

[Tort Law in the Jurisprudence of the European Court of Human Rights](#)

Bearbeitet von
Prof. Dr. Attila Fenyves, Prof. Dr. Ernst Karner, Prof. Dr. Helmut Koziol, Dr. Dr. Elisabeth Steiner

1. Auflage 2011. Buch. XXIV, 906 S. Hardcover
ISBN 978 3 11 025966 7
Format (B x L): 15,5 x 23 cm
Gewicht: 1461 g

[Recht > Europarecht , Internationales Recht, Recht des Auslands > Europarecht > Europäisches Unionsrecht, Verträge, Institutionen, EMRK](#)

schnell und portofrei erhältlich bei


DIE FACHBUCHHANDLUNG

Die Online-Fachbuchhandlung [beck-shop.de](#) ist spezialisiert auf Fachbücher, insbesondere Recht, Steuern und Wirtschaft. Im Sortiment finden Sie alle Medien (Bücher, Zeitschriften, CDs, eBooks, etc.) aller Verlage. Ergänzt wird das Programm durch Services wie Neuerscheinungsdienst oder Zusammenstellungen von Büchern zu Sonderpreisen. Der Shop führt mehr als 8 Millionen Produkte.

Table of Contents

Introduction	1
<i>Elisabeth Steiner</i>	
Just Satisfaction under Art 41 ECHR: A Compromise in 1950 – Problematic Now	3
I. General Remarks and Origin of Art 41	3
A. General	3
B. Origin of Art 41	4
1. Discussions at the Congress of Europe and in the Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe	5
2. Preparatory work at the intergovernmental level of the Council of Europe	6
3. Criticism of art 50.	8
II. Characteristics of Art 41	9
A. General Considerations	9
B. When Does Art 41 Apply?	10
1. General	10
2. Specific conditions	11
a) Only partial or lacking national reparation for the violation found by the Court	11
b) The Court considers it ‘necessary’ to afford just satisfaction	12
c) There is an ‘injured party’.	13
d) Causal link between the unlawful act and the injury sustained by the victim	13
e) Submission by the applicant of a claim for just satisfaction	13
C. Consequences of the Fulfillment of the Conditions of Art 41	13
1. Just satisfaction	14
2. Damage covered by art 41	14
a) Pecuniary damage	14
b) Non-pecuniary damage	15
c) Costs and expenses	15
III. Summary of the Main Features of the ECtHR’s Case-Law Relating to Art 41	15

IV. Information on Comparable Provisions in Other International Human Rights Protection Systems	17
V. Application of Art 41 and Practical Difficulties	18
A. An Initially Modest Conception by the ECtHR of its Remedial Powers	18
B. Expansion of the Court’s Remedial Powers Concerning Non-Pecuniary Reparations and Pilot Judgments	19
C. Requirement of Measures in Addition to the Payment of Just Satisfaction	20
D. Criticism Concerning Practice Regarding Art 41	20
VI. Remedies and Main Reform Ideas	22
A. Attempts Made with the Court to Strive for Greater Consistency Regarding Art 41	22
B. Action Taken at the Level of the Committee of Ministers and of Member States	23
C. Reform Proposals	23
VII. Issues for Further Discussion	24
VIII. Final Remarks	26
Fundamental Issues	27
<i>Franz Bydlinski †</i>	
Methodological Approaches to the Tort Law of the ECHR	29
I. Aims	29
II. Concerning the Method	34
III. The Rule in Art 41 ECHR and its Immanent Interpretation	40
IV. The Types of the Damage to be Compensated	43
A. Pecuniary Damage	44
B. ‘Just Satisfaction’ – Beyond Pecuniary and Non-Pecuniary Damage?	44
C. Costs and Expenses in Particular	45
D. Interest in Particular	48
E. Non-Pecuniary Damage	50
F. Restitution in Kind (Restoration)	52
V. Elements of the Violation and Ground for Liability.	54
A. In General	54
B. Who is the State Liable for?	56
C. Reduction of the Burden of Proof in Imputation	58
D. Liability for Omissions	59
E. Omission and Lack of Money	63
F. The Applicability of Obligations to Third Parties (‘Horizontal Effect’)	65

