

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-51326-5 - Science and the Precautionary Principle in International Courts and Tribunals: Expert Evidence, Burden of Proof and Finality

Caroline E. Foster

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

Contents

| | |
|--|------------------|
| <i>Preface</i> | page xiii |
| <i>Acknowledgements</i> | xxi |
| Part I: Context and theory | |
| 1. Introduction | 3 |
| International adjudication | 3 |
| The rationalist tradition | 5 |
| Proceduralisation and harmonisation in international law | 6 |
| The nature of scientific knowledge | 10 |
| The admissibility of scientific evidence | 12 |
| The standard of review | 14 |
| The precautionary principle | 18 |
| Directions for procedural development in international scientific disputes | 21 |
| Conclusion | 29 |
| 2. Co-operation between disputing parties | 32 |
| The importance of co-operation between disputing parties | 32 |
| <i>Case concerning Land Reclamation (Malaysia v. Singapore)</i> | 36 |
| <i>Case concerning the Gabčíkovo-Nagymaros Project (Hungary/Slovakia)</i> | 37 |
| <i>Southern Bluefin Tuna case (Australia and New Zealand v. Japan)</i> | 41 |
| <i>The MOX Plant cases (Ireland v. United Kingdom)</i> | 44 |

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-51326-5 - Science and the Precautionary Principle in International Courts and Tribunals: Expert Evidence, Burden of Proof and Finality

Caroline E. Foster

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

viii CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----|
| <i>Case concerning Pulp Mills on the River Uruguay (Argentina v. Uruguay)</i> | 49 |
| <i>Nuclear Tests cases (Australia v. France) (New Zealand v. France)</i> | 54 |
| <i>European Communities – Measures Affecting Asbestos and Asbestos-Containing Products</i> | 60 |
| <i>European Communities – Measures Concerning Meat and Meat Products (Hormones)</i> | 63 |
| <i>European Communities – Approval and Marketing of Biotech Products</i> | 68 |
| Conclusion | 73 |
| Part II: Expert evidence | |
| 3. Methods for taking expert evidence in scientific disputes | 77 |
| Scientific evidence from the parties | 80 |
| Advocates presenting the science | 88 |
| Evidence generated by administrative procedures | 94 |
| The party-appointed independent expert | 97 |
| Cross-examination | 100 |
| Consultation of international organisations | 102 |
| Site visits | 106 |
| The court-appointed expert | 108 |
| The WTO system for expert evidence | 114 |
| Expert witness-conferencing in international arbitration | 123 |
| Expert adjudicators and assessors | 125 |
| Determination by a neutral expert | 129 |
| Conclusion | 131 |
| 4. The role of adjudicators and the role of experts | 136 |
| Mixed questions of fact and law | 137 |
| Experts and the burden of proof | 148 |
| Precaution in the views of experts | 153 |
| Two-stage adjudicatory procedures | 158 |
| The Expert Review Group in the World Trade Organization | 165 |

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-51326-5 - Science and the Precautionary Principle in International Courts and
Tribunals: Expert Evidence, Burden of Proof and Finality

Caroline E. Foster

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

CONTENTS ix

| | |
|--|-----|
| Selection of experts by international courts and tribunals | 171 |
| The limits of scientific expertise | 175 |
| The quality of scientific evidence | 178 |
| The responsibility of the court or tribunal | 180 |
| Conclusion | 181 |
| Part III: Burden of proof | |
| 5. Getting to the heart of the rules on burden of proof | 185 |
| Principles underlying the rules on the burden of proof | 189 |
| Legal sources of the rule on the allocation of the burden of proof | 193 |
| Judicial articulation of the rule on burden of proof | 198 |
| Judicial application of the rules on burden of proof | 209 |
| Distinctions between general rules and exceptions | 209 |
| (a) The struggle within WTO dispute settlement | 214 |
| (b) Segmentation of legal claims | 221 |
| Standards of proof | 223 |
| The prima facie case approach and the weighing of the evidence | 229 |
| Presumptions | 234 |
| Conclusion | 239 |
| 6. Reversing the burden of proof to give effect to the precautionary principle | 240 |
| The precautionary principle | 241 |
| ‘Administrative’ and ‘adjudicative’ burdens of proof | 245 |
| The inherent powers of international courts and tribunals | 249 |
| The threshold for the reversal of the burden of proof | 254 |
| Judicial interest in the reversal of the burden of proof | 258 |
| How might a reversal of the burden of proof work in practice? | 261 |
| (a) Cases that proceed to the merits | 261 |
| (b) Provisional measures requests | 266 |

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-51326-5 - Science and the Precautionary Principle in International Courts and Tribunals: Expert Evidence, Burden of Proof and Finality

Caroline E. Foster

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

X CONTENTS

| | |
|---|-----|
| Technical methods for reversing the burden of proof | 272 |
| Conclusion | 276 |
| Part IV: The finality of adjudication | |
| 7. Finality, revision and nullity in scientific cases | 281 |
| The principle of finality | 285 |
| Revision | 289 |
| (a) Discovery of a fact | 294 |
| (b) That the fact be unknown | 296 |
| (c) That the fact be a pre-existing one | 297 |
| (d) That the fact be relevant | 299 |
| (e) That the fact be of such a nature as to be a decisive factor | 300 |
| (f) Procedural considerations | 301 |
| (g) Assessing the utility of revision in scientific cases | 303 |
| Nullity | 304 |
| (a) The process for determining nullity under the ICSID Convention | 305 |
| (b) Bases of nullity in international arbitration and adjudication more generally | 307 |
| (c) The possibility of developing new processes for determining nullity beyond the ICSID system | 313 |
| (d) Procedural considerations | 315 |
| (e) Assessing the utility of the doctrine of nullity in scientific cases | 316 |
| Conclusion | 317 |
| 8. Reassessment proceedings and res judicata | 318 |
| ‘Reassessment’ proceedings | 319 |
| (a) Preliminary proceedings | 320 |
| (b) The WTO approach | 321 |
| (c) The <i>Continued Suspension of Obligations</i> cases | 322 |
| (d) Allocation of the burden of proof in the proposed reassessment proceedings | 325 |
| Challenges to countermeasures | 330 |
| (a) The <i>Continued Suspension of Obligations</i> cases and Article 22.8 of the DSU | 331 |

Cambridge University Press

978-0-521-51326-5 - Science and the Precautionary Principle in International Courts and
Tribunals: Expert Evidence, Burden of Proof and Finality

Caroline E. Foster

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

| | CONTENTS | xi |
|--|----------|-----|
| (b) Assessing the situation in relation to challenges to countermeasures | | 334 |
| Res judicata in subsequent proceedings | | 334 |
| Conclusion | | 339 |
| 9. Conclusion | | 341 |
| <i>Bibliography</i> | | 349 |
| <i>Index</i> | | 365 |