU: legal	
actions enumerated in the European Treaties	145
(1) Action for annulment (Art. 263, 264 TFEU)	145
(2) Infringement proceedings as inter-state remedies for	
violation of EU law (Art. 258 ff TFEU)	147
3. Action for damages	148
(1) Extra-contractual action for damages against the EU	149
(2) Extra-contractual action for damages against the	
member states	150
Chapter 5: Potential conflicts of EU law and international investment	
law	151
A. Preliminary remarks as to the notion of conflict regarding different	
legal frameworks	153
B. Potential conflicts between EU law and IIAs	156
I. Conflicting provisions between EU law and IIAs regarding	
substantive guarantees	156
1. State aid rules (Art. 107 ff TFEU)	158
2. European public procurement and competition law	161
3. Fundamental freedoms and their limitations in general	165
4. Non-discrimination (Art. 18 TFEU or the fundamental	
freedoms)	168
5. Free movement of capital	169

6. Changing legal framework	170
II. Conflicting provisions between EU law and IIAs regarding	
jurisdiction	170
 Conflict between arbitration clauses and Art. 344 TFEU Conflict between arbitration clauses and the dispatch of 	171
competencies in the EU	172
3. Conflict between arbitration clauses and the principle of	1.72
non-discrimination (Art. 18 TFEU)	173
C. Potential implications of the conflict	175
I. Arbitration proceedings	176
II. 'Setting-aside' or 'vacatur' proceedings and annulment	
proceedings	176
1. Setting-aside an award rendered in private forums (ICC, SCC, etc.)	177
(1) Invalidity of the arbitration clause (Art. 34 (2)	1//
lit. a UNCITRAL Model Law	
(Lack of jurisdiction))	177
(2) Award contrary to public policy	179
2. ICSID-Annulment proceedings	181
III. Enforcement proceedings	183
1. Enforcement in private forums (ICC, SCC, etc.)	183
2. Enforcement of ICSID-Awards	184
IV. The impact of further potential EU proceedings on the	107
enforcement of an award	186
V. Conclusion on potential conflicts in the arbitration	100
proceedings	187
D. Handling EU law in international investment arbitration	10/
	107
proceedings: different scenarios but similar interests	187
I. Distinguishing different types of situations raising the	107
conflict: intra-EU vs. extra-EU IIAs	187
II. Enjeux en question – Guiding principles which should	100
be respected by any solution to this conflict of laws	189
Part II: Conflicts between EU Law and IIAs in the intra-EU setting	191
Tart II. Commets between Do Law and III is in the more Do setting	171
Chapter 6: Intra-EU IIAs in arbitration proceedings: how to address	
the interaction of EU law and intra-EU IIAs	193
A. Structure of the analysis	198
B. The perspective of an arbitral tribunal in an intra-EU investment	
dispute	200

Chapter 7: EU law as international law applicable to the dispute	204
A. The relevance of any other rule of law than the IIA in the	
proceedings	204
B. The qualification of EU law as international law	206
I. EU law is sourced in international law	213
1. Transferal of competencies and rights to legislate	214
2. Irrelevance of principles set forth in the European Treaties	
for its qualification	218
II. EU law primarily creates rights and obligations towards	
member states and as an auxiliary individual rights	222
III. EU law regulates rights between subjects of	
international law	223
Chapter 8: The relevant rule of conflict of laws regarding EU law	
and IIAs	225
A. Preliminary remarks how to resolve conflicts between	
several rules of laws	226
I. Absence of explicit provisions dealing with the	
conflict in IIAs and the European Treaties	228
II. Absence of conflict rule leading to the termination	
of an entire treaty	230
B. Art. 59 of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties	231
I. Same subject matter in terms of Art. 59 VCLT	232
1. The notion of sameness in Art. 59 VLCT as interpreted by	
the arbitral tribunals dealing with intra-EU disputes	233
2. The misuse of the notion of "same subject matter" to	
eliminate conflicts ab initio	235
II. Tacit intention to terminate the IIA subsequent to a member	200
state's accession to the European Union (Art. 59 (1) lit. a	
VCLT)	240
III. Incompatibility of EU law and IIAs (Art. 59 (1) lit. b VCLT)	
IV. Conclusions on the effect of Art. 59 VCLT on	213
intra-EU IIAs	245
	213
C. Conflict rules of the TFEU (Art. 350, 351 TFEU and the principle	245
of primacy)	245
I. Ordinary meaning and scope of Art. 351 TFEU	246
II. Ordinary meaning and scope of Art. 350 TFEU	247
III. Art. 350, 351 TFEU as opposition to the (inherent) general	
principle of primacy	247
D. Applicable conflict rule under the principles of the Vienna	
Convention on the Law of Treaties	252
E. Conclusion and summary concerning the rule of conflict in the	
intra-EU hypothesis	254
=\f\ \cdot\	