Table of Contents

VI. Persons Entitled to Compensation	69
A. The Injured Parties	69
B. Close Relatives	70
VII. The Causal Link	72
A. In General	72
B. The Individual Cases	75
1. Cases, in which the position on the causal link can be explained by the necessary condition formula (ie <i>conditio sine qua non</i>)	76
2. The ‘direct’ causal link	78
3. ‘Prohibition on speculation’ and lawful alternative action	81
4. Incomprehensible statements on the causal link	89
VIII. The Extent of the Damages	92
A. In General	92
B. The Assessment Practice of the Court	93
C. The Court’s Explicit or Implicit Rules of Assessment in the Case of Pecuniary Damage	95
D. The Court’s Explicit or Implicit Rules of Assessment in the Case of Non-Pecuniary Damage	97
E. In Summary, Tort Law Evaluation and Supplementation	103
1. Assessment criteria for pecuniary damage	103
2. Evidence difficulties in the case of pecuniary damage	105
3. Specific aspects of non-pecuniary damage	108
IX. The Finding of a Violation as Just Satisfaction?	116
A. The Case-Law of the Court	116
B. Commentary	117
C. ‘Discretion’ of the Court also on the Merits?	121
X. Results	122

Franz Bydlinski †

Methodologische Ansätze zum Schadenersatzrecht der EMRK	129
I. Aufgabenstellung	129
II. Zur Methode	135
III. Die Vorschrift des Art 41 EMRK und ihre immanente Auslegung	141
IV. Die Arten des zu ersetzenden Schadens	144
A. Vermögensschäden	145
B. „Just satisfaction“ – jenseits von Vermögens- und Nichtvermögensschaden?	145
C. Kosten und Aufwendungen insbesondere	146
D. Zinsen insbesondere	150
E. Der immaterielle Schaden (Nichtvermögensschaden)	152
F. Naturalersatz (Wiederherstellung)	154
V. Verletzungstatbestand und Haftungsgrund	156
A. Im Allgemeinen	156
B. Für wen haftet der Staat?	158

C. Beweiserleichterungen bei der Zurechnung	160
D. Haftung für Unterlassung	162
E. Unterlassung und Geldmangel	166
F. Zur Drittwirkung („Horizontalwirkung“)	168
VI. Die Schadenersatzberechtigten	172
A. Die verletzten Personen	172
B. Nahe Angehörige	173
VII. Der Kausalzusammenhang	175
A. Im Allgemeinen	175
B. Die Judikatur im Einzelnen	179
1. Fälle, in denen die Stellungnahme zum Kausalzusammenhang mit der Bedingungsformel (also mit der <i>conditio sine qua non</i>) erklärt werden kann	180
2. Der „direkte“ Kausalzusammenhang	182
3. „Spekulationsverbot“ und rechtmäßiges Alternativverhalten	186
4. Unverständliche Äußerungen zum Kausalzusammenhang	195
VIII. Der Umfang des Schadenersatzes	198
A. Im Allgemeinen	198
B. Die Bemessungspraxis des Gerichtshofes	199
C. Ausdrückliche oder implizite Bemessungsrichtlinien des Gerichtshofes bei Vermögensschäden	201
D. Ausdrückliche oder implizite Bemessungsregeln des Gerichtshofes bei immateriellen Schäden	203
E. Zusammenfassende schadenersatzrechtliche Würdigung und Ergänzung	209
1. Bemessungskriterien beim Vermögensschaden	209
2. Beweisschwierigkeiten beim Vermögensschaden	212
3. Besonderheiten des immateriellen Schadens	215
IX. Die Feststellung der Rechtsverletzung als gerechte Genugtuung?	224
A. Die Rechtsprechung des Gerichtshofes	224
B. Stellungnahme	225
C. „Ermessen“ des Gerichtshofes auch dem Grunde nach?	229
X. Ergebnisse	231
 <i>Walter Berka</i>	
Human Rights and Tort Law	237
I. Introduction	237
II. Fundamental Rights and Tort Law	242
A. On the Guarantee Principally Embodied in Fundamental Rights: the Obligation to Respect Fundamental Rights	242
B. Tort Law and Fundamental Rights	246
C. National and Legal-Policy Margins of Appreciation	249

