

Contents

<i>Table of Cases</i>	xi
<i>Table of Treaties</i>	xvii
<i>Table of Legislation</i>	xxi
Introduction	1
1 Addressing international restrictive practices at the national level: a necessary but insufficient step	7
1 <i>The generalisation of the effects doctrine as the first response to the globalisation of anticompetitive practices</i>	7
1.1 Towards the general acceptance of the extraterritorial application of national laws for the protection of consumers	7
1.1.1 The main systems of competition law have long understood the necessity to apply their laws extraterritorially	8
1.1.2 The generalisation of the use of the effects doctrine	22
1.2 The use of antitrust legislation to deal with practices restraining exports: a more controversial use of extraterritoriality	25
1.2.1 The privilege of the US law	25
1.2.2 Can EC competition law be used in market access cases?	31
2 <i>The practical limitations to the unilateral application of domestic laws in international competition cases</i>	33
2.1 Extraterritoriality as a source of conflict: a fading issue?	34
2.1.1 The changing nature of conflicts of policies and interests	34
2.1.2 A remaining source of potential conflicts: differences in the nature of the enforcement of national antitrust laws	38
2.2 A real issue: the difficult enforcement of domestic law in international competition cases	41
2.2.1 Domestic limitations on the use of investigation and enforcement measures	42
2.2.2 Investigations and enforcement actions can be impeded by foreign legislation	49
2 Soft cooperation, or the coordination of antitrust investigations	53
1 <i>Towards increased cooperation: the evolution of the provisions of the existing bilateral agreements</i>	53

viii *Contents*

1.1	At the root of international cooperation: the OECD recommendations	53
1.1.1	Laying down the principles: the OECD recommendations of 1967 and 1973	54
1.1.2	Developing the principles: the 1986 and 1995 recommendations	55
1.2	The evolution of the bilateral agreements	57
1.2.1	The first generation of agreements: insufficient cooperation, defensive provisions	58
1.2.2	The second generation of agreements: active cooperation, positive comity	65
1.2.3	Conclusion: definition and status of these bilateral agreements	76
2	<i>Soft cooperation as it stands: the implementation of the bilateral agreements</i>	78
2.1	Joint investigation and coordination of actions	78
2.1.1	The process of notification	78
2.1.2	The actual working of cooperation	80
2.2	First assessment of bilateral cooperation	102
2.2.1	Factors affecting the level of cooperation	103
2.2.2	Conclusions	115
3	Towards hard cooperation: the exchange of confidential information	119
1	<i>Reluctance to conclude agreements on exchange of information is a characteristic of international cooperation in the field of antitrust</i>	121
1.1	Considering the current confidentiality laws, exchange of confidential information between competition authorities require the enactment of new legislation or treaties	121
1.1.1	Overview of the rules of confidentiality in the competition laws of the United States, the European Community, Canada and Australia	122
1.1.2	Conclusion	126
1.2	The exchange of confidential information raises several types of objections	128
1.2.1	The reluctance to conclude exchange of information agreements in the field of antitrust contrasts with the extent of cooperation in other areas of law	128
1.2.2	Obstacles to the exchange of confidential information in the field of antitrust	131

2	<i>The instruments of exchange of information</i>	145
2.1	International cooperation with courts acting as intermediary	145
2.1.1	Rogatory letters, letters of request and the Hague Convention	146
2.1.2	Cooperation based on treaties on multilateral assistance in criminal matters	149
2.2	The ultimate step in information sharing: direct cooperation between antitrust agencies	155
2.2.1	The Australian example: the forerunner in interagency cooperation	155
2.2.2	The IAEAA and the assistance it can provide	158
2.2.3	The limitations on the assistance that can be provided under the IAEAA	161
2.2.4	The guarantees of confidentiality provided by the IAEAA	164
2.2.5	A possible application of the IAEAA: the case of the European Union	169
4	Towards hard cooperation: positive comity	183
1	<i>The experience of positive comity shows the possible scope and the limits of the use of this instrument</i>	185
1.1	Cases in which positive comity was invoked illustrate the two possible uses of this concept	186
1.1.1	Positive comity in cases where anticompetitive behaviours affects the requesting party's consumers	187
1.1.2	Positive comity in cases where anticompetitive practices affect the requesting party's exporters	188
1.2	Contrasts in the implementation of positive comity: lessons for the future	191
1.2.1	Positive comity between equals: the EC-US model	192
1.2.2	Positive comity between unequal partners	196
2	<i>Assessing the potential legal scope of positive comity</i>	198
2.1	The role of positive comity in dealing with conducts affecting foreign markets: a limited but possible role	199
2.1.1	Under US law	199
2.1.2	Under EC law	208
2.2	The role of positive comity in dealing with conduct affecting foreign importers: the main potential use of positive comity	213
2.2.1	The application of competition law to restraints of imports by national firms	214
2.2.2	Foreclosure under US and EC competition law from a positive comity perspective	218
3	<i>Conclusion</i>	225

5	Completing bilateral cooperation: the multilateral option	229
1	<i>A plurilateral agreement on competition rules: a necessary but difficult step to complement bilateral cooperation between antitrust agencies</i>	229
1.1	The example of bilateral cooperation underlines the necessity of adopting a plurilateral agreement on competition issues	229
1.1.1	Bilateral cooperation can only be efficiently used by a limited number of countries	229
1.1.2	The different options for a multilateral competition system	234
1.1.3	Comments on the different options	238
1.2	The negotiation of a set of multilateral competition rules within the WTO raises important issues and objections	241
1.2.1	Managing the interface between trade and competition	241
1.2.2	Other concerns	247
2	<i>The possible content of a multilateral agreement on competition policy, in the light of the experience acquired through bilateral cooperation</i>	250
2.1	Creating a pattern for the effective and coordinated enforcement of competition policies at the international level	251
2.1.1	Obligation for states to adopt effective domestic rules	252
2.1.2	On the nature of international enforcement and cooperation	257
2.2	Dispute panel settlement and competition issues	265
2.2.1	The scope of application of the Dispute Panel Settlement	266
2.2.2	The standard of review	268
2.2.3	Procedural issues	272
3	<i>Conclusion</i>	277
	Conclusion	279
	<i>Bibliography</i>	283
	<i>Index</i>	297