I. First sub-hypothesis: IIA concluded before accession	254
II. Second sub-hypothesis: IIA concluded after accession	255
III. Necessity of a conflict and the legal consequences	255
Chapter 9: Jurisdiction of the arbitral tribunal: Incompatibility of	
the intra-EU IIA's arbitration clause	256
A. Incompatibility of arbitration clauses with the specific	
characteristics and the autonomy of EU law (the exclusive	
jurisdiction of the CJEU)	257
I. Why the arbitral tribunal should consider the interpretat	ion of
the CJEU in its arbitration proceedings	257
II. The erroneous view on the criteria to be observed by a	
dispute settlement mechanism within the European Unio	on 259
1. The irrelevance of the EU's competencies to regulate	
arbitration for the question of compatibility	263
2. The scope of application of Art. 344 TFEU	264
3. The existence of a duty to respect the fundamental	
elements of the European Union's legal order and jud	licial
system	268
4. The criteria to determine the incompatibility with the	
general principles of the European Union's legal and	
judicial order	272
III. Violation of the principles deducted by the CJEU by arb	itral
tribunals in investment proceedings	280
1. Quantity of cases and quality of provisions concerned	
(criteria n° 1 and n° 2)	280
2. Interpretative monopoly – (<i>de facto</i>) binding interpret	
(criterion n° 3)	283
3. Circumvention of preliminary ruling procedure – disr	
the interaction of the courts (criterion n° 4)	286
4. Further safeguards to ensure the uniform application	
of EU law (criterion n° 5)	290
5. Contravention of the principle of mutual trust	
(criterion n° 6)	292
6. Overall assessment of the criteria n° 1 to n° 6	295
IV. Interim conclusions on the arbitration clause's	_
incompatibility with the autonomy of the EU's legal ord	
and its specific characteristics	295
V. Excursus for mixed agreements	296
B. Incompatibility of the arbitration clause with EU law due	
to the violation of competencies exclusively attributed to	
the EU?	297

I. The type of provisions to be affected to trigger the application	n
of the ERTA-jurisprudence	301
II. Scope of comparison under the ERTA-Doctrine /	
Pringle-Case	303
III. Interim conclusion on the arbitration clause's incompatibility	V
due to violation of the EU's exclusive competences	305
C. Incompatibility of the arbitration clause with the principle	
of non-discrimination	305
I. Violation of the principle of non-discrimination by	
the arbitration clause	307
1. Inbound perspective (host state discriminating	
non-IIA protected investors)	307
(1) Difference in treatment between investors from	507
different nationalities	308
(2) Comparable circumstances between such investors	309
(3) Justification for the differential treatment in	307
comparable circumstances	316
2. Outbound perspective (home state favoring its	310
national investors towards non-national investors)	316
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	310
II. Legal consequences of the violation of the principle of non- discrimination	319
D. Interim conclusions on conflicts between EU law and arbitration	319
clauses contained in IIAs	321
Chapter 10: Primacy of EU law on the merits	322
A. Inconsistency with substantive guarantees provided in the IIA	322
B. How to implement EU law's primacy on the merits	323
Chapter 11: Challenges to the results due to the particular interests	
and rights in question	326
A. Impact of sunset clauses on the results	326
B. Conformity of the solution with the interests in question	332
DAME COLLA TA TELL 'A FILA'	225
Part III: Conflicts between IIAs and EU Law in the extra-EU setting	335
Chantan 12. The assessed status of auton ELLIIA a sendan multi-	
Chapter 12: The current status of extra-EU IIAs under public international law and EU law	220
	338
A. External perspective: continuous validity of extra-EU IIAs under	220
public international law	338
I. Principle of pacta sunt servanda	339
II. No fundamental change of circumstances upon	2.40
accession to the EU (Art. 62 VCLT)	340
III. Consequences for obligations arising from IIAs	
under public international law	342

B. Unionist perspective: primacy of EU law despite	
	343
I. The effects of Art. 351 TFEU: grandfathering of conflicting	
	344
II. Limits to Art. 351 (1) TFEU and its consequences	
	346
	347
2. Non-application of Art. 351 (1) TFEU to substantial	
	352
(1) Arguments against the application of	
	353
(2) Limitations to the grandfathering effect of	
	354
III. Summary regarding the unionist perspective and the status of	
	356
C. Excursus for mixed agreements and future comprehensive trade	
	357
Chapter 13: Current perception of EU law in extra-EU arbitration	
	358
A. The dual nature of EU law in extra-EU arbitration proceedings:	
	359
B. Potential interferences of EU law with extra-EU investment	
	361
I. Interferences of EU law with extra-EU investment	
	362
1. The legality requirement: investments made in accordance	
	363
(1) The prerequisite of the investment's legality under the	;
	363
(2) Consequences for interferences of investments with	
	369
2. Interpretation of the Fair and Equitable Treatment	
	372
II. Interferences of EU law with extra-EU investment	
proceedings through domestic law being applicable law to the	•
	376
1. EU law being the applicable law to the jurisdictional stage	
	376
	379
<u> </u>	382
I. Setting-aside or enforcement proceedings	383
II. Potential decisions by the European Commission	384
J 1	