Table of Contents

- III. Compensation in the Case of State Infringements of Fundamental Rights 251
 - A. Starting Points for Damage Liability Founded in Fundamental Rights 251
 - B. On the Right to Compensation in the Case of Infringement of Personal Freedom (Art 5 Para 5 ECHR) 254
 - C. On the Right to Compensation in the Case of Miscarriages of Justice (Art 3 7th Protocol to the ECHR) 256
 - D. Rights to Compensation for Infringements of Other Fundamental Rights 257
 - E. On State Liability for Fundamental Rights Infringements 265
- IV. Liability Claims Arising out of State Obligations to Protect 266
 - A. On the Fundamental Rights-Based Obligations to Protect 266
 - B. Claims for Compensation and State Obligations to Protect: the Legislator’s Duty to Act 268
 - C. Concretisation of Obligations to Protect by the Case-Law 271
 - 1. Non-pecuniary damages in the case of deprivation of liberty by private persons 272
 - 2. The gaps in the compensation of non-pecuniary damage 272
 - 3. Entitlements in the case of infringements of absolute personality rights 273
 - 4. On the application of fundamental rights considerations in decisions on claims to compensation 274
 - 5. Wrongful conception and wrongful birth – a fundamental rights problem? 276
 - V. Liability Claims as Infringements of Fundamental Rights 279
 - A. Change of Perspective 279
 - B. Tort Claims and the Principle of Proportionality 280
 - C. Compensation for Lawful Exercise of Fundamental Rights? 283
 - D. Ruinous Damages Awards 285
- VI. Summarising Conclusions: Human Rights and Tort Law 287

Walter Berka

- Menschenrechte und Schadenersatzrecht 289**
 - I. Einleitung 289
 - II. Grundrechte und Schadenersatzrecht 295
 - A. Zum prinzipiellen Gewährleistungsgehalt der Grundrechte: der grundrechtliche Achtungsanspruch 295
 - B. Schadenersatz und Grundrechte 298
 - C. Nationale und rechtspolitische Ausgestaltungsspielräume 301
 - III. Schadenersatz bei staatlichen Grundrechtseingriffen 304
 - A. Ansatzpunkte für eine grundrechtlich begründete Schadenshaftung 304
 - B. Zum Entschädigungsanspruch bei Eingriffen in die persönliche Freiheit (Art 5 Abs 5 EMRK) 307

C.	Zum Entschädigungsanspruch bei Fehltritten (Art 3 7. ZPEMRK)	309
D.	Schadenersatzansprüche bei Eingriffen in andere Grundrechte	310
E.	Zur Staatshaftung für Grundrechtseingriffe	318
IV.	Haftungsansprüche aus staatlichen Schutzpflichten	320
A.	Zu den grundrechtlichen Schutzpflichten	320
B.	Schadenersatzansprüche und staatliche Schutzpflichten: Handlungspflichten des Gesetzgebers	322
C.	Konkretisierung von Schutzpflichten durch die Rechtsprechung	326
1.	Immaterieller Schadenersatz bei Freiheitsberaubung durch Private	326
2.	Die Lückenhaftigkeit beim Ersatz immaterieller Schäden	327
3.	Ansprüche bei Eingriffen in absolute Persönlichkeitsrechte	328
4.	Zur Heranziehung grundrechtlicher Wertungen bei der Entscheidung über Schadenersatzansprüche	329
5.	Wrongful conception und wrongful birth – ein Grundrechtsproblem?	331
V.	Haftungsansprüche als Grundrechtseingriffe	334
A.	Ein Perspektivenwechsel	334
B.	Schadenersatzansprüche und Übermaßverbot	335
C.	Schadenersatz für rechtmäßigen Grundrechtsgebrauch?	338
D.	Ruinöse Schadenersatzforderungen	341
VI.	Zusammenfassende Schlussfolgerungen: Menschenrechte und Schadenersatzrecht	343

