

Summary Contents

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	v
<i>Contents</i>	ix
<i>List of Contributors</i>	xvii
<i>Introduction</i>	xix
1 Opening Remarks—Evolution of Mixity since the Leiden 1982 Conference <i>Christiaan Timmermans</i>	1
PART I Typology of Mixed Agreements	9
2 A Typology of Mixed Bilateral Agreements <i>Marc Maresceau</i>	11
3 Cross-pillar Mixity: Combining Competences in the Conclusion of EU International Agreements <i>Ramses A Wessel</i>	30
PART II The Constitutional Framework	55
4 Federalism and Foreign Affairs: Mixity as a (Inter)national Phenomenon <i>Robert Schütze</i>	57
5 Mixity and Coherence in EU External Relations: the Significance of the ‘Duty of Cooperation’ <i>Christophe Hillion</i>	87
6 Interpretation of Mixed Agreements <i>Panos Koutrakos</i>	116
7 Adoption of Positions under Mixed Agreements (Implementation) <i>Joni Heliskoski</i>	138
8 Disconnection Clauses in EU Law and Practice <i>Marise Cremona</i>	160
9 Beware of the Trojan Horse: Dispute Settlement in (Mixed) Agreements and the Autonomy of the EU Legal Order <i>Inge Govaere</i>	187
10 International Responsibility for EU Mixed Agreements <i>Pieter Jan Kuijper</i>	208

Summary Contents

PART III Practice and Actors of Mixed Agreements	229
11 Mixture in Practice: Some Problems and Their (Real or Possible) Solution <i>Jenő Czuczai</i>	231
12 Curse or Blessing? Mixed Agreements in the Recent Practice of the European Union and its Member States <i>Frank Hoffmeister</i>	249
13 Mixed Agreements from the Perspective of the European Parliament <i>Ricardo Passos</i>	269
14 Mixture in Practice—A View from The Netherlands <i>Ivo van der Steen</i>	295
15 Mixture in Practice—A Member State Practitioner’s Perspective <i>Ivan Smyth</i>	304
16 Mixture Seen from Outside the EU but Inside the Internal Market <i>Henrik Bull</i>	320
17 Mixture from the Outside: the Perspective of a Treaty Partner <i>Peter Olson</i>	331
PART IV The Future of Mixed Agreements	349
18 Mixture in the Era of the Treaty of Lisbon <i>Alan Dashwood</i>	351
19 The Future of Mixture <i>Allan Rosas</i>	367
<i>Index</i>	375

Contents

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	v
<i>Summary Contents</i>	vii
<i>List of Contributors</i>	xvii
<i>Introduction</i>	xix
1 Opening Remarks—Evolution of Mixity since the Leiden 1982 Conference	1
<i>Christiaan Timmermans</i>	
I. Introduction	1
II. What has Happened in the Meantime?	2
III. Conclusion	7
IV. The Future	7
PART I TYPOLOGY OF MIXED AGREEMENTS	9
2 A Typology of Mixed Bilateral Agreements	11
<i>Marc Maresceau</i>	
I. Introduction	11
II. Why Bilateral Mixity?	14
III. Different Categories of Mixed Bilateral Agreements	16
A. Association agreements	17
B. Cooperation agreements of a general nature without association	20
C. Mixed trade and cooperation agreements with political dialogue	24
D. Mixed sectoral agreements without political dialogue	24
IV. Concluding Remarks	27
3 Cross-pillar Mixity: Combining Competences in the Conclusion of EU International Agreements	30
<i>Ramses A Wessel</i>	
I. Introduction: the Conclusion of EU International Agreements	30
II. Forms of Mixity in the Union	32
A. Was there a need for mixity in the non-Community parts of the EU?	32
B. Cross-pillar second/third pillar combinations	34
C. Cross-pillar EU/EC combinations	38
D. EU/Member State combinations	40
E. EU/EC/Member State combinations	44