D. Interim conclusion on the disruptive potential of ignoring	
EU law in extra-EU investment proceedings	387
Chapter 14: Cooperative approach: transposing Solange and	
Bosphorus to extra-EU investment proceedings	388
A. The reception of "similar conflicts" by other courts: Solange and	
Bosphorus	389
I. German Constitutional Court: Solange II	389
II. ECtHR: Bosphorus vs. Ireland	390
B. Transposing Solange and Bosphorus to extra-EU IIA investment	
arbitration	395
I. EU law as a mean of interpretation for obligations	
	396
1. EU law as domestic law being applicable law to	
the investment dispute	397
2. Considering EU law by virtue of Art. 31 (3)	
lit. c VCLT	397
	398
(2) Transposing the underlying idea of the Bosphorus-	
11	405
II. Interim results on the possibility to transpose the Bosphorus	
11	406
Chapter 15: Conditions to reduce the margin of control according to	407
1 11	407
*	408
2 \	408
1. Equivalence of measures being qualified as expropriations	
1	417
	418
() 1	418 419
	419
3. Equivalence of protected assets and holders of these rights	
(1) Protected assets and difficulties arising thereof	422
(2) Implications of the chain of control: indirectly owned	722
	427
	428
Equivalence of prohibition of national treatment	120
	429
(1) Protected assets: "investment" and "free movement of	-
	430
(2) Exceptions and justifications to guarantees	
under the IIA and under EU law	432

(3) Scope of application of the free movement of capital:	
erga omnes protection?	440
2. Compensation of protection through application of Art. 20	0
of the Charter	443
III. Fair and equitable treatment	445
1. Administrative and judicial due process (element no°1)	447
(1) Due process in judicial proceedings	447
(2) Due process in administrative proceedings	450
2. Prohibition of arbitrary and discriminatory measures and	
measures causing intentional harm	
(element no°2)	452
3. Obligation to provide legality, stability and transparency	
in exercising legislative or regulatory powers (element	
no°3)	453
4. Honouring of legitimate expectations and stability	
of legislation (element no°4)	457
5. Principle of proportionality (element no°5)	462
6. Overall comparative assessment regarding the FET	
standard	462
IV. Further principles: Prohibition of arbitrary measures	
and umbrella clauses	463
1. Protection against arbitrary measures	463
2. Umbrella clauses	463
V. Additional protection for investors established within	
the EU and operating in other EU member states	465
VI. Overall assessment of substantive protection under EU law	
and IIAs	465
B. Equivalence of procedural guarantees under EU law	467
I. How to address the equivalence of procedural protection	468
II. The implementation of procedural investment	
protection by mixed arbitration	469
III. Equivalence of procedural investment protection under EU	
law compared to IIAs	470
1. Procedural protection through a non-national court system	471
(1) Direct actions before the CJEU	471
(2) Supplementary function of national courts under EU	
law	478
(3) The assisting function of secondary relief:	465
compensation for violation of EU law	482
2. Specific performance instead of deterrence: how to ensure	
observance of substantive guarantees	484

3. Complementary means offered by EU law to enforce	
compliance with substantive guarantees	485
IV. Overall assessment of the equivalence of procedural	
protection under IIAs and EU law	487
Chapter 16: Implementing the Bosphorus-Approach in extra-EU	
investment arbitration proceedings	488
A. The presumption of lawfulness: how to determine if an act	
is 'mandatory' under EU law	488
I. Mandatory actions by the host states under EU law	489
II. The burden of proof relating to an act being "mandatory"	490
B. Rebutting the presumption: when to consider the protection	
to be manifestly deficient	491
I. Consequence of prior proceedings	491
II. Downgrade in the level of protection	492
III. Considerable lack of protection in the particular case	
depriving the investor of any protection	492
IV. Denial of justice: forcing the EU member states to	
grant sufficient procedural protection	493
C. The required dialogue of the judges	495
D. Conformity of the solution with the interests in question	496
Part IV: Outlook regarding the EU's future investment policy	499
Conclusion	503
Conclusion	503
Literature	513