Wolfram Karl

	'Just Satisfaction' in Art 41 ECHR and Public International Law	
	– Issues of Interpretation and Review of International Materials	345
I.	Introduction: The European Convention on Human Rights as Part of Public International Law	345
II.	Interpreting the European Convention on Human Rights	346
A.	Treaty Interpretation under Public International Law	346
B.	Special Aspects of the Interpretation of the European Convention on Human Rights	349
III.	Elements for the Interpretation of Art 41 ECHR	349
A.	The Wording of Art 41 (ex Art 50) ECHR and its Drafting History	350
B.	The Principle of Effectiveness ('Object and Purpose')	355
C.	Subsequent Practice (Art 31 Para 2(b) VCLT)	356
D.	Art 31 Para 3(c) VCLT: '... any relevant rules of international law applicable in the relations between the parties'	356
E.	Comparative Interpretation – National Laws	359
F.	Comparative Interpretation – Other International Instruments	361

Table of Contents

IV. Pertinent Rules of General International Law 364

 A. Preliminary Remark 364

 B. Customary International Law on Reparation 364

 1. The ILC Articles on State Responsibility of 2001 365

 2. Contents of state responsibility 365

 3. *Lex specialis* and ‘self-contained regimes’ 368

 C. General Principles of Law 370

 1. General principles of law as a source of international law . . . 370

 2. General principles of law within the context of the European Convention 372

V. Materials for Comparative Interpretation: Other International Schemes for Reparation 373

 A. Inter-State Claims based on the Diplomatic Protection of Nationals 374

 B. Claims by Individuals before Claims Tribunals and Claims Commissions 375

 1. Iran-US Claims Tribunal 376

 2. International Centre for the Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID) and tribunals set up under its auspices . . . 376

 3. United Nations Compensation Commission (UNCC) and Eritrea-Ethiopia Claims Commission (EECC) 377

 C. Claims before (other) Human Rights Institutions 378

 1. The United Nations Basic Principles and Guidelines on the Right to Remedy and Reparation (2005) 378

 2. The United Nations Treaty-Bodies 379

 3. The Inter-American Court of Human Rights 382

 D. Claims before International Criminal Courts 383

VI. Conclusions 384

Michel de Salvia

Can the Reparation Awarded to Victims of Violations under the ECHR be Considered a Real ‘Just’ Satisfaction? 387

Special Topics 395

Ken Oliphant and Katarzyna Ludwichowska

Damage 397

First Part: Analysis of the ECtHR Jurisprudence 397

 I. General Requirements in Respect of Damage 400

 A. Proof of Damage 400

 B. Certainty of Damage 403

 C. Legitimacy of Damage 406

II. Pecuniary and Non-Pecuniary Damage	407
A. Pecuniary Damage	408
1. Damnum emergens	408
2. Lucrum cessans	411
3. Loss of opportunities	412
4. Interest	412
B. Non-Pecuniary Damage	413
III. Protected Rights and Interests	416
A. General	416
B. Rights and Interests in the Person	417
1. Life	417
2. Physical and mental integrity	419
a) Right to life (art 2)	419
b) Torture and inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment (art 3)	420
c) The right to respect for private life (art 8)	421
d) Consequential damage	422
3. Liberty	422
4. The right to private and family life	425
a) Private life	426
b) Family life	428
c) Home	429
d) Correspondence	432
5. Reputation	432
6. Other personality interests	433
7. Personal freedoms	433
C. Property Rights	434
1. Meaning of ‘possessions’	434
2. Title	435
3. Expectations and claims	436
4. Forms of interference	437
5. Pecuniary damage	438
6. Non-pecuniary damage	439
D. Pure Economic Interests	440
1. General	440
2. Economic assets as possessions	441
Second Part: Comparative Remarks	441
I. General Observations	441
II. Specific Aspects	443
A. General Requirements in Respect of Damage	443
B. Pecuniary and Non-Pecuniary Damage	444
C. Protected Rights and Interests	446
III. Conclusion	447