Contents

III.	Restraints on Member States' External Competences and the Jurisdiction of the European Court of Justice	46
A.	Cross-pillar agreements: the effects on Member States	46
B.	The jurisdiction of the Court of Justice	47
IV.	EU Mixity after Lisbon	49
V.	Conclusion	53
PART II	THE CONSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK	55
4	Federalism and Foreign Affairs: Mixity as a (Inter)national Phenomenon	57
	<i>Robert Schütze</i>	
I.	Introduction: The Federal Principle and Foreign Affairs	57
II.	Federal States and Foreign Affairs: Comparative Constitutional Perspectives	58
A.	The 'Closed' Federation: The United States of America	59
B.	The 'Open' Federation: The German Federal State in Constitutional History	65
C.	Conclusion: Federal States, Foreign Affairs and (the absence of) Mixed Agreements	70
III.	The European Union and Foreign Affairs: Pure and Mixed Agreements	72
A.	The Scope of the European Union's Treaty Powers: The Doctrine of Parallelism	74
B.	The Scope of the Member States' Treaty Powers: Constitutional and Legislative Pre-emption	77
C.	Mixed Agreements and Foreign Affairs: Europe's Federal Constitutional Convention	79
IV.	Conclusion: Mixed Agreements as a (Inter)national Phenomenon	84
5	Mixity and Coherence in EU External Relations: the Significance of the 'Duty of Cooperation'	87
	<i>Christophe Hillion</i>	
I.	Introduction	87
II.	The Constitutional Foundation of the Duty of Cooperation	88
III.	The Constraining Effects of the Duty of Cooperation	92
A.	A duty involving legal obligations	93
B.	Unconditional effects	94
C.	A duty involving specific procedural obligations	97
IV.	The Differentiated Application of the Duty of Cooperation	102
A.	The duty of cooperation and interlinked exercise of Member States and Union competences	103

Contents

B.	The application of the duty of cooperation where Member States and Union exercise their respective competences independently	106
V.	Conclusion	114
6	Interpretation of Mixed Agreements	116
	<i>Panos Koutrakos</i>	
I.	Introduction	116
II.	The Origin	117
III.	The Principle	118
IV.	Jurisdiction to Interpret Mixed Agreements in the Context of Enforcement Proceedings	123
V.	The More Recent Twist	129
VI.	Conclusion: Which Union Interest?	135
7	Adoption of Positions under Mixed Agreements (Implementation)	138
	<i>Joni Heliskoski</i>	
I.	Introduction	138
II.	The General Legal Framework	140
III.	Acts and Instruments Governing the Adoption of Positions	142
IV.	Circumstances in Which a Union Position <i>Must</i> be Adopted	146
A.	Matters within the Union's exclusive competence	146
B.	Matters within the Union's non-exclusive competence	149
V.	Union Law Obligations Governing the Exercise of Member State Competence	154
VI.	Conclusions	158
8	Disconnection Clauses in EU Law and Practice	160
	<i>Marise Cremona</i>	
I.	Introduction	160
II.	What is a Disconnection Clause and When is it Used?	162
A.	'Without prejudice' and 'non-affect' clauses	164
B.	The 'standard' disconnection clause	168
III.	The Legal Effect of the Disconnection Clause and the Autonomy of the Union Legal Order	171
IV.	Disconnection Clauses and Mixed Agreements	179
V.	Disconnection Clauses and Exclusivity	181
VI.	Conclusion	185
9	Beware of the Trojan Horse: Dispute Settlement in (Mixed) Agreements and the Autonomy of the EU Legal Order	187
	<i>Inge Govaere</i>	
I.	Introduction to the Foundations of the Autonomy of the EU Legal Order	187
II.	Interconnecting with Public International Law Whilst Guarding Against the Trojan Horse	190

