

CONTENTS

<i>List of illustrations</i>	<i>page</i>	x
<i>List of tables</i>		xi
<i>Table of cases</i>		xii
<i>Table of UK statutes</i>		xx
<i>Table of UK statutory instruments</i>		xxiii
<i>Table of European Communities legislation and documents</i>		xxiv
<i>Table of foreign statutes</i>		xxvi
<i>Table of treaties and conventions</i>		xxix
<i>Acknowledgements</i>		xxx
<i>List of abbreviations</i>		xxxii
1	Introduction	1
2	The concepts of fairness	4
	2.1 Introduction	4
	2.2 Definition of fairness in dispute resolution	5
	2.2.1 Equal treatment of the parties	5
	2.2.2 A rational approach to dispute resolution	6
	2.2.3 Effectiveness	6
	2.2.4 Conclusion	8
	2.3 Process values and forms of procedural justice	8
	2.3.1 Process values	9
	2.3.2 The Rawlsian concept of procedural justice	10
	2.4 Due process	13
	2.5 The difference principle: counter-balancing existing inequalities	14

2.6	The inherent conflict between due process and effectiveness	17
2.7	Conclusion	18
3	Internet disputes	19
3.1	Introduction	19
3.2	Characteristics of the Internet	19
3.2.1	Location irrelevant for functionality	20
3.2.2	Difficulty of establishing location of Internet users	21
3.2.3	Increase in transnational contacts – a quantitative and qualitative change	23
3.2.4	Conclusion: the implications for Internet disputes	25
3.3	Examples of Internet disputes	26
3.4	Contract and tort	28
3.5	Power in dispute resolution	29
3.5.1	Resources	29
3.5.2	'Repeat player' effect and power	29
3.5.3	Vulnerability	31
3.6	Definition of relevant disputes in respect of the parties	32
3.6.1	Meaning of 'consumer' under different laws and regulations	32
3.6.2	A preliminary definition: widening the scope	35
3.6.3	The definition of relevant Internet disputes and its purpose	36
3.7	Definition of relevant disputes in respect of the size of the claim	37
3.8	Chargebacks and refunds by payment service providers	38
3.8.1	Credit-card chargeback and joint liability	38
3.8.2	PayPal	42
3.8.3	Conclusion	43
3.9	The jurisdictional challenge of the Internet	44
3.10	Conclusion	45
4	ADR and applicable law	47
4.1	Introduction	47
4.2	ADR	48
4.2.1	Mediation	50
4.2.2	Arbitration	58
4.3	Applicable law	60
4.3.1	Law of the arbitration agreement, the <i>lex arbitri</i> and applicable law distinguished	60
4.3.2	Options	61

CONTENTS

vii

4.3.3	Determination of the applicable law	63
4.3.4	Conclusion: the challenge of applicable law	65
4.3.5	Mandatory laws	66
4.4	Conclusion	73
5	ODR and access	74
5.1	Introduction	74
5.2	Definition of ODR	74
5.3	Forms of ODR	75
5.3.1	Brief overview	75
5.3.2	Case study: Austrian Internet Ombudsman	76
5.4	Technologies used	78
5.4.1	Online mediation	79
5.4.2	Automated negotiation – negotiation assistance	81
5.4.3	Automated negotiation – blind bidding	81
5.4.4	Online juries / mock trials	82
5.4.5	Online arbitration	82
5.5	Transformative power of ODR	86
5.5.1	Technology as the fourth party in ODR	86
5.5.2	Transformative power: greater access to justice	89
5.6	Conclusion	90
6	Arbitration and due process	91
6.1	Introduction	91
6.2	Sources of legal due process	91
6.2.1	Professional codes of conduct and contract for arbitral services	92
6.2.2	Institutional and other arbitration rules	93
6.2.3	National arbitration legislation	95
6.2.4	English common law	97
6.2.5	Human rights standards	98
6.2.6	International conventions and standards	110
6.2.7	Conclusion	112
6.3	Impartiality and independence in adjudication	112
6.3.1	Impartiality and independence of judges	112
6.3.2	Impartiality and independence of arbitrators	118
6.3.3	Conclusion	129
6.4	Fair hearing	130
6.4.1	Prior notice	130
6.4.2	Opportunity to present one's case and rebut that of the other party – fair hearing in a narrower sense	131
6.5	Duty to give reasons	140

6.6	Transparency versus confidentiality	144
6.6.1	The case for transparency	145
6.6.2	Presumption of confidentiality in arbitration	149
6.6.3	What should be kept confidential?	149
6.6.4	Who is under a duty of confidentiality?	150
6.6.5	Contract and institutional rules	150
6.6.6	Arbitration laws	152
6.6.7	Conclusion: an inadequate balance under English law	159
6.7	Right of appeal / judicial review of arbitration awards	160
6.8	Conclusion	167
7	Internet disputes and fair arbitration	169
7.1	Introduction	169
7.2	Legal controls on the use of arbitration clauses in consumer contracts	171
7.2.1	Subject-matter arbitrability	173
7.2.2	Control of consumer arbitration under English law	174
7.2.3	Control of adhesion contracts under US state law	180
7.2.4	A critique of consumer arbitration	182
7.2.5	Conclusion	185
7.3	UDRP as a model for ODR	186
7.3.1	Brief description of the UDRP	186
7.3.2	A critique of the UDRP	190
7.3.3	Conclusion	214
7.4	Proportionate model of dispute resolution	214
7.4.1	Two spheres: one public and one private	215
7.4.2	The waiver doctrine – fully informed and voluntary approach	215
7.4.3	Internet disputes and the waiver doctrine	216
7.4.4	The proportionate model explained	217
7.5	Conclusion	218
8	A model of dispute resolution for the Internet	220
8.1	Introduction	220
8.2	Bringing the parties to arbitration	221
8.2.1	Contractually mandated schemes	223
8.2.2	Compulsory statutory arbitration	230
8.3	Standards for online arbitration of Internet disputes – findings from previous chapters	238
8.3.1	Applying the ‘weaker’ party’s mandatory laws	239
8.3.2	Independence and impartiality of the provider and the arbitrators	239
8.3.3	Fair hearing	242

CONTENTS

ix

8.3.4	Reasons for decisions and transparency	243	
8.3.5	Judicial review/appeal	244	
8.4	Implementation of the standards	244	
8.4.1	Institutional rules	245	
8.4.2	National legislation	246	
8.4.3	An international convention on enforcement of awards		246
8.4.4	Referral systems / clearing house	247	
8.5	Proportionality, costs and state funding	249	
8.6	The model: resolution of Internet disputes	254	
8.6.1	Non-binding forms of ODR	255	
8.6.2	Payment-reverse mechanisms	255	
8.6.3	Online arbitration	255	
8.6.4	Litigation	260	
8.7	Conclusion	260	
8.8	Recommendations	261	
8.8.1	For online platforms	261	
8.8.2	For Internet access providers	261	
8.8.3	For E-commerce websites generally	261	
8.8.4	For payment service providers	262	
8.8.5	For providers of dispute resolution services		262
8.8.6	For governments and regulators	262	
	<i>Bibliography</i>	264	
	<i>Index</i>	274	