Causation	449
First Part: Analysis of the ECtHR Jurisprudence	449
I. Introduction	449
II. General Remarks on the Analysis of the Case-Law	451
A. Substantiation of the Claim	451
B. Facts of the Case	452
C. Rationes Decidendi	453
III. The Requirement of ‘Clear Causation’	455
IV. <i>Conditio sine qua non</i>	457
A. Use of the ‘ <i>Conditio sine qua non</i> ’ Test	457
B. Non Revealed Causal Test	461
C. Onus of Proof	464
V. Scope of Liability	466
A. Fundamental Idea	466
B. Case-Law	467
1. ‘Clear causal link’	467
2. ‘Direct causal link’	468
3. ‘Sufficient causal link’	469
4. Further qualifications and criteria	470
5. The case of <i>Mascolo c. Italie</i> – does only an <i>exclusive</i> cause incur liability?	471
C. Summary	473
VI. Causation and the Violation of Procedural Guarantees	474
A. The Problem	474
B. Case-Law	477
1. The approaches found	477
a) The irrelevance of the hypothetical outcome – full compensation?	477
b) ‘Prohibition on speculation’ rule – no compensation	478
c) ‘Loss of (real) opportunities’ – partial compensation	484
2. Attempts at reconciliation	485
a) Probability of triumphing in domestic proceedings?	486
b) Type and quality of the procedural right infringed?	489
c) Suitability of the damage for assessment?	489
d) Equity?	490
e) <i>Kingsley v. the United Kingdom</i> [GC] – does the Court speculate after all?	490
VII. Conclusion	491
Second Part: Comparative Remarks	493
I. The Requirement of Causation and the <i>Conditio sine qua non</i>	493
II. Scope of Liability	493
A. The Fundamental Idea: A Flexible Borderline	493
B. Relevant Factors	494

C. Conclusion	495
III. Causation and the Violation of Procedural Guarantees	495
A. The Problem	495
B. The Approaches Found	496
1. Proving causation	496
2. Perte d'une chance	497
3. Alternative causation per analogiam	497
4. Co-existence of several approaches	498
C. Dogmatic Categories	498
D. Conclusion	500
 <i>Barbara C Steininger and Nora Wallner-Friedl</i>	
Wrongfulness and Fault	501
I. Introduction	501
A. Differences in the National Tort Law Systems	502
1. Conduct or result as starting point	502
2. Main functions of 'wrongfulness' and 'fault'	503
B. Wrongfulness and Fault under Art 41 ECHR	504
1. Subjective factors	505
2. Protected interests and required standard of conduct	506
II. Analysis of the ECtHR Jurisprudence	508
A. General	508
B. Analysis of Violations of Different Convention Rights through Active Conduct	509
1. Art 2 ECHR	509
2. Art 3 ECHR	511
3. Art 8 ECHR	515
4. Art 1 Protocol No 1	517
C. Violation of Convention Rights through Omissions	519
1. Art 2 ECHR	520
2. Art 3 ECHR	521
3. Art 8 ECHR	522
4. Reasonable time requirement of art 6 ECHR	524
5. Conclusions	527
D. Lack of Resources and the Required Standard of Conduct	527
E. Jurisdiction	531
1. The <i>Assanidze</i> case	531
2. Implications	532
III. Comparative Remarks	533
IV. Conclusions	536

Jörg Fedtke

Protective Purpose of the Rule	539
First Part: Analysis of the ECtHR Jurisprudence	539
I. Introduction	539
II. The Protective Purpose of the Rule in the Jurisprudence of the ECtHR	546
A. The Scope of Protection in Human Rights Cases	546
B. The Protective Purpose of a Rule as a Separate Element of Inquiry	547
1. Practical and effective safeguards.	547
2. Positive obligations	558
3. Standards of international law	559
4. Proportionality	560
C. Conclusions	561
Second Part: Comparative Remarks	563

Dagmar Hinghofer-Szalkay and Bernhard A Koch

No-Fault or Strict Liability	567
I. Introduction	567
II. Analysis of the ECtHR Jurisprudence and the Literature.	571
A. Jurisprudence	571
B. Literature	574
III. ECtHR Jurisprudence Compared	575
IV. Conclusions	577