Contents

III.	International Dispute Settlement Mechanisms for Settling Disputes with Third Countries	192
A.	Allocation of powers between the EU and its Member States	193
B.	Essential character of powers of EU institutions	194
C.	Binding interpretation of rules of Union law referred to in the agreement	196
IV.	Dispute Settlement Under (Mixed) Agreements Between Member States and/or EU Institutions	199
A.	Potential importance of mixity for Member States	199
B.	(Mixed) agreements concluded by the EU: the <i>Haegeman</i> track	200
C.	Implications for agreements concluded solely by Member States: the <i>Open Skies</i> track?	202
D.	Coincidence with international law: plea for a judicial disconnection clause	203
V.	Duty of Close Cooperation and Dispute Settlement: Member States and Third Countries	205
VI.	Conclusion	207
10	International Responsibility for EU Mixed Agreements	208
	<i>Pieter Jan Kuijper</i>	
I.	Introduction	208
II.	The Case Law	209
A.	The European Court of Justice	209
B.	The European Court of Human Rights	210
C.	WTO Panels and the Appellate Body	213
D.	<i>Excursus</i> : the European Court of Human Rights and UN peace-keeping	215
III.	The Rules on Responsibility and Treaty Law	217
A.	The ILC Draft Articles on the Responsibility of International Organisations and the EU	217
B.	Some practice with respect to responsibility for EU mixed agreements	224
C.	What does all of this tell us about responsibility in the case of EU mixed agreements?	226
	PART III PRACTICE AND ACTORS OF MIXED AGREEMENTS	229
11	Mixity in Practice: Some Problems and Their (Real or Possible) Solution	231
	<i>Jenő Czuczai</i>	
I.	Introduction	231
II.	Treaty-making Process	231
A.	General legal framework for managing mixity	231

Contents

B.	The negotiation phase	234
C.	The conclusion phase	238
III.	Suspension of the Application and Implementation of a Mixed Agreement	245
IV.	Conclusions	247
12	Curse or Blessing? Mixed Agreements in the Recent Practice of the European Union and its Member States	249
	<i>Frank Hoffmeister</i>	
I.	Introduction	249
II.	The Evolution of Mixity	250
A.	The legal basis for ‘new mixity’	250
B.	Inter-pillar practice since 2000	251
III.	Recent Practice on Agreements Concluded by the Union and its Member States	253
A.	Treaty making	253
B.	Application	257
C.	Enforcement	262
IV.	Conclusion	267
13	Mixed Agreements from the Perspective of the European Parliament	269
	<i>Ricardo Passos</i>	
I.	Introduction	269
II.	The State of Play under the Treaty of Nice: an Insufficient Involvement by the Parliament During Negotiations but a Trend to Set Preconditions	272
A.	No specific provision in the Treaties: Article 300 EC as the general rule	272
B.	The Framework Agreement on Relations between the European Parliament and the Commission	273
C.	Recent trend to set preconditions when the assent procedure is required	277
D.	The Parliament may show its concern at the assent procedure	280
III.	New Perspectives with the Lisbon Treaty	281
A.	The Commission should remain, wherever possible, the negotiator of mixed agreements	282
B.	The enhanced role of the European Parliament	284
C.	Inter-parliamentary cooperation between the European Parliament and the national parliaments	290
IV.	Conclusion	292

