
Contents

	<i>Preface</i>	<i>page</i> xiii
	<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xvi
	<i>List of abbreviations</i>	xvii
	<i>Table of cases</i>	xix
1	Introduction: the story of a project	1
2	Taking facts seriously	14
3	The Rationalist Tradition of evidence scholarship	35
	Anglo–American evidence scholarship: an historical review	36
	The Rationalist Tradition	75
	The Rationalist Tradition: a postscript	80
4	Some scepticism about some scepticisms	99
	Introduction	99
	Exposition	103
	Some strategies of scepticism	134
5	Identification and misidentification in legal processes: redefining the problem	165
	Two perspectives on law and legal processes	166
	Two models of misidentification	175
	Redefining the problem of (mis)identification	180
6	What is the law of evidence?	192
	Introduction	192
	Context	194
	The Thayerite view of ‘the Law of Evidence’	202
	Gruyère cheese and the Cheshire cat: the argument of exaggerated importance	210
	Conclusion	226

Cambridge University Press

0521675375 - Rethinking Evidence: Exploratory Essays, Second Edition

William Twining

Table of Contents

[More information](#)

x Contents

7	Rethinking Evidence	237
	Introduction	237
	Theorizing about IL	238
	Taking stock	241
	‘The New Evidence Scholarship’ and the need for a mapping theory	244
	Constructing a mapping theory: choice of an organizing concept	248
	Some implications and applications	254
	Broad perspectives and particular studies: the problem of the division of labour	257
	Inter-disciplinary warnings	261
	Realism revisited	262
8	Legal reasoning and argumentation	271
	Reasoning in adjudication	271
	A rationalist model of reasoning in adjudication	272
	Law and fact	277
	Argumentation	278
	Conclusion	278
9	Stories and argument	280
10	Lawyers’ stories	286
	Introduction	286
	Arguments about questions of law: stating the facts	296
	Disputed questions of fact: holism and atomism in arguments about evidence	306
	Making sense of the case-as-a-whole: law, fact, value and outcome	311
	Jurisprudence and narratology	318
11	Narrative and generalizations in argumentation about questions of fact	332
	Generalizations: necessary but dangerous	334
	Stories: necessary but dangerous	336
	The relationship between stories and generalizations	337
	$X = X = X$	338
12	Reconstructing the truth about Edith Thompson the Shakespearean and the jurist (WITH RENÉ WEIS)	344
	Introduction: two stories	344
	Part 1. Anatomy of a <i>cause célèbre</i>	346
	Part 2. Edith Thompson: fresh evidence and new perspectives	367

Part 3. The biographer's response to a Wigmorean analysis of <i>R v Bywaters and Thompson</i>	377
Conclusion	382
Appendix 1. Indictments	384
Appendix 2. Exhibit 17	385
Appendix 3. Exhibit 60	386
Appendix 4. <i>R v Bywaters and Thompson</i> : strategic arguments	388
Appendix 5. Reconstruction of arguments about the knife	390
13 The Ratio Decidendi of the Parable of the Prodigal Son	397
Cases and parables as texts	399
The <i>ratio</i> of a case and 'the point' of a parable	402
Interpretation, standpoint, the power of the particular, and conditions of doubt	404
The <i>ratio decidendi</i> of the parable	408
Lessons	410
Appendix. St Luke, chapter 15	411
14 Taking facts seriously – again	417
Introduction	417
The subject of evidence deserves a more central place in the discipline of law	418
Teaching evidence at first degree level: a suggested framework	420
Evidence as an emerging multi-disciplinary field: some implications	428
15 Evidence as a multi-disciplinary subject	436
Different conceptions of evidence	438
A substance-blind approach to inferential reasoning	441
Inference, culture, common sense, and narrative	443
Limitations of law	446
An integrated 'science of evidence'?	448
<i>Bibliography</i>	457
<i>Index</i>	493