Christa Kissling and Denis Kelliher

Compensation for Pecuniary and Non-Pecuniary Loss	579
First Part: Analysis of the ECtHR Jurisprudence	579
I. Preliminary Remarks	579
A. Content and Interpretation of Art 41 ECHR with Regard to the Compensation for Pecuniary and Non-Pecuniary Loss	579
B. Categories of Damages	583
C. General Remarks on Compensation for both Pecuniary and Non- Pecuniary Loss	584
1. Equity as a governing principle	584
2. Discretion of the ECtHR and global amounts for compensation in respect of pecuniary and non-pecuniary damage	585
3. Damages must be claimed	587

II. Compensation for Pecuniary Loss	588
A. Explicit or Implicit Rules for the Compensation for Pecuniary Loss	588
1. Full compensation	588
2. Impact of the seriousness of a violation of the Convention on compensation for pecuniary damage	589
3. Evidentiary difficulties	589
B. Compensation of Damage to Existing Individual Interests (Damnum Emergens)	590
1. Compensation of reasonable expenses	590
2. Compensation of loss of maintenance for dependants of the deceased ('Versorgungsschaden')	591
3. Compensation for loss of property	596
a) Expropriated property	596
b) Destruction of property	602
c) Confiscation	603
d) Judgment debts	604
4. Loss of career prospects	605
5. Domestic fines and awards	605
C. Compensation for Loss of Income (Lucrum Cessans)	606
D. Victim of a Violation and Corporate Personality	610
E. Conclusions on Compensation for Pecuniary Loss	613
III. Compensation for Non-Pecuniary Loss	615
A. General Remarks	615
B. Explicit or Implicit General Rules of Assessment in Cases of Non-Pecuniary Damage	621
1. No precise calculation of non-pecuniary damage	621
2. Domestic practice is not binding	622
3. (Limited) duty to follow previous ECtHR judgments	623
4. Agreement by the respondent State	624
5. Assessment of damages for non-pecuniary loss 'on an equitable basis'	624
C. A Few Concrete Criteria for Assessing Damages for Non-Pecuniary Loss	626
1. Relevance of the seriousness of the violation of the Convention	627
2. Relevance of the seriousness and duration of the injury	628
3. Personal characteristics of the applicant (eg age, state of health)	631
4. Relevance of standard of living and economic indicators in the applicant's country	632
5. Behaviour of the victim	634

D.	Criteria and Awarded Amounts with Regard to Different Violated Convention Rights – Cases	634
1.	Violation of art 2 ECHR (right to life)	635
	a) Overview of the judgments detailed below	635
	b) Judgments (in detail)	638
2.	Violation of art 3 ECHR (prohibition of torture)	644
	a) Direct victim is applying for non-pecuniary damage	644
	b) Violation of art 3 ECHR in respect of relatives of disappeared persons who are presumed dead by the ECtHR.	647
3.	Violation of art 5 ECHR (right to liberty and security)	649
	a) Violation of art 5(1) ECHR (right to liberty and security) – Duration of the unlawful detention	649
	b) Violation of art 5(1) ECHR (right to liberty and security), art 18 ECHR (limitation on use of restrictions on rights) and art 34 ECHR (individual applications)	650
	c) Violation of art 5(1) ECHR (right to liberty and security), art 5(4) ECHR (right to take proceedings by which the lawfulness of the detention shall be decided speedily by a court) and art 5(5) ECHR (enforceable right to compensation)	651
	d) Violation of art 5(3) ECHR (right to trial within a reasonable time or to release pending trial)	652
	e) Violation of art 5(3) ECHR (right to be brought promptly before a judge) and art 5(4) ECHR (right to take proceedings by which the lawfulness of the detention shall be decided speedily by a court)	654
4.	Violation of art 6(1) ECHR (right of access to court)	655
	a) Unreasonable length of proceedings	655
	b) Failure of the authorities to properly and/or timeously enforce a judgment in the applicant’s favour	670
	c) Numerous applicants	672
5.	Violation of art 8 ECHR (right to respect for private and family life)	673
6.	Violation of art 14 ECHR (prohibition of discrimination) in conjunction with art 8 ECHR (right to respect for private and family life)	675
E.	Conclusions on Compensation for Non-Pecuniary Loss	678
	Second Part: Comparative Remarks	680
I.	Comparative Remarks on Compensation for Pecuniary Loss	680
A.	General Remarks	680