Contents

14	Mixity in Practice—the View from The Netherlands	295
	<i>Ivo van der Steen</i>	
I.	Introduction	295
II.	Discussions at EU Level	296
III.	Internal Problems	299
IV.	Practical Solutions	301
V.	National Approval of Agreements	301
VI.	Conclusion	302
15	Mixity in Practice—A Member State Practitioner’s Perspective	304
	<i>Ivan Smyth</i>	
I.	Introduction	304
II.	Negotiating Mandates	304
III.	The Conduct of the Negotiations and the Establishment of Agreed Positions When Negotiating Mixed Agreements	309
IV.	Signature of Mixed Agreements and Provisional Application	313
V.	Conclusion of Agreements and Declarations of Competence	315
VI.	Conclusion	318
16	Mixity Seen from Outside the EC but Inside the Internal Market	320
	<i>Henrik Bull</i>	
I.	Introduction	320
II.	An Overview	322
III.	The EEA Agreement: Unproblematic Mixity?	324
IV.	Was Article 24 TEU Worse Than Mixity?	326
V.	‘Substantive Mixity’—the Real Problem for EFTA States?	328
17	Mixity from the Outside: the Perspective of a Treaty Partner	331
	<i>Peter Olson</i>	
I.	Introduction	331
II.	Conceptual and Legal Challenges Associated with Mixity	333
A.	The tension between mixity and standard treaty practice	333
B.	The ambiguity of EU ‘declarations of competence’	335
C.	Troubling ‘disconnection clauses’	337
III.	Practical Problems Associated with Mixity	338
A.	Negotiating with the EU and its Member States	338
B.	The participation of the EU and its Member States in international organisations	340
C.	Authentic languages of mixed agreements	341
D.	International responsibility of the EU and its Member States under mixed agreements	342
E.	The entry into force of mixed agreements	345
IV.	Conclusion	346

Contents

PART IV THE FUTURE OF MIXED AGREEMENTS	349
18 Mixity in the Era of the Treaty of Lisbon	351
<i>Alan Dashwood</i>	
I. The New Treaty and Union Structure	352
II. Exclusive Union Competence	355
A. <i>A priori</i> exclusivity	356
B. Supervening exclusivity through operation of the <i>AETR</i> and Opinion 1/76 principles	360
III. Blurring the Boundary between Union Competences	363
IV. Conclusions	365
19 The Future of Mixity	367
<i>Allan Rosas</i>	
I. Mixity is Here to Stay	367
II. Learning to Live with Mixity	371
<i>Index</i>	375

List of Contributors

Henrik Bull, Judge at the EFTA Court, Luxembourg.

Marise Cremona, Professor of European law at the European University Institute, Florence.

Jenő Czuczai, Legal Adviser in the Legal Service of the Council of the European Union, Brussels; Visiting Professor of the College of Europe Brugge and Natolin (Warsaw); Honorary Professor at the Károli Protestant University, Budapest.

Alan Dashwood CBE, Professor of European Law at the University of Cambridge, and Fellow of Sidney Sussex College, Cambridge.

Inge Govaere, Professor of European law at the University of Ghent and Director of the Legal Studies Department at the College of Europe, Bruges.

Joni Heliskoski, Legal Adviser to the Finnish Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Helsinki.

Christophe Hillion, Professor of European Law at the University of Leiden and at the University of Stockholm, Researcher at the Swedish Institute of European Policy Studies.

Frank Hoffmeister, member of the Legal Service of the European Commission, Brussels and Professor at the Vrije Universiteit, Brussels.

Panos Koutrakos, Professor of European Union Law and Jean Monnet Professor of European Law at the University of Bristol.

Pieter Jan Kuijper, Professor of the Law of International Organisations at the University of Amsterdam.

Marc Maresceau, Professor of European Law, Director European Institute and coordinator of the Jean Monnet Centre of Excellence, University of Ghent.

Peter Olson, Legal Adviser Assistant for Europe and Eurasia, Department of State, Washington.

Ricardo Passos, member of the Legal Service of the European Parliament, Brussels.

List of Contributors

Allan Rosas, Judge at the European Court of Justice, Luxembourg.

Robert Schütze, Senior Lecturer in Law at Durham University.

Ivan Smyth, Assistant Legal Adviser at the UK Foreign and Commonwealth Office, London.

Ivo van der Steen, Head of the Centre of Expertise on European law of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of The Netherlands, The Hague.

Christiaan Timmermans, Judge at the European Court of Justice, Luxembourg.

Ramses A Wessel, Professor of the Law of the European Union and other International Organisations, and Co-Director of the Centre for European Studies at the University of Twente.