B.	Compensation and Expropriated Property (<i>Guiso-Gallisay v. Italy</i>)	682
1.	Preliminary remarks	682
2.	International law perspective on the distinction between lawful and unlawful expropriations	683
3.	International law perspective on the amount of compensation for lawful and unlawful expropriations	685
4.	Conclusions	687
II.	Comparative Remarks on Compensation for Non-Pecuniary Loss	687
A.	General Remarks	688
1.	Acceptance of compensation for non-pecuniary loss in national law and range of cases	688
2.	Survival of the right to claim for compensation in respect of non-pecuniary loss	693
3.	Compensation for non-pecuniary loss to third parties (relatives, secondary victims)	695
4.	Compensation for non-pecuniary loss for legal entities or organisations	701
5.	Global amounts for compensation in respect of pecuniary and non-pecuniary damage	702
B.	General Principles: Equity and Discretion	703
1.	Equity	703
2.	Discretion	704
C.	The ECtHR's Concrete Criteria for the Assessment of Compensation for Non-Pecuniary Loss in Comparison with Domestic Laws	706
1.	Interplay between objective and subjective criteria	706
2.	Assessment criteria of the ECtHR in comparison with criteria addressed at the domestic level and in the PETL	707
3.	Standard of living	711
4.	Tariffs and tables	713
a)	Tables and compilations based on national court practice	713
b)	Legislative tariffs	720
D.	Conclusions	721

Vanessa Wilcox

	Punitive and Nominal Damages	725
	First Part: Analysis of the ECtHR Jurisprudence	725
I.	Introduction	725
II.	Punitive Damages	726
A.	Nature and Aims	726
B.	Punitive Damages under the ECtHR	726
1.	Convention and Practice Direction	726

Table of Contents

2. Case law analysis	727
3. Punitive v aggravated damages	729
4. Compensation scales and adjustments of just satisfaction awards	729
C. Protecting Convention Rights through Supervisory Measures and other Human Rights Institutes of the Council of Europe	730
D. Conclusion	731
III. Nominal Damages	732
A. Nature and Aims	732
B. Nominal Damages under the ECtHR	733
1. Convention and Practice Direction	733
2. Case law analysis	733
C. Conclusion	736
Second Part: Comparative Remarks	737
I. Punitive Damages	738
II. Nominal Damages	739

Mónika Józson

Satisfaction by Finding a Violation	741
First Part: Analysis of the ECtHR Jurisprudence	741
I. Introduction	741
II. Assessment of the Court's Case Law (1992–2009)	742
A. The Value of the Harm Suffered and the Low Amount of Damages Claimed	747
B. Applicant's Attitude during Proceedings	747
C. Breach of National Laws by Applicant	748
D. Nature of Breach Committed by Respondent State	749
E. Lack of Deprivation of Liberty	752
F. Refusal to Speculate as to the Outcome of the Case	753
G. Violations of Specific Provisions of the Convention	754
H. The Mixed Approach Cases	758
III. Declaratory Judgments as Just Satisfaction for both Pecuniary and Non-Pecuniary Damages: The Coming of a New Era in the Court's Approach to Compensation?	759
IV. Cases where, in the Court's View, Declaratory Judgments Would not Suffice	761
V. Conclusion	763
Second Part: Comparative Remarks	765

Vanessa Wilcox and Olaf Riss

Contributory Negligence	771
First Part: Analysis of the ECtHR Jurisprudence	771
I. Introduction	771
II. Contributory Negligence in Outline	771
A. Terminology	772
B. General Conditions	772
III. Contributory Conduct under the Convention and the Practice	
Direction on Just Satisfaction Claims	773
IV. The Applicant's Conduct under Art 6 ECHR	774
A. Standard of Conduct and Fault	775
1. Categories of conduct	776
a) Adjournments	776
b) Absenteeism	777
c) Interrupting proceedings	777
d) Omissions	778
e) Failure to expedite proceedings	778
2. Capacity	779
B. Causation and Apportionment	780
1. No finding of a violation	780
2. A finding of a violation to amount to sufficient just	
satisfaction	782
3. A reduction in the award of damages	783
4. Making an assessment on an equitable basis	783
C. Conclusion	784
V. Contributory Negligence and Cases under the ECtHR:	
Other Articles	786
A. The Right to Life (Art 2)	786
1. No finding of a violation	788
2. Dismissal of damages claim	789
3. Award of damages	790
4. Imputation of acts of third persons as contributory conduct	
and contributing conduct and third party claims	791
5. Conclusion	792
B. Prohibition of Torture (Art 3)	792
C. Protection of Property (Art 1 of Protocol No 1)	795
Second Part: Comparative Remarks	798
I. The Recognition of and Justification for Contributory Negligence in	
ECHR Signatory Jurisdictions	798
II. Capacity	799
III. Third Parties	800
A. The Imputation of Acts of Third Persons as Contributory Fault .	800
B. Contributory Conduct and Third Party Claims	801
IV. Conclusion	801

Table of Contents

Thomas Thiede and Erdem Büyüksagis

Reduction of Damages	803
I. Preliminary Remarks	803
A. Reduction of Damages in National and European Tort Law	803
B. Principles Governing the Reduction of Damages	805
II. Analysis of the ECtHR Jurisprudence	806
A. Incapacity and Vis Maior	808
1. <i>Assanidze v. Georgia</i> [GC]	808
2. <i>Mykhaylenko and Others v. Ukraine</i>	810
B. Benefits Received and Risks Taken	812
C. Contributory Conduct or Activity	813
1. <i>Rehbock v. Slovenia</i> and <i>Wenerski v. Poland</i>	814
2. <i>Sabin Popescu c. Roumanie</i>	815
3. <i>Musiak v. Poland</i> [GC]	816
D. Prohibition on Speculation	819
III. Conclusions	820

Concluding Remarks	823
-------------------------------------	-----

Helmut Koziol

Concluding Remarks Regarding the Methods of Interpreting Art 41 ECHR	825
I. Franz Bydlinski's Approach	825
II. Wolfram Karl's Position	827
III. Concluding Remarks	827

Ernst Karner

Concluding Remarks on Damage	829
I. Foundations of the Concept of Damage	829
II. Autonomous Concept of Damage and Protected Interests	832
III. Summary Remarks	834

Ernst Karner

Concluding Remarks on Causation	835
I. Starting Point	835
II. Different Issues – Natural and Legal Causation	836
III. ECHR and Causation	837
A. General	837
B. Particular Problems in the Case of Violation of Procedural Guarantees	838

Attila Fenyves

Concluding Remarks on Wrongfulness and Fault	843
---	-----

Ernst Karner

Concluding Remarks on the Protective Purpose of the Rule	851
I. Starting Point	851
II. Protective Purpose Aspects in the Case-Law of the Court?	852
III. Final Remarks	854

Ernst Karner

Concluding Remarks on No-Fault or Strict Liability	857
I. Grounds for Liability and Explanation of Terminology	857
II. Classification of Liability under Art 41 ECHR	858
III. Conclusion	861

Helmut Koziol

Concluding Remarks on Compensatory and Non-Compensatory Remedies	863
I. Introduction	863
II. Compensation for Pecuniary Loss	864
A. Principle of Full Compensation	864
B. Assessment of Compensation for Pecuniary Loss	865
III. Compensation for Non-Pecuniary Loss	866
A. Recoverability of Non-Pecuniary Loss	866
B. Compensation for Non-Pecuniary Loss in all Cases of Infringements of Fundamental Rights?	867
C. Compensation in Kind or Compensation in Money?	869
D. Finding of a Violation and Nominal Damages as Type of Compensation in Kind?	869
E. Compensation in Money	872
IV. Punitive Damages	874

Attila Fenyves

Concluding Remarks on Contributory Negligence and Reduction Clause	877
---	-----

Index	885
------------------------	-----

Publications	899
-------------------------------	-----